

C++ Inheritance II, Casts

CSE 333 Spring 2019

Instructor: Justin Hsia

Teaching Assistants:

Aaron Johnston

Andrew Hu

Daniel Snitkovskiy

Forrest Timour

Kevin Bi

Kory Watson

Pat Kosakanchit

Renshu Gu

Tarkan Al-Kazily

Travis McGaha

Administrivia

- ❖ Exercise 14 released today, due Friday
 - C++ inheritance with abstract class
- ❖ hw3 is due next Thursday (5/23)
 - Will release starter videos instead of covering in section
 - Suggestion: write index files to `/tmp/`, which is a local scratch disk and is very fast, but please clean up when you're done
- ❖ Midterm grading
 - Submit regrade requests via Gradescope for *each* subquestion
 - These go to different graders
 - Regrade requests open until end of tomorrow (5/16)
- ❖ Mid-quarter Survey (Canvas) due tonight

Lecture Outline

❖ C++ Inheritance

- Static Dispatch
- Abstract Classes
- Constructors and Destructors
- Assignment

❖ C++ Casting

- ❖ Reference: *C++ Primer*, Chapter 15

virtual is “sticky”

- ❖ If `X::f()` is declared virtual, then a vtable will be created for class `X` and for *all* of its subclasses
 - The vtables will include function pointers for (the correct) `f`
- ❖ `f()` will be called using dynamic dispatch even if overridden in a derived class without the `virtual` keyword
 - Good style to help the reader *and avoid bugs* by using `override`
 - Style guide controversy, if you use `override` should you use `virtual` in derived classes? Recent style guides say just use `override`, but you’ll sometimes see both, particularly in older code

What happens if we omit “virtual”?

- ❖ By default, without virtual, methods are dispatched *statically*
 - At compile time, the compiler writes in a `call` to the address of the class' method in the `.text` segment
 - Based on the compile-time visible type of the callee
 - This is *different* than Java

```
class Derived : public Base { ... };  
  
int main(int argc, char** argv) {  
    Derived d;  
    Derived* dp = &d;  
    Base* bp = &d;  
    dp->foo();  
    bp->foo();  
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;  
}
```

Derived::foo()
...

Base::foo()
...

Static Dispatch Example

- ❖ Removed `virtual` on methods:

Stock.h

```
double Stock::GetMarketValue() const;
double Stock::GetProfit() const;
```

recall that this is inherited by DividendStock and calls GetMarketValue()

```
DividendStock dividend();
DividendStock* ds = &dividend;
Stock* s = &dividend;

// Invokes DividendStock::GetMarketValue()
ds->GetMarketValue();

// Invokes Stock::GetMarketValue()
s->GetMarketValue();

// invokes Stock::GetProfit(), since that method is inherited.
// Stock::GetProfit() invokes Stock::GetMarketValue().
ds->GetProfit();

// invokes Stock::GetProfit().
// Stock::GetProfit() invokes Stock::GetMarketValue().
s->GetProfit();
```


Why Not Always Use `virtual`?

- ❖ Two (fairly uncommon) reasons:
 - Efficiency:
 - Non-virtual function calls are a tiny bit faster (no indirect lookup)
 - A class with no virtual functions has objects without a `vptr` field
 - Control:
 - If `f()` calls `g()` in class `X` and `g` is not virtual, we're guaranteed to call `X::g()` and not `g()` in some subclass
 - Particularly useful for framework design
- ❖ In Java, all methods are virtual, except `static` class methods, which aren't associated with objects
- ❖ In C++ and C#, you can pick what you want
 - Omitting virtual can cause obscure bugs

Mixed Dispatch

- ❖ Which function is called is a mix of both compile time and runtime decisions as well as *how* you call the function
 - If called on an object (e.g. `obj.Fcn()`), usually optimized into a hard-coded function call at compile time
 - If called via a pointer or reference:

```
PromisedT *ptr = new ActualT;  
ptr->Fcn(); // which version is called?
```




Mixed Dispatch Example

mixed.cc

```
class A {
public:
    // m1 will use static dispatch
    void m1() { cout << "a1, "; }
    // m2 will use dynamic dispatch
    virtual void m2() { cout << "a2"; }
};

class B : public A {
public:
    void m1() { cout << "b1, "; }
    // m2 is still virtual by default
    (virtual) void m2() { cout << "b2"; }
};
```



```
void main(int argc,
           char** argv) {
    A a;
    B b;

    A* a_ptr_a = &a;
    A* a_ptr_b = &b;
    B* b_ptr_a = &a; // compiler error
    B* b_ptr_b = &b;

    a_ptr_a->m1(); // A::m1 - a1,
    a_ptr_a->m2(); // A::m2 - a2

    a_ptr_b->m1(); // A::m1 - a1,
    a_ptr_b->m2(); // B::m2 - b2


    b_ptr_b->m1(); // B::m1 - b1,
    b_ptr_b->m2(); // B::m2 - b2
}
```

Practice Question

❖ Whose **Foo** () is called?

test.cc

- Vote at <http://PollEv.com/justinh>



```

void Bar () {
    D d;
    E e;
    A* a_ptr = &d;
    C* c_ptr = &e;

    // Q1: A::Foo()
    a_ptr->Foo();

    // Q2: B::Foo()
    c_ptr->Foo();
}
  
```

```

class A {
public:
    void Foo();
};

class B : public A {
public:
    virtual void Foo();
};

class C : public B {
};

class D : public C {
public:
    (virtual) void Foo();
};

class E : public C {
};
  
```

- | | Q1 | Q2 |
|----|---------------|----|
| A. | A | A |
| B. | A | B |
| C. | D | A |
| D. | D | B |
| E. | We're lost... | |

Abstract Classes

- ❖ Sometimes we want to include a function in a class but *only* implement it in derived classes
 - In Java, we would use an abstract method
 - In C++, we use a “pure virtual” function
 - Example: `virtual string noise () = 0;`
- ❖ A class containing *any* pure virtual methods is **abstract**
 - You can't create instances of an abstract class
 - Extend abstract classes and override methods to use them
- ❖ A class containing *only* pure virtual methods is the same as a Java interface
 - Pure type specification without implementations

Lecture Outline

❖ C++ Inheritance

- Static Dispatch
- Abstract Classes
- **Constructors and Destructors**
- **Assignment**


❖ C++ Casting

❖ Reference: *C++ Primer*, Chapter 15

Derived-Class Objects

- ❖ A derived object contains “subobjects” corresponding to the data members inherited from each base class
 - No guarantees about how these are laid out in memory (not even contiguousness between subobjects)

- ❖ Conceptual structure of `DividendStock` object:



Constructors and Inheritance

- ❖ A derived class **does not inherit** the base class' constructor
 - The derived class must have its own constructor
 - A synthesized default constructor for the derived class first invokes the default constructor of the base class and then initialize the derived class' member variables
 - Compiler error if the base class has no default constructor
 - The base class constructor is invoked *before* the constructor of the derived class
 - You can use the initialization list of the derived class to specify which base class constructor to use

Constructor Examples

badctor.cc

```
class Base { // no default ctor
public:
    Base(int yi) : y(yi) { }
    int y;
};

// Compiler error when you try to
// instantiate a Der1, as the
// synthesized default ctor needs
// to invoke Base's default ctor.
class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    int z;
};

class Der2 : public Base {
public:
    Der2(int yi, int zi)
        : Base(yi), z(zi) { }
    int z;
};
```

invokes a specific constructor

goodctor.cc

```
// has default ctor
class Base {
public:
    int y;
};

// works now
class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    int z;
};

// still works
class Der2 : public Base {
public:
    Der2(int zi) : z(zi) { }
    int z;
};
```

Destructors and Inheritance

baddtor.cc

- ❖ Destructor of a derived class:
 - First runs body of the dtor
 - Then invokes of the dtor of the base class

- ❖ Static dispatch of destructors is almost always a mistake!
 - Good habit to always define a dtor as virtual
 - Empty body if there's no work to do

```

class Base {
public:
    Base() { x = new int; }
    ~Base() { delete x; } // static dispatch
    int* x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    Der1() { y = new int; }
    ~Der1() { delete y; }
    int* y;
};

void foo() {
    Base* b0ptr = new Base;
    Base* b1ptr = new Der1;

    delete b0ptr; // deletes x
    delete b1ptr; // only deletes x - leaks y!
}
    
```

↑ invokes ~Base()

Assignment and Inheritance

- ❖ C++ allows you to assign the value of a derived class to an instance of a base class
 - Known as **object slicing**
 - It's legal since `b = d` passes type checking rules
 - But `b` doesn't have space for any extra fields in `d`

slicing.cc

```
class Base {
public:
    Base(int xi) : x(xi) { }
    int x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    Der1(int yi) : Base(16), y(yi) { }
    int y;
};

void foo() {
    Base b(1);
    Der1 d(2);

    d = b;    // compiler error - not enough info
    b = d;    // OK, but what happens to y?
}
```

STL and Inheritance

- ❖ Recall: STL containers store **copies of values**
 - What happens when we want to store mixes of object types in a single container? (e.g. Stock and DividendStock)
 - You get sliced 😞

```
#include <list>
#include "Stock.h"
#include "DividendStock.h"

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    Stock s;
    DividendStock ds;
    list<Stock> li;

    li.push_back(s);    // OK
    li.push_back(ds);  // OUCH!

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

STL and Inheritance

- ❖ Instead, store **pointers to heap-allocated objects** in STL containers
 - No slicing! 😊
 - `sort()` does the wrong thing 😞 — *sorts on addresses*
 - You have to remember to `delete` your objects before destroying the container 😞
 - Smart pointers!

`vector < shared_ptr < Stock > >`

Stock DividendStock

Lecture Outline

❖ C++ Inheritance

- Static Dispatch
- Abstract Classes
- Constructors and Destructors
- Assignment

❖ C++ Casting

- ❖ Reference: *C++ Primer* §4.11.3, 19.2.1

Explicit Casting in C

- ❖ Simple syntax: `lhs = (new_type) rhs;`
- ❖ Used to:
 - Convert between pointers of arbitrary type
 - Don't change the data, but treat differently
 - Forcibly convert a primitive type to another
 - Actually changes the representation
- ❖ You *can* still use C-style casting in C++, but sometimes the intent is not clear

Casting in C++

- ❖ C++ provides an alternative casting style that is more informative:
 - `static_cast<to_type>(expression)`
 - `dynamic_cast<to_type>(expression)`
 - `const_cast<to_type>(expression)`
 - `reinterpret_cast<to_type>(expression)`
- ❖ Always use these in C++ code
 - Intent is clearer
 - Easier to find in code via searching

static_cast

- ❖ `static_cast` ^{any well-defined conversion} can convert:
 - Pointers to classes **of related type**
 - Compiler error if classes are not related
 - Dangerous to cast *down* a class hierarchy
 - Non-pointer conversion
 - e.g. `float` to `int`
- ❖ `static_cast` is checked at compile time

staticcast.cc

```
class A {
public:
    int x;
};

class B {
public:
    float x;
};

class C : public B {
public:
    char x;
};
```

```
void foo() {
    B b; C c;

    // compiler error (unrelated)
    A* aptr = static_cast<A*>(&b);
    // OK (would have been done implicitly)
    B* bptr = static_cast<B*>(&c);
    // compiles, but dangerous
    C* cptr = static_cast<C*>(&b);
}
```

dynamic_cast

- ❖ `dynamic_cast` can convert:
 - Pointers to classes **of related type**
 - References to classes **of related type**
- ❖ `dynamic_cast` is checked at both compile time and run time
 - Casts between unrelated classes fail at compile time
 - Casts from base to derived fail at run time if the pointed-to object is not the derived type

```
class Base {
public:
    virtual void foo() { }
    float x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
public:
    char x;
};
```

```
void bar() {
    Base b; Der1 d;

    // OK (run-time check passes)
    Base* bptr = dynamic_cast<Base*>(&d);
    assert(bptr != nullptr);

    // OK (run-time check passes)
    Der1* dptr = dynamic_cast<Der1*>(bptr);
    assert(dptr != nullptr);

    // Run-time check fails, returns nullptr
    bptr = &b;
    dptr = dynamic_cast<Der1*>(bptr);
    assert(dptr != nullptr);
}
```


const_cast

- ❖ `const_cast` adds or strips const-ness
 - Dangerous (!)

```
void foo(int* x) {
    *x++;
}

void bar(const int* x) {
    foo(x); // compiler error
    foo(const_cast<int*>(x)); // succeeds
}

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    int x = 7;
    bar(&x);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

reinterpret_cast

- ❖ `reinterpret_cast` casts between *incompatible* types
 - Low-level reinterpretation of the bit pattern
 - *e.g.* storing a pointer in an `int`, or vice-versa
 - Works as long as the integral type is “wide” enough
 - Converting between incompatible pointers
 - Dangerous (!)
 - This is used (carefully) in hw3

Extra Exercise #1

- ❖ Design a class hierarchy to represent shapes
 - *e.g.* Circle, Triangle, Square
- ❖ Implement methods that:
 - Construct shapes
 - Move a shape (*i.e.* add (x,y) to the shape position)
 - Returns the centroid of the shape
 - Returns the area of the shape
 - **Print** () , which prints out the details of a shape

Extra Exercise #2

- ❖ Implement a program that uses Extra Exercise #1 (shapes class hierarchy):
 - Constructs a vector of shapes
 - Sorts the vector according to the area of the shape
 - Prints out each member of the vector
- ❖ Notes:
 - Avoid slicing!
 - Make sure the sorting works properly!