

pollev.com/cse333

# About how long did Exercise 11 take you?

- A. [0, 2) hours
- **B.** [2, 4) hours
- **C.** [4, 6) hours
- D. [6, 8) hours
- E. 8+ Hours
- F. I didn't submit / I prefer not to say

#### **Concurrency: Processes** CSE 333 Summer 2023

**Instructor:** Timmy Yang

#### **Teaching Assistants:**

Jennifer XuLeanna NguyenSara DeutscherTanmay Shah

Pedro Amarante

## **Relevant Course Information**

- Exercise 12 due Monday (8/14) @ 11:00 am
   1:00 am
   1
- Homework 4 due Wednesday (8/16) @ 11:59 pm
  - Submissions accepted until Friday (8/18) @ 11:59 pm
- Quiz 4 (Wednesday, 8/16 Friday, 8/18)
  - Same policies as previous quizzes
  - ex10-ex12, hw4, overall course questions!

# Outline

- We'll look at different searchserver implementations
  - Sequential
  - Concurrent via forking threads pthread\_create()
  - Concurrent via forking processes fork ()
  - Concurrent via non-blocking, event-driven I/O select()
    - We won't get to this  $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}$

 Reference: Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Chapter 12 (CSE 351 book)

## **Aside: Thinking about Threads**

- Recall: More instructions per thread = higher likelihood of interleaving
  - Even seemingly simple lines can interleave in strange ways.
- Let's look at the following example...

# **Aside: Thinking about Threads** g=1 g=6 g=6g=6, g=12, g=7

- What are some possible outputs?
- What's the range of possible outputs?

```
MMZIL
int q = 0;
void *worker(void *ignore) {
 for (int k = 1; k \le 3; k++) { min \le 1?
  q = q + k;
printf("g = %d\n", g);
 return NULL;
int main() {
pthread t t1, t2;
 int ignore;
 ignore = pthread create(&t1, NULL, &worker, NULL);
 ignore = pthread create (&t2, NULL, &worker, NULL);
 pthread join(t1, NULL);
 pthread join(t2, NULL);
 return EXIT SUCCESS;
```

## **Aside: Thinking about Threads**

What are some possible outputs?

g = 6/g = 12 | g = 12/g = 12 | g = 7/g = 9 | g = 6/g = 11

```
int q = 0;
void *worker(void *ignore) {
 for (int k = 1; k <= 3; k++) {
   q = q + k;
printf("g = %d\n", g);
 return NULL;
int main() {
pthread t t1, t2;
 int ignore;
 ignore = pthread create (&t1, NULL, &worker, NULL);
 ignore = pthread create (&t2, NULL, &worker, NULL);
 pthread join(t1, NULL);
 pthread join(t2, NULL);
 return EXIT SUCCESS;
```

## **Aside: Thinking about Threads**

- What's the range of possible outputs?
  - g = [4, 12]

```
int q = 0;
void *worker(void *ignore) {
 for (int k = 1; k <= 3; k++) {
   q = q + k;
printf("g = %d\n", g);
 return NULL;
int main() {
pthread t t1, t2;
 int ignore;
 ignore = pthread create (&t1, NULL, &worker, NULL);
 ignore = pthread create (&t2, NULL, &worker, NULL);
 pthread join(t1, NULL);
 pthread join(t2, NULL);
 return EXIT SUCCESS;
```

## **Interleaving at the Instruction Level**

Context-switching can happen between any instruction.

Instru	ctions for $g = g + k$ :
mov	0x2ebf(%rip),%edx
mov	-0x4(%rbp),%eax
add	%edx,%eax
mov	%eax,0x2eb4(%rip)



- Loads k into %eax register
- Adds copy of g in %edx to %eax register
- Stores addition result back into global g

- Why does this matter?
  - Remember, each thread has its own Stack and register values.
    - Allows for %rax to be used between multiple threads as a return reg.

#### How to Get 4



g = 4

# Outline

- We'll look at different searchserver implementations
  - Sequential
  - Concurrent via forking threads pthread\_create()
  - Concurrent via forking processes fork()
  - Concurrent via non-blocking, event-driven I/O select()
    - We won't get to this  $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}$

 Reference: Computer Systems: A Programmer's Perspective, Chapter 12 (CSE 351 book)

## **Why Concurrent Processes?**

- Advantages:
  - Processes are isolated from one another
    - No shared memory between processes
    - If one crashes, the other processes keep going
  - No need for language support (OS provides fork)
- Disadvantages:
  - Processes are heavyweight
    - Relatively slow to fork
    - Context switching latency is high
  - Communication between processes is complicated

## **Process Isolation**

- Process Isolation is a set of mechanisms implemented to protect processes from each other and protect the kernel from user processes.
  - Processes have separate address spaces
  - Processes have privilege levels to restrict access to resources
  - If one process crashes, others will keep running
- Inter-Process Communication (IPC) is limited, but possible
  - Pipes via pipe ()
    - Sockets via socketpair()
    - Shared Memory via shm\_open()

## **Creating New Processes (Review)**

#### \* pid\_t fork();

- Creates a child process that is an *exact clone* (except threads) of the current/parent process
- Child process has a separate virtual address space from the parent
- s fork() has peculiar semantics
  - The parent invokes **fork** ()



## **Creating New Processes (Review)**

#### \* pid\_t fork();

- Creates a child process that is an *exact clone* (except threads) of the current/parent process
- Child process has a separate virtual address space from the parent

#### source fork() has peculiar semantics

- The parent invokes fork ()
- The OS clones the parent



## **Creating New Processes (Review)**

#### \* pid\_t fork();

- Creates a child process that is an *exact clone* (except threads) of the current/parent process
- Child process has a separate virtual address space from the parent

#### s fork() has peculiar semantics

- The parent invokes fork ()
- The OS clones the parent
- Both the parent and the child return from fork
  - Parent receives child's pid
  - Child receives a 0



# fork() and Address Spaces

- Fork causes the OS to clone the address space
  - The *copies* of the memory segments are (nearly) identical
    - The new process has copies of the parent's data, stack-allocated variables, open file descriptors, etc.



# **Zombies (Review)**

- When a process terminates, its resources (*e.g.*, its address space) hang around as the process sits in a *zombie* state
  - Process terminates by return from main or calling exit()
- A zombie process needs to be *reaped*
  - Done automatically when its parent process terminates
  - Can be done explicitly by its parent process by calling wait() or waitpid(), which also returns the status code
  - If the parent process terminates before the child becomes a zombie, then init/systemd is responsible for reaping it
- \* See fork\_example.cc
  - ps -u displays the user's currently running processes

## Main Uses of fork

- Fork a child to handle some work
  - *e.g.*, server forks to handle a new connection
  - *e.g.*, web browser forks to render a new website (for security purposes)



- Fork a child that then starts a new program via execv
  - e.g., a shell forks and starts the program you want to run
  - e.g., the 333 grading scripts fork and exec your executable
- Fork a background ("daemon") process that runs independently





## **Concurrent Server with Processes**

- The parent process blocks on accept(), waiting for a new client to connect
  - When a new connection arrives, the parent calls fork() to create a **child** process
  - The child process handles that new connection and exit()'s when the connection terminates
- How do we avoid zombie processes from consuming all of block until I exits) our memory?
  - <u>Option A</u>: Parent calls **wait**() to "reap" children
  - Option B: Use a double-fork trick































pollev.com/cse333

-D Not the point Process

# What will happen when one of the grandchildren processes finishes? grandpunt accept() (00P, Never exits

- X. Zombie until grandparent exits
- **X** Zombie until grandparent reaps
  - Zombie until init reaps
- **ZOMBIE FOREVER!!!**
- E. We're lost...

```
.. // Server set up
while (1) {
  sock fd = accept();
 pid = fork();
  if (pid == 0) {
    // ??? process
  } else {
    // ??? process
```

```
.. // Server set up
while (1) {
  sock fd = accept();
 pid = fork();
  if (pid == 0) {
    // Child process
  } else {
    // Parent process
```

```
.. // Server set up
while (1) {
  sock fd = accept();
 pid = fork();
  if (pid == 0) {
    // Child process
    pid = fork();
    if (pid == 0) {
      // ??? process
    }
  } else {
    // Parent process
```

```
.. // Server set up
while (1) {
  sock fd = accept();
 pid = fork();
  if (pid == 0) {
    // Child process
    pid = fork();
    if (pid == 0) {
      // Grand-child process
      HandleClient(sock fd, ...);
    }
  } else {
    // Parent process
```

```
.. // Server set up
while (1) {
  sock fd = accept();
 pid = fork();
  if (pid == 0) {
    // Child process
    pid = fork();
    if (pid == 0) {
      // Grand-child process
      HandleClient(sock fd, ...);
    // Clean up resources...
    exit();
  } else {
    // Parent process
```

```
.. // Server set up
while (1) {
  sock fd = accept();
 pid = fork();
  if (pid == 0) {
    // Child process
   pid = fork();
    if (pid == 0) {
      // Grand-child process
      HandleClient(sock fd, ...);
    // Clean up resources...
    exit();
  } else {
    // Parent process
    // Wait for child to immediately die
    wait();
    close(sock fd);
```

## How Fast is fork()?

- \* See fork\_latency.cc
- ☆ ~0.26 milliseconds per fork\*
  - maximum of (1000/0.5) = 3,800 connections/sec/core
    - = ~332 million connections/day/core
    - This is fine for most servers
    - Too slow for super-high-traffic front-line web services
      - Facebook served ~750 billion page views per day in 2013!
         Would need 2-3k cores just to handle **fork**(), *i.e.* without doing any work for each connection
- \*Past measurements are not indicative of future performance depends on hardware, OS, software versions, ...
- Tested on attu4 (3/5/2022)

## How Fast is pthread\_create()?

- \* See thread\_latency.cc
- - ~13x faster than **fork** ()
  - .: maximum of (1000/0.02) = 50,000 connections/sec/core
    - = ~4.3 billion connections/day/core
  - Mush faster, but writing safe multithreaded code can be serious voodoo, as we've seen
- \*Past measurements are not indicative of future performance depends on hardware, OS, software versions, ..., but will typically be an order of magnitude faster than fork()
- Tested on attu4 (3/5/2022)

# **Outline (Revisited)**

- We'll look at different searchserver implementations
  - Sequential
  - Concurrent via forking threads pthread\_create()
  - Concurrent via forking processes <u>fork()</u>
  - Concurrent via non-blocking, event-driven I/O select()

#### Conclusions:

- Concurrent execution leads to better CPU, network utilization
- Writing concurrent software can be tricky and different concurrency methods have benefits and drawbacks
- In real servers, we'd like to avoid the overhead needed to create a new thread or process for every request... how?

## **Aside: Thread Pools**

- Idea:
  - Create a fixed set of worker threads when the server starts
  - When a request arrives, add it to a queue of tasks (using locks)
  - Each thread tries to remove a task from the queue (using locks)
  - When a thread is finished with one task, it tries to get a new task from the queue (using locks)
- A thread pool is written for you in Homework 4!
  - Feel free to take a look, if curious