CSE 333 Section 4
C++, Classes, Dynamic Memory and Midterm Review
Logistics

- **Homework 2:**
  - Due **Today @ 11:00pm (7/18)**

- **Exercise 11:**
  - Due **Friday @ 10:00am (7/19)**

- **Exercise 12:**
  - Due **Wednesday @ 10:00am (7/24)**
Member vs. Non-Member Functions

- A **member function** is a part of the class and can be invoked on the objects of the class.
- A **non-member function** is a normal function that happens to use the class.
  - Often included in the module that defines the class.

Some functionality *must* be defined one way or the other, but a lot can be defined either way, so let’s examine the differences…
Exercise 1
## Member vs Non-Member Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Access to Private Members:</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Non-member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                            | Always | ● Through getters and setters  
                            |         | ● Through friend keyword (do not use unless needed) |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Function call (Func):</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Non-member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>obj1.Func(obj2)</td>
<td></td>
<td>Func(obj1, obj2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operator call (*):</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Non-member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>obj1 * obj2</td>
<td></td>
<td>obj1 * obj2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When preferred:</th>
<th>Member</th>
<th>Non-member</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| ● Functions that *mutate* the object  
● “Core” class functionality |        | ● *Non-mutating* functions  
● Commutative functions  
● When the class must be on the right-hand side |
Design Considerations

- What happens if you don’t define a copy constructor? Or an assignment operator? Or a destructor? Why might this be bad?
  - In C++, if you don’t define any of these, one will be synthesized for you
  - The synthesized copy constructor does a shallow copy of all fields
  - The synthesized assignment operator does a shallow copy of all fields
  - The synthesized destructor calls the default destructors of any fields that have them

- How can you disable the copy constructor/assignment operator/destructor?

Set their prototypes equal to the keyword “delete”:

```cpp
SomeClass(const SomeClass&) = delete;
```
The “Big 4” of Classes (Review)

class Bar {
public:
    Bar(); // 0-arg ctor
    Bar(int num); // 1-arg ctor
    Bar(const Bar& other); // cctor
    Bar& operator=(const Bar& other); // op=
    ~Bar(); // dtor

    ...;
};

Constructors (ctor): Construct a new object (parameters must differ).

Copy Constructor (cctor): Constructs a new object based on another instance. Creates copies for pass-by-value (i.e., non-references) and value return as well as variable declarations.

Assignment Operator (op=): Updates existing object based on another instance.

Destructor (dtor): Cleans up the resources of an object when it falls out of scope or is deleted.
Construction and Destruction Details

Construction:
1. Construct/initialize data members in order of declaration within the class.
   ○ If data member appears in the initialization list, apply the specified initialization, otherwise, default initialize.
2. Execute the constructor body.

Destruction:
- When multiple objects fall out of scope simultaneously, they are destructed in the reverse order of construction.
  1. Execute the destructor body.
  2. Destruct data members in the reverse order of declaration within the class.
Exercise 2
Exercise 2: Foo Bar Ordering

class Bar {
public:
  Bar() : num_(0) { } // 0-arg ctor
  Bar(int num) : num_(num) { } // 1-arg ctor
  Bar(const Bar& other) : num_(other.num_) { } //cctor
  ~Bar() { } // dtor
  Bar& operator=(const Bar& other) = default; // op=
  int get_num() const { return num_; } // getter

private:
  int num_;}
};
class Foo {
public:
  Foo() : bar_(5) { } // 0-arg ctor
  Foo(const Bar& b) { bar_ = b; } // 1-arg ctor
  ~Foo() { } // dtor

private:
  Bar bar_;}
};

Given these class declarations, order the execution of the program (on the next slide)
**Exercise 2: Foo Bar Ordering**

```c
int main() {
    Bar b1(3);
    Bar b2 = b1;
    Foo f1;
    Foo f2(b2);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

**Method Invocation Order:**
1. Bar 1-arg ctor (b1)
2. Bar cctor (b2)
3. Foo 0-arg ctor (f1)
4.↳ Bar 1-arg ctor
5. Foo 1-arg ctor (f2)
6.↳ Bar 0-arg ctor
7.↳ Bar op=
8. Foo dtor (f2)
9.↳ Bar dtor
10. Foo dtor (f1)
11.↳ Bar dtor
12. Bar dtor (b2)
13. Bar dtor (b1)
New and Delete Operators

**new**: Allocates the type on the heap, calling specified constructor if it is a class type

Syntax:

```c
  type* ptr = new type;
  type* heap_arr = new type[num];
```

**delete**: Deallocates the type from the heap, calling the destructor if it is a class type. For anything you called `new` on, you should at some point call `delete` to clean it up

Syntax:

```c
  delete ptr;
  delete[] heap_arr;
```
Exercise 3
Exercise 3: Memory Leaks

class Leaky {
    public:
        Leaky() { x_ = new int(5); }
    private:
        int* x_;  
};

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    Leaky** dbl_ptr = new Leaky*;
    Leaky* lky_ptr = new Leaky();
    *dbl_ptr = lky_ptr;
    delete dbl_ptr;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
Exercise 3: Memory Leaks

class Leaky {
    public:
        Leaky() { x_ = new int(5); }
    private:
        int* x_;}

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    Leaky**dbl_ptr = new Leaky*;
    Leaky* lky_ptr = new Leaky();
    *dbl_ptr = lky_ptr;
    delete dbl_ptr;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}

How can we fix this leak?
delete lky_ptr;
~Leaky() { delete x_; }
An Acronym to Know: RAII

- Stands for “Resource Acquisition Is Initialization”
- Any resources you acquire (locks, files, heap memory, etc.) should happen in a constructor (i.e., during initialization)
- Then freeing those resources should happen in the destructor (and handled properly in cctor, assignment operator, etc.)
- Prevents forgetting to call `free/delete`, the dtor is called automatically for you when the object managing the resource goes out of scope.
Exercise 4
Exercise 4: Bad Copy

class BadCopy {
    public:
        BadCopy()  { arr_ = new int[5]; }  
        ~BadCopy() { delete [] arr_; }  
    private:
        int* arr_;  
};

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    BadCopy* bc1 = new BadCopy;  
    BadCopy* bc2 = new BadCopy(*bc1); // ctor  
    delete bc1;  
    delete bc2;  
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
Exercise 4: Bad Copy

class BadCopy {
  public:
    BadCopy() { arr_ = new int[5]; }
    ~BadCopy() { delete [] arr_; }
  private:
    int* arr_;  
};

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  BadCopy* bc1 = new BadCopy;
  BadCopy* bc2 = new BadCopy(*bc1);
  delete bc1;
  delete bc2;
  return EXIT_SUCCESS;  as if!
}
The “Rule of Three”

- If your class needs its own destructor, assignment operator, or copy constructor, it almost certainly needs all three!
- **BadCopy** is a good example why, we need a destructor to `delete arr`, and so we needed a copy constructor too because otherwise we end up with a double `delete`
- **BadCopy** also needs its own assignment operator for the same reason, even with a fixed copy constructor, `b1 = b2;` would still break!
Midterm Review (Q/A)