

Mid Quarter Review

CSE 333 Spring 2021

Instructor: Justin Hsia, Travis McGaha

Teaching Assistants:

Arthava Deodhar

Callum Walker

Cosmo Wang

Dylan Hartono

Elizabeth Haker

Kyrie Dowling

Leo Liao

Markus Schiffer

Neha Nagvekar

Nonthakit Chaiwong

Ramya Challa

Administrivia

- ❖ Exercise 8 due Monday
- ❖ No Lecture Friday!
- ❖ Midterm “exam” due Friday (5/7) @ 11:59 pm
- ❖ Homework 3 due Thursday (5/20) @ 11:59 pm
 - Due date is two weeks from tomorrow
 - Partner sign-up & Partner Finding form already open on Ed

Lecture Outline

- ❖ **Reflection**
- ❖ Review Questions!

Main Topics (so far)

- ❖ C
 - Low-level programming language
- ❖ C++
 - The 800-lb gorilla of programming languages
 - “better C” + classes + STL + smart pointers + ...
 - More to come soon 😊
- ❖ Memory management
- ❖ System interfaces and services
 - More to come later in the quarter

Low-Level Programming

- ❖ The layer between CSE 351 and Java
 - Understanding the “layer below” makes you a better programmer at the layer above
 - More to come on this with Inheritance

- ❖ Memory & Resource management
 - Pointers!!!!
 - C/C++ won't clean up for you!

- ❖ Robustness and error handling
 - Code must be well thought out
 - We must be disciplined!

The Operating System

- ❖ The Operating System
 - Complicated software that has permission to interact with hardware
- ❖ System Calls
 - Interface for users to request protected OS operations
 - Some library calls (fread/fwrite/...) will also have to go through the OS via system calls.
- ❖ I/O
 - Reading/Writing to disk takes a LONG time
 - (relative to other operations)
 - Strategies like buffering should be used to minimize number of disk accesses.
- ❖ More OS features coming later!

Lecture Outline

- ❖ Reflection
- ❖ **Review Questions!**



pollev.com/cse333travis

- ❖ We don't have a "normal" midterm this quarter
- ❖ For the rest of lecture, we will do some conceptual questions to reflect on what we learned in the first half of the course.

Low Level Programming Review

- ❖ The “plan” for this question
 - Send everyone to breakouts
 - 1 person per breakout shares their screen and goes to the shared google slides here:
 - Lecture A:
<https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1ZwvmEkl0zqW6RcmVaNh1Hb41ITqiHes4qwbebWVG4UM/edit?usp=sharing>
 - Lecture B:
<https://docs.google.com/presentation/d/1gaH16FYe3X37V2Mzs06aWj3qZCCyITJZW3SpBdnxyAA/edit?usp=sharing>
 - Work with & Discuss with neighbors!

Low Level Programming Review

- ❖ Complete the function "rand_string", which generates a random string of random length. Assume we have the following functions available to you:

- `int32_t rand_len();`
// returns a random int in the range of 1 - 256
- `char rand_char();`
// returns a random printable character
// (no '\0' or other special characters)

Low Level Programming Review

```
// returns a random string and its length. Returns -1 on error
int32_t rand_string(char **output) {
    // generate random length
    int32_t len = rand_len();

    // allocate space for the string (+1 for null terminator)
    char* result = (char *) malloc((len+1)*sizeof(char));
    // error checking
    if (result == NULL)
        return -1;

    // assign random characters
    for (int i = 0; i < len; i++)
        result[i] = rand_char();

    // add null terminator
    result[len] = '\0';

    // return results
    *output = result;
    return len;
}
```

pollev.com/cse333travis

- ❖ Provided are three different ways to read the contents of a large file. Rank the implementations by their efficiency.
 - Assume that buffers are allocated and files opened/closed for you

```
fread(buf, LEN, sizeof(char), file);  
return buf;
```

Implementation #1

```
while(read(fd, buf+numread, sizeof(char)) != 0) {  
    // ...  
    numread += sizeof(char);  
}  
return buf;
```

Implementation #2

```
while((res = read(fd, buf+numread, LEN - numread) != 0) {  
    // ...  
    numread += res;  
}  
return buf;
```

Implementation
#3



pollev.com/cse333travis

- ❖ Provided are three different ways to read the contents of a large file. Rank the implementations by their efficiency.

3 > 1 >>>>> 2

Read in as much as you can. Minimal system calls, but copies contents twice.

```
fread(buf, sizeof(char), LEN, file);
return buf;
```

Implementation #1

```
while(read(fd, buf+numread, sizeof(char)) != 0) {
    // ...
    numread += sizeof(char);
}
return buf;
```

Implementation #2

One system call per character!
SYSTEM CALLS TAKE A WHILE

```
while((res = read(fd, buf+numread, LEN - numread)) != 0) {
    // ...
    numread += res;
}
return buf;
```

Implementation #3

Reads in as much as possible per system call, no double copying

pollev.com/cse333travis

Two questions on Makefiles!

- ❖ What benefit does a Makefile have over a shell script?
 - (A shell script would just run all the commands to recompile)
- ❖ Why do we include header files in the sources list, but not in the compilation command?

▪ Example:

```
main: main.cc util.h
    gcc -g -Wall -std=c17 -o main main.cc
```

pollev.com/cse333travis

Two questions on Makefiles!

- ❖ What benefit does a Makefile have over a shell script?
 - (A shell script would just run all the commands to recompile)
A Makefile will only recompile what needs to be recompiled by checking timestamps and using a DAG
- ❖ Why do we include header files in the sources list, but not in the compilation command?

- Example:

```
main: main.cc util.h
    gcc -g -Wall -std=c17 -o main main.cc
```

The C Pre-Processor will handle #include"util.h" in main.cc, and it is implicitly included in compilation.

 **Poll Everywhere**pollev.com/cse333travis

Should we use a reference?

A. We must NOT use a reference

B. It's OK but discouraged to use a reference

C. It's OK and encouraged to use a reference

D. We must use a reference

E. We're lost...

```
Complex1.h
#ifndef _COMPLEX_H_
#define _COMPLEX_H_

#include <iostream>

namespace complex {

class Complex {
public:
    // Copy constructor, should we
    // pass a reference or not? (Answer: ?)
    Complex(const Complex &copyme) {
        real_ = copyme.real_;
        imag_ = copyme.imag_;
    }

private:
    double real_, imag_;
}; // class Complex

} // namespace complex

#endif // _COMPLEX_H_
```

Complex1.h

- ❖ **D. We must use a reference**
 - A const reference to a complex type
 - We aren't changing the argument's values so it doesn't matter if we use a copy or not, in theory
 - A copy constructor *must* take a reference, otherwise it would need to call itself to make a (call-by-value) copy of the argument...

 **Poll Everywhere**pollev.com/cse333travis

Should we use a reference?

- A. We must NOT use a reference
- B. It's OK but discouraged to use a reference
- C. It's OK and encouraged to use a reference
- D. We must use a reference
- E. We're lost...

```
#include <iostream> Complex2.h
namespace complex {
class Complex {
public:
    // Should operator+ return a reference or not?
    // (Answer: ?)
    Complex &operator+(const Complex &a) const {
        Complex tmp(0,0);
        tmp.real_ = this->real_ + a.real_;
        tmp.imag_ = this->imag_ + a.imag_;
        return tmp;
    }

private:
    double real_, imag_;
}; // class Complex
} // namespace complex
```

Complex2.h

- ❖ **A. We must NOT use a reference**
 - A reference to a stack-allocated complex type
 - Never return a reference (or pointer to) a local variable
 - Destructor is also called on object when returning