# System Calls Continued & C++ Intro

**Instructor:** Alex Sanchez-Stern

#### **Teaching Assistants:**

Audrey Seo

Deeksha Vatwani

Derek de Leuw

Katie Gilchrist

#### **Administrivia**


- Homework 1 was due last night
- Exercise 7 was due this morning
- Exercise 8 is posted this morning, but not due until
   Wednesday
  - It's on C++, and we'll be finishing our C++ intro on Monday
- Don't forget to use cpplint on all your assignments!
  - Linter errors are correctness errors in this course
- Homework 2 starter code is being pushed by tonight

### Todays Plan


- System Calls Details
- C++ Intro

### System Calls


Like function calls, but into the operating system




- A more detailed picture:
  - Consider a typical Linux process
  - Its thread of execution can be in one of several places:
    - In your program's code
    - In glibc, a shared library containing the C standard library, POSIX, support, and more
    - In the Linux architecture-independent code
    - In Linux x86\_64 code




- Some routines your program invokes may be entirely handled by glibc without involving the kernel
  - e.g. strcmp() from stdio.h
  - There is some initial overhead when invoking functions in dynamically linked libraries (during loading)
    - But after symbols are resolved, invoking glibc routines is basically as fast as a function call within your program itself!




- Some routines may be handled by glibc, but they in turn invoke Linux system calls
  - e.g. POSIX wrappers around Linux syscalls
    - POSIX readdir() invokes the underlying Linux readdir()
  - e.g. C stdio functions that read and write from files
    - fopen(), fclose(), fprintf()
       invoke underlying Linux open(),
       close(), write(), etc.



- Your program can choose to directly invoke Linux system calls as well
  - Nothing is forcing you to link with glibc and use it
  - But relying on directly-invoked Linux system calls may make your program less portable across UNIX varieties
    - (And won't be portable to non-Unix systems like Windows that run standard C on top of their own, different syscalls)




- Let's walk through how a Linux system call actually works
  - We'll assume 32-bit x86 using the modern SYSENTER / SYSEXIT x86 instructions
    - x86-64 code is similar, though details always change over time, so take this as an example – not a debugging guide



Remember our process address space picture?

Let's add some details:




**OxFFFFFFF** Your program linux-gate.so Process is executing your Linux kernel stack program code kernel C standard **POSIX** library Stack SP [ glibc **Shared Libraries** architecture-independent code Heap (malloc/free) Read/Write Segment .data, .bss architecture-dependent code Read-Only Segment **Linux kernel** .text, .rodata **CPU** unpriv 0x0000000

**POSIX** 

### **Details on x86/Linux**

Process calls into a glibc function

- e.g. fopen()
- We'll ignore the messy details of loading/linking shared libraries





**Linux kernel** 

glibc begins the process of invoking a Linux system call

- glibc's fopen() will likely SP invokes Linux's open() system call
- Puts the system call # and arguments into registers
- Uses the call x86 instruction to call into the routine

kernel vsyscall located in linux-gate.so





architecture-independent code architecture-dependent code


**Linux kernel** 


**CPU** unpriv

linux-gate.so is a

#### vdso

- A <u>v</u>irtual <u>d</u>ynamically-linked <u>s</u>hared <u>o</u>bject
- Is a kernel-provided shared library that is plunked into a process' address space
- Provides the intricate machine code needed to trigger a system call





architecture-independent code

architecture-dependent code

**Linux kernel** 

**CPU** unpriv


0x0000000


SP


IP

linux-gate.so
eventually invokes
the SYSENTER x86
instruction

- SYSENTER is x86's "fast system call" instruction
  - Causes the CPU to raise its privilege level
  - Traps into the Linux kernel by changing the SP, IP to a previously-determined location
- Changes page table to give kernel access to all memory








**CPU** 


priv


The kernel begins executing code at the SP

SYSENTER entry point IP

- Is in the architecture-dependent part of Linux
- It's job is to:
  - Look up the system call number in a system call dispatch table
  - Call into the address stored in that table entry; this is Linux's system call handler
    - For open(), the handler is named sys open, and is system call #5








**CPU** priv


SP


IP

The system call handler executes

- What it does is system-call specific
- It may take a long time to execute, especially if it has to interact with hardware
  - Linux may choose to context switch the CPU to a different runnable process










Eventually, the system call handler IP finishes

- Returns back to the system call entry point
  - Places the system call's return value in the appropriate register
  - Calls SYSEXIT to return to the user-level code
- Changes page table back









SYSEXIT transitions the processor back to user-mode code

- Restores the IP, SP to user-land values
- Sets the CPU back to unprivileged mode
- Returns the processor back to glibc







unpriv CPU

**Linux kernel** 

# glibc continues to execute

- Might execute more system calls
- Eventually returns back to your program code





architecture-independent code

architecture-dependent code

**Linux kernel** 

unpriv CPU

#### strace

A useful Linux utility that shows the sequence of system calls that a process makes:

```
bash$ strace ls 2>&1 | less
execve("/usr/bin/ls", ["ls"], [/* 41 \text{ vars } */]) = 0
brk(NULL)
                                      = 0x15aa000
mmap (NULL, 4096, PROT READ | PROT WRITE, MAP PRIVATE | MAP ANONYMOUS, -1, 0) =
  0x7f03bb741000
access("/etc/ld.so.preload", R OK) = -1 ENOENT (No such file or
  directory)
open("/etc/ld.so.cache", O RDONLY|O CLOEXEC) = 3
fstat(3, {st mode=S IFREG|0644, st size=126570, ...}) = 0
mmap (NULL, 126570, PROT READ, MAP PRIVATE, 3, 0) = 0x7f03bb722000
close(3)
open("/lib64/libselinux.so.1", O RDONLY|O CLOEXEC) = 3
832) = 832
fstat(3, {st mode=S IFREG|0755, st size=155744, ...}) = 0
mmap(NULL, 2255216, PROT READ|PROT EXEC, MAP PRIVATE|MAP DENYWRITE, 3, 0) =
  0x7f03bb2fa000
mprotect(0x7f03bb31e000, 2093056, PROT NONE) = 0
mmap(0x7f03bb51d000, 8192, PROT READ|PROT WRITE,
  MAP PRIVATE | MAP FIXED | MAP DENYWRITE, 3, 0 \times 23000) = 0 \times 7603 \times 51000
```

#### If You're Curious

- Download the Linux kernel source code
  - Available from <a href="http://www.kernel.org/">http://www.kernel.org/</a>
- man, section 2: Linux system calls
  - man 2 intro
  - man 2 syscalls
- man, section 3: glibc/libc library functions
  - man 3 intro
- The book: The Linux Programming Interface by Michael Kerrisk (keeper of the Linux man pages)

## **Todays Plan**

- System Calls Details
- ♦ C++ Intro

#### Today's C++ Goals

- An introduction to C++
  - Some comparisons to C and shortcomings that C++ addresses
  - Give you a perspective on how to learn C++
  - Kick the tires and look at some code
  - Not trying to explain all the details, just an introduction.
- Advice: Read related sections in the C++ Primer!
  - Available for free through UW libraries (O'Reilly books online)
  - It's hard to learn the "why is it done this way" from reference docs, and even harder to learn from random stuff on the web
  - Lectures and examples will introduce the main ideas, but aren't everything you'll want need to understand

#### C

- We had to work hard to mimic encapsulation, abstraction
  - Encapsulation: hiding implementation details
    - Used header file conventions and the "static" specifier to separate private functions from public functions
    - Cast structure pointers to (void\*) to hide details
  - Operational Abstraction: associating behavior with encapsulated state
    - Function that operate on a LinkedList were not really tied to the linked list structure
    - We passed a linked list to a function, rather than invoking a method on a linked list instance



- A major addition is support for classes and objects!
  - Classes
    - Public, private, and protected methods and instance variables
    - (multiple!) inheritance
  - Polymorphism
    - Static polymorphism: multiple functions or methods with the same name, but different argument types (overloading)
      - Works for all functions, not just class members
    - Dynamic (subtype) polymorphism: derived classes can override methods of parents, and methods will be dispatched correctly

#### C

- We had to emulate generic data structures
  - Generic linked list using void\* payload
  - Pass function pointers to generalize different "methods" for data structures
    - Comparisons, deallocation, pickling up state, etc.

#### **C++**

- Supports templates to facilitate generic data types
  - Parametric polymorphism same idea as Java generics, but different in details, particularly implementation
  - To declare that x is a vector of ints: vector<int> x;
  - To declare that x is a vector of strings: vector<string> x;
  - To declare that x is a vector of [vectors of floats]:

```
vector<vector<float>> x;
```

#### C

- We had to be careful about namespace collisions
  - C distinguishes between external and internal linkage
    - Use static to prevent a name from being visible outside a source file (as close as C gets to "private")
    - Otherwise, name is global and visible everywhere
  - We used naming conventions to help avoid collisions in the global namespace
    - e.g. LL IteratorNext vs. HT IteratorNext, etc.



- Permits a module to define its own namespace!
  - The linked list module could define an "LL" namespace while the hash table module could define an "HT" namespace
  - Both modules could define an Iterator class
    - One would be globally named LL:: Iterator
    - The other would be globally named HT::Iterator
  - Entire C++ standard library is in a namespace std (more later...)
- Classes also allow duplicate names without collisions
  - Namespaces group and isolate names in collections of classes and other "global" things (somewhat like Java packages)



- C does not provide any standard data structures
  - We had to implement our own linked list and hash table
  - As a C programmer, you often reinvent the wheel... poorly
    - Maybe if you're clever you'll use somebody else's libraries
    - But C's lack of abstraction, encapsulation, and generics means you'll
      probably end up tinkering with them or tweak your code to use them



- The C++ standard library is huge!
  - Generic containers: bitset, queue, list, associative array (including hash table), deque, set, stack, and vector
    - And iterators for most of these
  - A string class: hides the implementation of strings
  - Streams: allows you to stream data to and from objects, consoles, files, strings, and so on
  - And more...

#### C

- Error handling is a pain
  - Have to define error codes and return them
  - Customers have to understand error code conventions and need to constantly test return values
  - e.g. if a () calls b (), which calls c ()
    - a depends on b to propagate an error in c back to it



- Error handling is STILL a pain, but now we have exceptions
  - try/throw/catch
  - If used with discipline, can simplify error processing
    - But, if used carelessly, can complicate memory management
    - Consider: a () calls b (), which calls c ()
      - If c () throws an exception that b () doesn't catch, you might not get a chance to clean up resources allocated inside b ()
  - But much C++ code still needs to work with C & old C++ libraries that are not exception-safe, so still uses return codes, exit(), etc.
    - We won't use (and Google style guide doesn't use either)

#### Some Tasks Still Hurt in C++

- Memory management
  - C++ has no garbage collector
    - You have to manage memory allocation and deallocation and track ownership of memory
    - It's still possible to have leaks, double frees, and so on
  - But there are some things that help
    - "Smart pointers"
      - Classes that encapsulate pointers and track reference counts
      - Deallocate memory when the reference count goes to zero
    - C++'s destructors permit a pattern known as "Resource Allocation Is Initialization" (RAII) (terrible name but super useful idea)
      - Useful for releasing memory, locks, database transactions, and more


#### Some Tasks Still Hurt in C++

- C++ doesn't guarantee type or memory safety
  - You can still:
    - Forcibly cast pointers between incompatible types
    - Walk off the end of an array and smash memory
    - Have dangling pointers
    - Conjure up a pointer to an arbitrary address of your choosing


#### C++ Has Many, Many Features

- Operator overloading
  - Your class can define methods for handling "+", "->", etc.
- Object constructors, destructors
  - Particularly handy for stack-allocated objects
- Reference types
  - True call-by-reference instead of always call-by-value
- Advanced Objects
  - Multiple inheritance, virtual base classes, dynamic dispatch


#### **How to Think About C++**



#### **Or...**



In the hands of a disciplined programmer, C++ is a powerful tool



But if you're not so disciplined about how you use C++...

#### To do

- Exercise 8 is posted this morning, but not due until
   Wednesday
- Homework 2 starter code is being pushed by tonight, take a look!