ex04 CSE 333 Winter 2021

Instructor: John Zahorjan

It's more complicated than I thought...

Teaching Assistants:

Matthew Arnold	Nonthakit Chaiwong	Jacob Cohen
Elizabeth Haker	Henry Hung	Chase Lee
Leo Liao	Tim Mandzyuk	Benjamin Shmidt
Guramrit Singh		

What You Have to Do

- 1. Figure out how to implement memove and then implement memmove
- 2. Figure out how to use the timer library
- 3. Write driver/test code to call and time memmove
- 4. Figure out how to build your code with the timer class
- 5. Figure out to build your code to use either your memmove or the built-in memmove

1. Figure out how to implement memmove

- Hints:
 - none

2. Figure out how to use the timer library

- Hints:
 - The library distributes a test program that shows how to use the library
 - You build the test program using the makefile distributed with the library

3. Write driver/test code to call memmove

- Hints:
 - From the write-up: characterize performance by throughput measured in MB/sec. moved
 - Does performance depend on the arguments to memmove? If so, you might want to measure at least a few distinct scenarios. (This isn't the main point of the exercise, though.)

4. Figure out how to build you code...

- Hints:
 - The test program and makefile that come with the library not only show how to use the library but how to build an app that uses the library

5. Figure out how to build your app using either your memmove or built-in memmove

 Unexpected problem: the compiler seems to know what memmove is

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <string.h>
int main(int argc, char *argv[]) {
    char src_buffer[] = "1234567890";
    char dest_buffer[] = "abcdefghij";

    memmove(dest_buffer, src_buffer+1, 9);
    printf("%s\n", dest_buffer);
    memmove(dest_buffer, src_buffer+2, argc);
    printf("%s\n", dest_buffer);

    return 0;
}
```

Output: 234567890j 334567890j main:

\$ gcc -O0 -S test.c

%rbp pushq int main(int argc, char *argv[]) %rsp, %rbp movq \$48, %rsp subg movl %edi, -36(%rbp) %rsi, -48(%rbp) movq char src buffer[] = "1234567890"; movabsq \$4050765991979987505, %rax %rax, -11(%rbp) movq **`**87654321**'** \$12345, -3(%rbp) MOVW - 1901 \$0, -1(%rbp) movb - **`**\ 0′ movabsg \$7523094288207667809, %rax %rax, -22(%rbp) movq \$27241, -14(%rbp) MOVW - char dest buffer[] = "abcdefqhij"; \$0, -12(%rbp) movb leag -11(%rbp), %rax \$1, %rax addq memmove(dest buffer, src buffer+1, 9); -22(%rbp), %rdx leag (%rax), %rcx movq %rcx, (%rdx) movq move 8 bytes movzbl 8(%rax), %eax movb %al, 8(%rdx) -— move 9th bvte leag -22(%rbp), %rax ____ printf("%s\n", dest buffer); movq %rax, %rdi call puts movl -36(%rbp), %eax movslq %eax, %rdx memmove(dest buffer, src buffer+1, argc); -11(%rbp), %rax leag \$2, %rax addq -22(%rbp), %rcx leaq -- !!! %rax, %rsi movq movq %rcx, %rdi call memcpy lead -22(%rbp), %rax mova %rax, %rdi 🚽 ____ printf(``%s\n", dest buffer); call puts \$0, %eax movl 8 ret

\$ gcc –O3 –S test.c

main:

pushq movl subq movq movl leaq movw movq movw movq movzbl movb	<pre>\$27241, %edx %edi, %ebx \$32, %rsp %rax, 10(%rsp) \$12345, %eax 21(%rsp), %rdi %ax, 18(%rsp) 11(%rsp), %rax %dx, 29(%rsp) %rax, 21(%rsp) 19(%rsp), %eax \$0, 20(%rsp)</pre>
movb movb call	<pre>\$0, 31(%rsp) %al, 29(%rsp) puts</pre>
leaq	%ebx, %rdx 12(%rsp), %rsi 21(%rsp), %rdi memcpy
leaq call	21(%rsp), %rdi puts
addq xorl popq ret	\$32, %rsp %eax, %eax %rbx

So, What To Do?

- Looks like link time is too late to make a decision about which version of memmove to use because the compiler will already have decided by then
- But, we "must" make the decision about which version to use something that happens at build time
 - Can't modify source, but...
 - Can modify compile commands, and...
 - Can modify link command

Link Time Control

- This was the original intent...
 - Our app calls memmove
 - If we link the app with our implementation of memmove, the linker connects the calls to our implementation
 - If we fail to provide our implementation, the linker connects the calls to the standard implementation
- Instead, the app makes a call to some different method name, like, say, MEMMOVE_CHOICE()
- We create two different versions of MEMMOVE_CHOICE, one that is our implementation of memmove and one that just invokes standard memmove
- Downside: Performance
 - Compiler can't do the opimizations we just saw with memove invoked in this way
 - We added an extra procedure call to each invocation of memmove

Compile Time Control

- Like before, we write in our code calls to some name that isn't memmove – say, MEMMOVE_CHOICE
- Then we use the pre-processor to convert the string "MEMMOVE_CHOICE" to either "memmove" (for standard version) or "my_memmove" (or whatever, for our version)
 - If memmove, compiler can optimize exactly as if we had hard-coded a call to memmove
- \$ gcc -DMEMMOVE_CHOICE=my_memmove -std=c17 *.c
- Note: Need to create a .h file my_memmove and include it in the app code

The Timer Library

- The timer library uses this technique, but for a related but different reason
 - They supply a test app with the library and a makefile
 - The makefile builds four versions of the test app
- [attu4] ex04/c-timer-lib-master> make gcc -g -Wall -Wextra -std=gnu99 -c timer.c gcc -g -Wall -Wextra -std=gnu99 test.c timer.o -o test_s.out -DUNITS="s" gcc -g -Wall -Wextra -std=gnu99 test.c timer.o -o test_ms.out -DUNITS="ms" gcc -g -Wall -Wextra -std=gnu99 test.c timer.o -o test_ns.out -DUNITS="us" gcc -g -Wall -Wextra -std=gnu99 test.c timer.o -o test_ms.out -DUNITS="ns"

Test.c

```
#ifndef UNITS
#define UNITS s
#endif
int main()
{
    interval_t * a;
    interval_t * b;
    interval_t * c;

    create_interval(&a, "Test 1", mono, UNITS);
    create_interval(&b, "Test 2", mono, UNITS);
    create_interval(&c, "Test 3", mono, UNITS);
```

Timer.h