

# C++ Class Details, Heap

## CSE 333 Winter 2020

**Instructor:** Justin Hsia

**Teaching Assistants:**

Andrew Hu

Austin Chan

Brennan Stein

Cheng Ni

Cosmo Wang

Diya Joy

Guramrit Singh

Mengqi Chen

Pat Kosakanchit

Rehaan Bhimani

Renshu Gu

Travis McGaha

Zachary Keyes

# Administrivia

- ❖ Exercise 11 released today, due Wednesday
  - Modify your Vector class to use the heap & non-member functions
  - Refer to `Complex.h/Complex.cc` and `Str.h/Str.cc`
- ❖ Homework 2 due Thursday (2/6)
  - File system crawler, indexer, and search engine
  - Don't forget to clone your repo to double-/triple-/quadruple-check compilation!
- ❖ Midterm: next Friday (2/14) from 5 - 6:10 pm in KNE 210/220
  - Alt exams have also been scheduled

# Lecture Outline

- ❖ **Class Details**
  - **Filling in some gaps from last time**
- ❖ **Using the Heap**
  - `new / delete / delete []`

# Rule of Three

- ❖ If you define any of:
  - 1) Destructor
  - 2) Copy Constructor
  - 3) Assignment (`operator=`)
- ❖ Then you should normally define all three
  - Can explicitly ask for default synthesized versions (C++11):

```
class Point {  
public:  
    Point() = default;           // the default ctor  
    ~Point() = default;         // the default dtor  
    Point(const Point& copyme) = default; // the default cctor  
    Point& operator=(const Point& rhs) = default; // the default "="  
    ...  
};
```

# Dealing with the Insanity (C++11)

## ❖ C++ style guide tip:

- **Disabling** the copy constructor and assignment operator can avoid confusion from implicit invocation and excessive copying

Point\_2011.h

```
class Point {
public:
    Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { } // ctor
    ...
    Point(const Point& copyme) = delete; // declare ctor and "=" as
    Point& operator=(const Point& rhs) = delete; // as deleted (C++11)
private:
    ...
}; // class Point

Point w; // compiler error (no default constructor)
Point x(1, 2); // OK!
Point y = w; // compiler error (no copy constructor)
y = x; // compiler error (no assignment operator)
```

# Clone

- ❖ C++11 style guide tip:
  - If you disable them, then you instead may want an explicit “Clone” function that can be used when occasionally needed

[Point\\_2011.h](#)

```
class Point {
public:
    Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { } // ctor
    void Clone(const Point& copy_from_me);
    ...
    Point(Point& copyme) = delete; // disable cctor
    Point& operator=(Point& rhs) = delete; // disable "="
private:
    ...
}; // class Point
```

[sanepoint.cc](#)

```
Point x(1, 2); // OK
Point y(3, 4); // OK
x.Clone(y); // OK
```

# Access Control

- ❖ **Access modifiers** for members:
  - `public`: accessible to *all* parts of the program
  - `private`: accessible to the member functions of the class
    - Private to *class*, not object instances
  - `protected`: accessible to member functions of the class and any *derived* classes (subclasses – more to come, later)
- ❖ **Reminders:**
  - Access modifiers apply to *all* members that follow until another access modifier is reached
  - If no access modifier is specified, `struct` members default to `public` and `class` members default to `private`

# Nonmember Functions

- ❖ “Nonmember functions” are just normal functions that happen to use some class
  - Called like a regular function instead of as a member of a class object instance
    - This gets a little weird when we talk about operators...
  - These do *not* have access to the class’ private members
- ❖ Useful nonmember functions often included as part of interface to a class
  - Declaration goes in header file, but *outside* of class definition



# friend Nonmember Functions

- ❖ A class can give a nonmember function (or class) access to its non-`public` members by declaring it as a `friend` within its definition
  - Not a class member, but has access privileges as if it were
  - `friend` functions are usually unnecessary if your class includes appropriate “getter” public functions

Complex.h

```
class Complex {  
    ...  
    friend std::istream& operator>>(std::istream& in, Complex& a);  
    ...  
}; // class Complex
```

```
std::istream& operator>>(std::istream& in, Complex& a) {  
    ...  
}
```

Complex.cc 9

# Namespaces

- ❖ Each namespace is a separate scope
  - Useful for avoiding symbol collisions!

- ❖ Namespace definition:

- ```
namespace name {  
    // declarations go here  
} // namespace name
```

- Doesn't end with a semi-colon and doesn't add to the indentation of its contents
- Creates a new namespace name if it did not exist, otherwise *adds to the existing namespace (!)*
  - This means that components (*e.g.* classes, functions) of a namespace can be defined in multiple source files

# Classes vs. Namespaces

- ❖ They seems somewhat similar, but classes are *not* namespaces:
  - There are no instances/objects of a namespace; a namespace is just a group of logically-related things (classes, functions, etc.)
  - To access a member of a namespace, you must use the fully qualified name (*i.e.* `nsp_name::member`)
    - Unless you are `using` that namespace
    - You only used the fully qualified name of a class member when you are defining it outside of the scope of the class definition

# Complex Example Walkthrough

See:

`Complex.h`

`Complex.cc`

`testcomplex.cc`

# Lecture Outline

- ❖ Class Details
  - Filling in some gaps from last time
- ❖ **Using the Heap**
  - `new / delete / delete []`

# C++11 `nullptr`

- ❖ C and C++ have long used `NULL` as a pointer value that references nothing
- ❖ C++11 introduced a new literal for this: `nullptr`
  - New reserved word
  - Interchangeable with `NULL` for all practical purposes, but it has type `T*` for any/every `T`, and is not an integer value
    - Avoids funny edge cases (see C++ references for details)
    - Still can convert to/from integer `0` for tests, assignment, etc.
  - Advice: prefer `nullptr` in C++11 code
    - Though `NULL` will also be around for a long, long time

# new/delete

- ❖ To allocate on the heap using C++, you use the `new` keyword instead of `malloc()` from `stdlib.h`
  - You can use `new` to allocate an object (e.g. `new Point`)
  - You can use `new` to allocate a primitive type (e.g. `new int`)
- ❖ To deallocate a heap-allocated object or primitive, use the `delete` keyword instead of `free()` from `stdlib.h`
  - Don't mix and match!
    - Never `free()` something allocated with `new`
    - Never `delete` something allocated with `malloc()`
    - Careful if you're using a legacy C code library or module in C++

# new/delete Example

```
int* AllocateInt(int x) {  
    int* heapy_int = new int;  
    *heapy_int = x;  
    return heapy_int;  
}
```

```
Point* AllocatePoint(int x, int y) {  
    Point* heapy_pt = new Point(x, y);  
    return heapy_pt;  
}
```

heappoint.cc

```
#include "Point.h"  
  
... // definitions of AllocateInt() and AllocatePoint()  
  
int main() {  
    Point* x = AllocatePoint(1, 2);  
    int* y = AllocateInt(3);  
  
    cout << "x's x_coord: " << x->get_x() << endl;  
    cout << "y: " << y << ", *y: " << *y << endl;  
  
    delete x;  
    delete y;  
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;  
}
```



# Dynamically Allocated Arrays

## ❖ To dynamically allocate an array:

- Default initialize: `type* name = new type[size];`

## ❖ To dynamically deallocate an array:

- Use `delete[] name;`

- It is an *incorrect* to use “`delete name;`” on an array
  - The compiler probably won't catch this, though (!) because it can't always tell if `name*` was allocated with `new type[size];` or `new type;`
    - Especially inside a function where a pointer parameter could point to a single item or an array and there's no way to tell which!
  - Result of wrong `delete` is undefined behavior

# Arrays Example (primitive)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"

int main() {
    int stack_int;
    int* heap_int = new int;
    int* heap_int_init = new int(12);

    int stack_arr[3];
    int* heap_arr = new int[3];

    int* heap_arr_init_val = new int[3]();
    int* heap_arr_init_lst = new int[3]{4, 5}; // C++11

    ...

    delete heap_int; //
    delete heap_int_init; //
    delete heap_arr; //
    delete[] heap_arr_init_val; //

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

# Arrays Example (class objects)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"

int main() {
    ...

    Point stack_pt(1, 2);
    Point* heap_pt = new Point(1, 2);

    Point* heap_pt_arr_err = new Point[2];

    Point* heap_pt_arr_init_lst = new Point[2]{{1, 2}, {3, 4}};
  // C++11

    ...

    delete heap_pt;
    delete[] heap_pt_arr_init_lst;

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

# malloc vs. new

|                          | <code>malloc()</code>                           | <code>new</code>                                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| What is it?              | a function                                      | an operator or keyword                                   |
| How often used (in C)?   | often                                           | never                                                    |
| How often used (in C++)? | rarely                                          | often                                                    |
| Allocated memory for     | anything                                        | arrays, structs, objects, primitives                     |
| Returns                  | a <code>void*</code><br><i>(should be cast)</i> | appropriate pointer type<br><i>(doesn't need a cast)</i> |
| When out of memory       | returns <code>NULL</code>                       | throws an exception                                      |
| Deallocating             | <code>free()</code>                             | <code>delete</code> or <code>delete[]</code>             |

# Dynamically Allocated Class Members

- ❖ What will happen when we invoke `bar()`?
  - Vote at <http://PollEv.com/justinh>
  - If there is an error, how would you fix it?

A. Bad dereference

B. Bad delete

C. Memory leak

D. “Works” fine

E. We’re lost...

```
Foo::Foo(int val) { Init(val); }
Foo::~~Foo() { delete foo_ptr_; }

void Foo::Init(int val) {
    foo_ptr_ = new int;
    *foo_ptr_ = val;
}

Foo& Foo::operator=(const Foo& rhs) {
    delete foo_ptr_;
    Init(*(rhs.foo_ptr_));
    return *this;
}

void bar() {
    Foo a(10);
    Foo b(20);
    a = a;
}
```

# Heap Member Example

- ❖ Let's build a class to simulate some of the functionality of the C++ string
  - Internal representation: c-string to hold characters
- ❖ What might we want to implement in the class?

# Str Class Walkthrough

Str.h

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class Str {
public:
    Str();           // default ctor
    Str(const char* s); // c-string ctor
    Str(const Str& s); // copy ctor
    ~Str();         // dtor

    int length() const; // return length of string
    char* c_str() const; // return a copy of st_
    void append(const Str& s);

    Str& operator=(const Str& s); // string assignment

    friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Str& s);

private:
    char* st_; // c-string on heap (terminated by '\0')
}; // class Str
```

# Str::append

❖ Complete the **append** () member function:

- `char* strncpy(char* dst, char* src, size_t num);`
- `char* strncat(char* dst, char* src, size_t num);`

```
#include <cstring>
#include "Str.h"
// append contents of s to the end of this string
void Str::append(const Str& s) {

}
}
```



# Extra Exercise #1

- ❖ Write a C++ function that:
  - Uses `new` to dynamically allocate an array of strings and uses `delete []` to free it
  - Uses `new` to dynamically allocate an array of pointers to strings
    - Assign each entry of the array to a string allocated using `new`
  - Cleans up before exiting
    - Use `delete` to delete each allocated string
    - Uses `delete []` to delete the string pointer array
    - (whew!)