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Administrivia

- Exercise 14 released today, due Friday
  - C++ inheritance with abstract class

- hw3 is due next Thursday (5/23)
  - Will release starter videos instead of covering in section
  - Suggestion: write index files to `/tmp/`, which is a local scratch disk and is very fast, but please clean up when you’re done

- Midterm grading
  - Submit regrade requests via Gradescope for each subquestion
    - These go to different graders
  - Regrade requests open until end of tomorrow (5/16)

- Mid-quarter Survey (Canvas) due tonight
Lecture Outline

- **C++ Inheritance**
  - Static Dispatch
  - Abstract Classes
  - Constructors and Destructors
  - Assignment

- **C++ Casting**

- Reference: *C++ Primer*, Chapter 15
virtual is “sticky”

- If $X :: f()$ is declared virtual, then a vtable will be created for class $X$ and for all of its subclasses
  - The vtables will include function pointers for (the correct) $f$

- $f()$ will be called using dynamic dispatch even if overridden in a derived class without the virtual keyword
  - Good style to help the reader and avoid bugs by using override

  - Style guide controversy, if you use override should you use virtual in derived classes? Recent style guides say just use override, but you’ll sometimes see both, particularly in older code
What happens if we omit “virtual”?  

- By default, without virtual, methods are dispatched *statically*
  - At compile time, the compiler writes in a *call* to the address of the class’ method in the `.text` segment
    - Based on the compile-time visible type of the callee
  - This is *different* than Java

```cpp
class Derived : public Base { ... };

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    Derived d;
    Derived* dp = &d;
    Base* bp = &d;
    dp->foo();
    bp->foo();
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```
Static Dispatch Example

- Removed `virtual` on methods:

```cpp
// Stock.h

double Stock::GetMarketValue() const;
double Stock::GetProfit() const;
```

```cpp
DividendStock dividend();
DividendStock* ds = &dividend;
Stock* s = &dividend;

// Invokes DividendStock::GetMarketValue()
ds->GetMarketValue();

// Invokes Stock::GetMarketValue()
s->GetMarketValue();

// invokes Stock::GetProfit(), since that method is inherited.
// Stock::GetProfit() invokes Stock::GetMarketValue().
ds->GetProfit();

// invokes Stock::GetProfit().
// Stock::GetProfit() invokes Stock::GetMarketValue().
s->GetProfit();
```

*recall that this is inherited by DividendStock and calls GetMarketValue()*. 
Why Not Always Use virtual?

- Two (fairly uncommon) reasons:
  - Efficiency:
    - Non-virtual function calls are a tiny bit faster (no indirect lookup)
    - A class with no virtual functions has objects without a vptr field
  - Control:
    - If \( f() \) calls \( g() \) in class X and \( g \) is not virtual, we’re guaranteed to call \( X::g() \) and not \( g() \) in some subclass
      - Particularly useful for framework design
- In Java, all methods are virtual, except static class methods, which aren’t associated with objects
- In C++ and C#, you can pick what you want
  - Omitting virtual can cause obscure bugs
Mixed Dispatch

- Which function is called is a mix of both compile time and runtime decisions as well as how you call the function
  - If called on an object (e.g. `obj.Fcn()`) , usually optimized into a hard-coded function call at compile time
  - If called via a pointer or reference:
    ```
    PromisedT *ptr = new ActualT;
    ptr->Fcn(); // which version is called?
    ```
Mixed Dispatch Example

class A {
    public:
    // m1 will use static dispatch
    void m1() { cout << "a1, " ; }
    // m2 will use dynamic dispatch
    virtual void m2() { cout << "a2" ; }
};

class B : public A {
    public:
    // m1 will use static dispatch
    void m1() { cout << "b1, " ; }
    // m2 is still virtual by default
    void m2() { cout << "b2" ; }
};

void main(int argc, char** argv) {
    A a;
    B b;
    A* a_ptr_a = &a;
    A* a_ptr_b = &b;
    B* b_ptr_a = &a;
    B* b_ptr_b = &b;

    a_ptr_a->m1(); // A::m1-a1,
    a_ptr_a->m2(); // A::m2-a2
    a_ptr_b->m1(); // A::m1-b1,
    a_ptr_b->m2(); // A::m2-b2

    b_ptr_b->m1(); // B::m1-b1,
    b_ptr_b->m2(); // B::m2-b2
}
Practice Question

❖ Whose `Foo()` is called?


Q1          Q2

A. A  A
B. A  B
C. D  A
D. D  B
E. We’re lost...

class A {
public:
    void Foo();
};
class B : public A {
public:
    virtual void Foo();
};
class C : public B {
};
class D : public C {
public:
    (virtual) void Foo();
};
class E : public C {
};
Abstract Classes

- Sometimes we want to include a function in a class but only implement it in derived classes
  - In Java, we would use an abstract method
  - In C++, we use a “pure virtual” function
    - Example: `virtual string noise() = 0;`

- A class containing any pure virtual methods is abstract
  - You can’t create instances of an abstract class
  - Extend abstract classes and override methods to use them

- A class containing only pure virtual methods is the same as a Java interface
  - Pure type specification without implementations
Lecture Outline
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  - Constructors and Destructors
  - Assignment

- **C++ Casting**

- Reference: *C++ Primer*, Chapter 15
Derived-Class Objects

- A derived object contains “subobjects” corresponding to the data members inherited from each base class
  - No guarantees about how these are laid out in memory (not even contiguousness between subobjects)

- Conceptual structure of `DividendStock` object:
Constructors and Inheritance

- A derived class **does not inherit** the base class’ constructor
  - The derived class must have its own constructor
  - A synthesized default constructor for the derived class first invokes the default constructor of the base class and then initialize the derived class’ member variables
    - Compiler error if the base class has no default constructor
  - The base class constructor is invoked *before* the constructor of the derived class
    - You can use the initialization list of the derived class to specify which base class constructor to use
Constructor Examples

badctor.cc

```cpp
class Base { // no default ctor
    public:
        Base(int yi) : y(yi) { }
    int y;
};

// Compiler error when you try to
// instantiate a Der1, as the
// synthesized default ctor needs
// to invoke Base's default ctor.
class Der1 : public Base {
    public:
        int z;
};

class Der2 : public Base {
    public:
        Der2(int yi, int zi)
            : Base(yi), z(zi) { }
        int z;
};
```

goodctor.cc

```cpp
// has default ctor
class Base {
    public:
        int y;
};

// works now
class Der1 : public Base {
    public:
        int z;
};

// still works
class Der2 : public Base {
    public:
        Der2(int zi) : z(zi) { }
        int z;
};
```
Destructors and Inheritance

- Destructor of a derived class:
  - *First* runs body of the dtor
  - *Then* invokes of the dtor of the base class

- Static dispatch of destructors is almost always a mistake!
  - Good habit to always define a dtor as virtual
    - Empty body if there’s no work to do

```cpp
class Base {
   public:
      Base() { x = new int; }
      ~Base() { delete x; }
      int* x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
   public:
      Der1() { y = new int; }
      ~Der1() { delete y; }
      int* y;
};

void foo() {
   Base* b0ptr = new Base;
   Base* b1ptr = new Der1;

   delete b0ptr; // deletes x
   delete b1ptr; // only deletes x - leaks y!
}
```
Assignment and Inheritance

- C++ allows you to assign the value of a derived class to an instance of a base class
  - Known as object slicing
    - It’s legal since \( b = d \) passes type checking rules
    - But \( b \) doesn’t have space for any extra fields in \( d \)

```cpp
class Base {
public:
    Base(int xi) : x(xi) { }
    int x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    Der1(int yi) : Base(16), y(yi) { }
    int y;
};

void foo() {
    Base b(1);
    Der1 d(2);

    d = b;  // compiler error - not enough info
    b = d;  // OK, but what happens to y?
}
```
STL and Inheritance

- Recall: STL containers store copies of values
  - What happens when we want to store mixes of object types in a single container? *(e.g. Stock and DividendStock)*
  - You get sliced 😞

```cpp
#include <list>
#include "Stock.h"
#include "DividendStock.h"

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    Stock s;
    DividendStock ds;
    list<Stock> li;

    li.push_back(s);  // OK
    li.push_back(ds); // OUCH!

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```
STL and Inheritance

- Instead, store **pointers to heap-allocated objects** in STL containers
  - No slicing! 😊
  - `sort()` does the wrong thing 😞 — sorts on addresses
  - You have to remember to **delete** your objects before destroying the container 😞
    - Smart pointers!

```
vector<shared_ptr<Stock>>
```

- `Stock` and `DividendStock`
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Reference: *C++ Primer* §4.11.3, 19.2.1
Explicit Casting in C

- Simple syntax: \( \text{lhs} = (\text{new\_type}) \text{rhs}; \)

- Used to:
  - Convert between pointers of arbitrary type
    - Don’t change the data, but treat differently
  - Forcibly convert a primitive type to another
    - Actually changes the representation

- You can still use C-style casting in C++, but sometimes the intent is not clear
Casting in C++

- C++ provides an alternative casting style that is more informative:
  - `static_cast<to_type>(expression)`
  - `dynamic_cast<to_type>(expression)`
  - `const_cast<to_type>(expression)`
  - `reinterpret_cast<to_type>(expression)`

- Always use these in C++ code
  - Intent is clearer
  - Easier to find in code via searching
static_cast

- **static_cast** can convert:
  - Pointers to classes of related type
    - Compiler error if classes are not related
    - Dangerous to cast down a class hierarchy
  - Non-pointer conversion
    - e.g. float to int

- **static_cast** is checked at compile time
**dynamic_cast**

- **dynamic_cast** can convert:
  - Pointers to classes of related type
  - References to classes of related type
- **dynamic_cast** is checked at both compile time and run time
  - Casts between unrelated classes fail at compile time
  - Casts from base to derived fail at run time if the pointed-to object is not the derived type

```cpp
class Base { 
  public:
    virtual void foo() { } 
    float x;
};

class Der1 : public Base { 
  public: 
    char x;
};

void bar() { 
  Base b; Der1 d;

  // OK (run-time check passes)
  Base* bptr = dynamic_cast<Base*>(&d);
  assert(bptr != nullptr);

  // OK (run-time check passes)
  Der1* dptr = dynamic_cast<Der1*>(bptr);
  assert(dptr != nullptr);

  // Run-time check fails, returns nullptr
  bptr = &b;
  dptr = dynamic_cast<Der1*>(bptr);
  assert(dptr != nullptr);
}
```
**const_cast**

- **const_cast** adds or strips const-ness
  - Dangerous (!)

```cpp
void foo(int* x) {
    *x++;
}

void bar(const int* x) {
    foo(x);       // compiler error
    foo(const_cast<int*>(x));  // succeeds
}

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    int x = 7;
    bar(&x);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```
reinterpret_cast

- **reinterpret_cast** casts between *incompatible* types
- Low-level reinterpretation of the bit pattern
- *e.g.* storing a pointer in an `int`, or vice-versa
  - Works as long as the integral type is “wide” enough
- Converting between incompatible pointers
  - Dangerous (!)
  - This is used (carefully) in hw3
Extra Exercise #1

- Design a class hierarchy to represent shapes
  - *e.g.* Circle, Triangle, Square

- Implement methods that:
  - Construct shapes
  - Move a shape (*i.e.* add \((x,y)\) to the shape position)
  - Returns the centroid of the shape
  - Returns the area of the shape
  - `Print()` , which prints out the details of a shape
Extra Exercise #2

- Implement a program that uses Extra Exercise #1 (shapes class hierarchy):
  - Constructs a vector of shapes
  - Sorts the vector according to the area of the shape
  - Prints out each member of the vector

- Notes:
  - Avoid slicing!
  - Make sure the sorting works properly!