POSIX I/O, System Calls

CSE 333 Summer 2020

Instructor: Travis McGaha

Teaching Assistants:

Jeter Arellano	Ramya Challa	Kyrie Dowling
Ian Hsiao	Allen Jung	Sylvia Wang

Poll Everywhere pollev.com/cse33320su

About how long did Exercise 5 take?

A. 0-1 Hours
B. 1-2 Hours
C. 2-3 Hours
D. 3-4 Hours
E. 4+ Hours
F. I didn’t submit / I prefer not to say

Administrivia

- Exercise 7 posted *tomorrow*, due Monday (7/13)
  - Comment your code, check linter and valgrind
- Homework 1 due tomorrow night (7/9)
  - Clean up "to do" comments, but leave "STEP #" markers
  - Graded not just on correctness, also code quality and short answer questions.
  - OH tomorrow will likely go on late into the night. Check the Ed discussion board to see if OH is still going.
  - Late days: don't tag `hw1-final` until you are really ready
    - Please use them if you need to!
- Homework 2 will be released on Friday (7/10)

Administrivia

- HW 0 Feedback pushed out yesterday
  - Contact staff if you have questions or want to request a regrade.
- New Uncertainty for International Students…..
  - If you are facing duress, please come talk to us. We'd be glad to help
  - Don't feel weak for asking for assistance
  - This applies to anyone who is facing difficulties in life. We are here to help.

Lecture Outline

- **POSIX Lower-Level I/O**
- System Calls

Remember This Picture?



We Need To Go Deeper…

- So far we've seen the C standard library to access files
  - Use a provided `FILE*` *stream* abstraction
  - `fopen()`, `fread()`, `fwrite()`, `fclose()`, `fseek()`
- These are convenient and portable
  - They are buffered*
  - They are implemented using lower-level OS calls

From C to POSIX

- Most UNIX-en support a common set of lower-level file access APIs: POSIX – Portable Operating System Interface
  - `open()`, `read()`, `write()`, `close()`, `lseek()`
    - Similar in spirit to their `f*()` counterparts from the C std lib
    - Lower-level and unbuffered compared to their counterparts
    - Also less convenient
  - C stdlib doesn't provide everything POSIX does
    - You will have to use these to read file system directories and for network I/O, so we might as well learn them now

open()/close()

- To open a file:
  - Pass in the filename and access mode
    - Similar to **fopen()**
  - Get back a "file descriptor"
    - Similar to `FILE*` from **fopen()**, but is just an `int`
    - Defaults: **0** is `stdin`, **1** is `stdout`, **2** is `stderr`
      - `-1 indicates error`

```
#include <fcntl.h>     // for open()
#include <unistd.h>    // for close()
  ...
  int fd = open("foo.txt", O_RDONLY);
  if (fd == -1) {
    perror("open failed");
    exit(EXIT_FAILURE);
  }
  ...
  close(fd);
```

Reading from a File

Stores read result in buf

Number of bytes

```
ssize_t read(int fd, void* buf, size_t count);
```

- Returns the number of bytes read
  - Might be fewer bytes than you requested (**!!!**)
  - Returns **0** if you're already at the end-of-file
  - Returns **-1** on error (and sets `errno`)
  - Advances forward in the file by number of bytes read



- There are some surprising error modes (check `errno`)
  - `EBADF`: bad file descriptor
  - `EFAULT`: output buffer is not a valid address
  - `EINTR`: read was interrupted, please try again (ARGH!!!! 😤😠)
  - And many others…

Defined in errno.h

Poll Everywhere

pollev.com/cse33320su

- Let's say we want to read 'n' bytes. Which is the correct completion of the blank below?

```
char* buf = ...;  // buffer of size n
int bytes_left = n;
int result;       // result of read()

while (bytes_left > 0) {
  result = read(fd, ______, bytes_left);
  if (result == -1) {
    if (errno != EINTR) {
      // a real error happened,
      // so return an error result
    }
    // EINTR happened,
    // so do nothing and try again
    continue; Keyword that jumps
              to beginning of loop
  }
  bytes_left -= result;
}
```

A. buf

B. buf + bytes_left

C. buf + bytes_left - n

D. buf + n - bytes_left

E. We're lost...

Poll Everywhere

pollev.com/cse33320su

- Let's say we want to read 'n' bytes. Which is the correct completion of the blank below?

if first read only reads n/4 bytes




```
char* buf = ...;   // buffer of size n
int bytes_left = n;
int result;        // result of read()

while (bytes_left > 0) {
  result = read(fd, ______, bytes_left);
  if (result == -1) {
    if (errno != EINTR) {
      // a real error happened,
      // so return an error result
    }
    // EINTR happened,
    // so do nothing and try again
    continue;
  }
  bytes_left -= result;
}
```

Keyword that jumps to beginning of loop (annotation on `continue;`)

A. buf

B. buf + bytes_left

C. buf + bytes_left - n

D. buf + n - bytes_left

E. We're lost…

One method to `read()` n bytes

```
int fd = open(filename, O_RDONLY);
char* buf = ...;  // buffer of appropriate size
int bytes_left = n;
int result;

while (bytes_left > 0) {
  result = read(fd, buf + (n - bytes_left), bytes_left);
  if (result == -1) {
    if (errno != EINTR) {
      // a real error happened, so return an error result
    }
    // EINTR happened, so do nothing and try again
    continue;  Keyword that jumps to beginning of loop
  } else if (result == 0) {
    // EOF reached, so stop reading
    break;          To prevent an infinite loop
  }
  bytes_left -= result;
}

close(fd);
```

readN.c

Other Low-Level Functions

- Read man pages to learn about:
  - **`write`**`()` – write data
    - `#include <unistd.h>`
  - **`fsync`**`()` – flush data to the underlying device
    - `#include <unistd.h>`
  - **`opendir`**`()`, **`readdir`**`()`, **`closedir`**`()` – deal with directory listings
    - Make sure you read the section 3 version (*e.g.* `man 3 opendir`)
    - `#include <dirent.h>`

- A useful shortcut sheet (from CMU): http://www.cs.cmu.edu/~guna/15-123S11/Lectures/Lecture24.pdf

C Standard Library vs. POSIX

- C std lib implements a subset of POSIX
  - *e.g.* POSIX provides directory manipulation that C std lib doesn't
- C std lib implements automatic buffering
- C std lib has a nicer API

- The two are similar but C std lib builds on top of POSIX
  - Choice between high-level and low-level
  - Will depend on the requirements of your application

Lecture Outline

- POSIX Lower-Level I/O
- **System Calls**

What's an OS?

- Software that:
  - Directly interacts with the hardware
    - OS is trusted to do so; user-level programs are not
    - OS must be ported to new hardware; user-level programs are portable
  - Abstracts away messy hardware devices
    - Provides high-level, convenient, portable abstractions (*e.g.* files, disk blocks)
  - Manages (allocates, schedules, protects) hardware resources
    - Decides which programs have permission to access which files, memory locations, pixels on the screen, etc. and when

OS: Abstraction Provider

- The OS is the "layer below"
  - A module that your program can call (with system calls)
  - Provides a powerful OS API – POSIX, Windows, etc.



File System

- open(), read(), write(), close(), …

Network Stack

- connect(), listen(), read(), write(), ...

Virtual Memory

- brk(), shm_open(), …

Process Management

- fork(), wait(), nice(), …

OS: Protection System

- OS isolates process from each other
  - But permits controlled sharing between them
    - Through shared name spaces (*e.g.* file names)
- OS isolates itself from processes
  - Must prevent processes from accessing the hardware directly
- OS is allowed to access the hardware
  - User-level processes run with the CPU (processor) in unprivileged mode
  - The OS runs with the CPU in privileged mode
  - User-level processes invoke system calls to safely enter the OS



System Call Trace (high-level view)

A CPU (thread of execution) is running user-level code in Process A; the CPU is set to *unprivileged mode*.



System Call Trace (high-level view)

Code in Process A invokes a system call; the hardware then sets the CPU to *privileged mode* and traps into the OS, which invokes the appropriate system call handler.



System Call Trace (high-level view)

Because the CPU executing the thread that's in the OS is in privileged mode, it is able to use *privileged instructions* that interact directly with hardware devices like disks.



System Call Trace (high-level view)

Once the OS has finished servicing the system call, which might involve long waits as it interacts with HW, it:

(1) Sets the CPU back to unprivileged mode and

(2) Returns out of the system call back to the user-level code in Process A.



System Call Trace (high-level view)

The process continues executing whatever code is next after the system call invocation.



Useful reference:
CSPP § 8.1–8.3
(the 351 book)

"Library calls" on x86/Linux

- A more accurate picture:
  - Consider a typical Linux process
  - Its thread of execution can be in one of several places:
    - In your program's code
    - In `glibc`, a shared library containing the C standard library, POSIX, support, and more
    - In the Linux architecture-independent code
    - In Linux x86-64 code



"Library calls" on x86/Linux: Option 1

- Some routines your program invokes may be entirely handled by `glibc` without involving the kernel
  - *e.g.* **`strcmp`**`()` from `stdio.h`
  - There is some initial overhead when invoking functions in dynamically linked libraries (during loading)
    - But after symbols are resolved, invoking `glibc` routines is basically as fast as a function call within your program itself!



"Library calls" on x86/Linux: Option 2

- Some routines may be handled by `glibc`, but they in turn invoke Linux system calls
  - *e.g.* POSIX wrappers around Linux `syscalls`
    - POSIX **`readdir`**`()` invokes the underlying Linux **`readdir`**`()`
  - *e.g.* C `stdio` functions that read and write from files
    - **`fopen`**`()`, **`fclose`**`()`, **`fprintf`**`()` invoke underlying Linux **`open`**`()`, **`close`**`()`, **`write`**`()`, etc.



"Library calls" on x86/Linux: Option 3

- Your program can choose to directly invoke Linux system calls as well
  - Nothing is forcing you to link with `glibc` and use it
  - But relying on directly-invoked Linux system calls may make your program less portable across UNIX varieties



Details on x86/Linux

- Let's walk through how a Linux system call actually works
  - We'll assume *32-bit x86* using the modern `SYSENTER` / `SYSEXIT` x86 instructions
    - x86-64 code is similar, though details always change over time, so take this as an example – not a debugging guide



System Calls on x86/Linux

Remember our process address space picture?

- Let's add some details:





System Calls on x86/Linux

Process is executing your program code





System Calls on x86/Linux

Process calls into a `glibc` function

- *e.g.* **`fopen`**`()`
- We'll ignore the messy details of loading/linking shared libraries





System Calls on x86/Linux

`glibc` begins the process of invoking a Linux system call

- `glibc`'s **`fopen`**`()` likely invokes Linux's **`open`**`()` system call
- Puts the system call # and arguments into registers
- Uses the **`call`** x86 instruction to call into the routine `__kernel_vsyscall` located in `linux-gate.so`





System Calls on x86/Linux

`linux-gate.so` is a **vdso**

- A virtual dynamically-linked shared object
- Is a kernel-provided shared library that is plunked into a process' address space
- Provides the intricate machine code needed to trigger a system call

(details not important)





System Calls on x86/Linux

`linux-gate.so` eventually invokes the `SYSENTER` x86 instruction

- `SYSENTER` is x86's "fast system call" instruction
  - Causes the CPU to raise its privilege level
  - Traps into the Linux kernel by changing the SP, IP to a previously-determined location
  - Changes some segmentation-related registers (see CSE451)





System Calls on x86/Linux

The kernel begins executing code at the `SYSENTER` entry point

- Is in the architecture-dependent part of Linux
- It's job is to:
  - Look up the system call number in a system call dispatch table
  - Call into the address stored in that table entry; this is Linux's system call handler
    - For `open()`, the handler is named `sys_open`, and is system call #5





System Calls on x86/Linux

The system call handler executes

- What it does is system-call specific
- It may take a long time to execute, especially if it has to interact with hardware
  - Linux may choose to context switch the CPU to a different runnable process





System Calls on x86/Linux

Eventually, the system call handler finishes

- Returns back to the system call entry point
  - Places the system call's return value in the appropriate register
  - Calls `SYSEXIT` to return to the user-level code





System Calls on x86/Linux

SYSEXIT transitions the processor back to user-mode code

- Restores the IP, SP to user-land values
- Sets the CPU back to unprivileged mode
- Changes some segmentation-related registers (see CSE451)
- Returns the processor back to glibc





System Calls on x86/Linux

`glibc` continues to execute

- Might execute more system calls
- Eventually returns back to your program code





System Calls - Simplified Version

- The OS is a super complicated program "Overseer" program for the computer.
  - It is the only software that is directly trusted with Hardware access
- If a user process wants to access an OS feature, they must invoke a system call
  - A system call involves context-switching into the OS, which has some overhead
  - The OS will handle hardware/special functionality directly, user processes will not touch anything themselves. User process will wait for OS to finish
  - OS will eventually finish, return result to user, and context switch back

A System Call Analogy

- The OS is a very wise and knowledgeable wizard
  - It has many dangerous and powerful artifacts, but it doesn't trust others to use them. Will perform tasks on request.
- If a civilian wants to access a "magical" feature, they must fill out a request to the wizard.
  - It takes some time for the wizard to start processing the request, they must ensure they do everything safely
  - The wizard will handle the powerful artifacts themselves. The user WILL NOT TOUCH ANYTHING.
  - Wizard will take a second to analyze results and put away artifacts before giving results back to the user.

strace

- A useful Linux utility that shows the sequence of system calls that a process makes:

```
bash$ strace ls 2>&1 | less
execve("/usr/bin/ls", ["ls"], [/* 41 vars */]) = 0
brk(NULL)                                       = 0x15aa000
mmap(NULL, 4096, PROT_READ|PROT_WRITE, MAP_PRIVATE|MAP_ANONYMOUS, -1, 0) =
   0x7f03bb741000
access("/etc/ld.so.preload", R_OK)      = -1 ENOENT (No such file or directory)
open("/etc/ld.so.cache", O_RDONLY|O_CLOEXEC) = 3
fstat(3, {st_mode=S_IFREG|0644, st_size=126570, ...}) = 0
mmap(NULL, 126570, PROT_READ, MAP_PRIVATE, 3, 0) = 0x7f03bb722000
close(3)                                        = 0
open("/lib64/libselinux.so.1", O_RDONLY|O_CLOEXEC) = 3
read(3, "\177ELF\2\1\1\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\0\3\0>\0\1\0\0\0\300j\0\0\0\0\0\0"...,
   832) = 832
fstat(3, {st_mode=S_IFREG|0755, st_size=155744, ...}) = 0
mmap(NULL, 2255216, PROT_READ|PROT_EXEC, MAP_PRIVATE|MAP_DENYWRITE, 3, 0) =
   0x7f03bb2fa000
mprotect(0x7f03bb31e000, 2093056, PROT_NONE) = 0
mmap(0x7f03bb51d000, 8192, PROT_READ|PROT_WRITE,
   MAP_PRIVATE|MAP_FIXED|MAP_DENYWRITE, 3, 0x23000) = 0x7f03bb51d000
... etc ...
```

If You're Curious

- Download the Linux kernel source code
  - Available from http://www.kernel.org/
- `man`, section 2: Linux system calls
  - `man 2 intro`
  - `man 2 syscalls`
- `man`, section 3: `glibc/libc` library functions
  - `man 3 intro`
- *The* book: *The Linux Programming Interface* by Michael Kerrisk (keeper of the Linux man pages)