C++ Inheritance II, Casting
CSE 333 Spring 2018

Instructor: Justin Hsia

Teaching Assistants:

Danny Allen   Dennis Shao   Eddie Huang

Kevin Bi   Jack Xu   Matthew Neldam

Michael Poulain   Renshu Gu   Robby Marver

Waylon Huang   Wei Lin

Administrivia

- ❖ Exercise 14 released today, due Friday
  - ▪ C++ inheritance with abstract class

- ❖ hw3 is due next Thursday (5/17)
  - ▪ Section tomorrow will also help you get started

- ❖ Midterm grading
  - ▪ Submit regrade requests via Gradescope for *each* subquestion
    - • These go to different graders
  - ▪ Regrade requests open until end of tomorrow (5/10)
  - ▪ Exam will be curved up (free points for everyone!)

Lecture Outline

- ❖ **C++ Inheritance**
  - ▪ **Static Dispatch**
  - ▪ **Abstract Classes**
  - ▪ Constructors and Destructors
  - ▪ Assignment
- ❖ C++ Casting

- ❖ Reference: *C++ Primer*, Chapter 15

`virtual` is "sticky"

- If `X::f()` is declared virtual, then a vtable will be created for class `X` and for *all* of its subclasses
  - The vtables will include function pointers for (the correct) `f`

- `f()` will be called using dynamic dispatch even if overridden in a derived class without the `virtual` keyword
  - Good style to help the reader by using `override` and `virtual` in derived classes

Static (Non-Virtual) Dispatch

* By default, methods are dispatched *statically*
  * At <u>compile time</u>, the compiler writes in a `call` to the address of the class' method in the `.text` segment
    * Based on the compile-time visible type of the callee
  * This is *different* than Java

```
class Derived : public Base { ... };

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  Derived d;
  Derived* dp = &d;
  Base* bp = &d;
  dp->foo();
  bp->foo();
  return 0;
}
```

```
Derived::foo()
    add $0x1d, %eax
    ...
```

```
Base::foo()
    add $0x1b, %eax
    ...
```

Static Dispatch Example

❖ Removed `virtual` on methods:

Stock.h

```
double Stock::GetMarketValue() const;
double Stock::GetProfit() const;
```

```
DividendStock dividend();
DividendStock* ds = &dividend;
Stock* s = &dividend;

// Invokes DividendStock::GetMarketValue()
ds->GetMarketValue();

// Invokes Stock::GetMarketValue()
s->GetMarketValue();

// invokes Stock::GetProfit(), since that method is inherited.
// Stock::GetProfit() invokes Stock::GetMarketValue().
ds->GetProfit();

// invokes Stock::GetProfit().
// Stock::GetProfit() invokes Stock::GetMarketValue().
s->GetProfit();
```

6

Why Not Always Use `virtual`?

* Two (fairly uncommon) reasons:
  * Efficiency:
    * Non-virtual function calls are a tiny bit faster (no indirect lookup)
    * A class with no virtual functions has objects without a `vptr` field
  * Control:
    * If `f()` calls `g()` in class X and `g` is not virtual, we're guaranteed to call `X::g()` and not `g()` in some subclass
      - Particularly useful for framework design

* In Java, all methods are virtual, except `static` class methods, which aren't associated with objects

* In C++ and C#, you can pick what you want
  * Omitting virtual can cause obscure bugs

Mixed Dispatch Example

mixed.cc

```cpp
class A {
 public:
  // m1 will use static dispatch
  void m1() { cout << "a1, "; }
  // m2 will use dynamic dispatch
  virtual void m2() { cout << "a2"; }
};

class B : public A {
 public:
  void m1() { cout << "b1, "; }
  // m2 is still virtual by default
  void m2() { cout << "b2"; }
};
```

```cpp
void main(int argc,
          char** argv) {
  A a;
  B b;

  A* a_ptr_a = &a;
  A* a_ptr_b = &b;
  B* b_ptr_a = &a;
  B* b_ptr_b = &b;

  a_ptr_a->m1();  //
  a_ptr_a->m2();  //

  a_ptr_b->m1();  //
  a_ptr_b->m2();  //

  b_ptr_b->m1();  //
  b_ptr_b->m2();  //
}
```

Peer Instruction Question

❖ Whose **Foo**() is called?　　　　　　　　　　test.cc

  ▪ Vote at http://PollEv.com/justinh

```
class A {
 public:
   void Foo();
};

class B : public A {
 public:
   virtual void Foo();
};

class C : public B {
};

class D : public C {
 public:
   void Foo();
};

class E : public C {
};
```

	Q1	Q2
A.	A	A
B.	A	B
C.	D	A
D.	D	B
E.	We're lost…	

```
void Bar() {
  D d;
  E e;
  A* a_ptr = &d;
  C* c_ptr = &e;

  // Q1:
  a_ptr->Foo();

  // Q2:
  c_ptr->Foo();
}
```

Abstract Classes

❖ Sometimes we want to include a function in a class but *only* implement it in derived classes

  ▪ In Java, we would use an abstract method

  ▪ In C++, we use a "pure virtual" function

    • Example: `virtual string noise() = 0;`

❖ A class containing *any* pure virtual methods is abstract

  ▪ You can't create instances of an abstract class

  ▪ Extend abstract classes and override methods to use them

❖ A class containing *only* pure virtual methods is the same as a Java interface

  ▪ Pure type specification without implementations

Lecture Outline

- ❖ **C++ Inheritance**
  - ▪ Static Dispatch
  - ▪ Abstract Classes
  - ▪ **Constructors and Destructors**
  - ▪ **Assignment**
- ❖ C++ Casting

- ❖ Reference: *C++ Primer*, Chapter 15

Derived-Class Objects

- A derived object contains "subobjects" corresponding to the data members inherited from each base class
  - No guarantees about how these are laid out in memory (not even contiguousness between subobjects)

- Conceptual structure of `DividendStock` object:

members inherited from `Stock`	`symbol_`
	`total_shares_`
	`total_cost_`
	`current_price_`

members defined by `DividendStock`	`dividends_`

Constructors and Inheritance

❖ A derived class **does not inherit** the base class' constructor

- The derived class must have its own constructor

- The synthesized default constructor will initialize the derived class' non-"plain 'ol data" member variables to zero-equivalents and invokes the default constructor of the base class

    - Compiler error if the base class has no default constructor

- The base class constructor is invoked *before* the constructor of the derived class

    - You can use the initialization list of the derived class to specify which base class constructor to use

Constructor Examples

badctor.cc

```cpp
class Base {  // no default ctor
 public:
  Base(int y) : y(y) { }
  int y;
};

// Compiler error when you try to
// instantiate a Der1, as the
// synthesized default ctor needs
// to invoke Base's default ctor.
class Der1 : public Base {
 public:
  int z;
};

class Der2 : public Base {
 public:
  Der2(int y, int z)
    : Base(y), z(z) { }
  int z;
};
```

goodctor.cc

```cpp
// has default ctor
class Base {
 public:
  int y;
};

// works now
class Der1 : public Base {
 public:
  int z;
};

// still works
class Der2 : public Base {
 public:
  Der2(int z) : z(z) { }
  int z;
};
```

Destructors and Inheritance

baddtor.cc

- ❖ Destructor of a derived class:
  - *First* runs body of the dtor
  - *Then* invokes of the dtor of the base class

- ❖ Static dispatch of destructors is almost always a mistake!
  - Good habit to always define a dtor as virtual
    - Empty body if there's no work to do

```cpp
class Base {
 public:
  Base() { x = new int; }
  ~Base() { delete x; }
  int* x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
 public:
  Der1() { y = new int; }
  ~Der1() { delete y; }
  int* y;
};

void foo() {
  Base* b0ptr = new Base;
  Base* b1ptr = new Der1;

  delete b0ptr;  // OK
  delete b1ptr;  // leaks Der1::y
}
```

Assignment and Inheritance

❖ C++ allows you to assign the value of a derived class to an instance of a base class

slicing.cc

- Known as object slicing

```cpp
class Base {
 public:
  Base(int x) : x(x) { }
  int x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
 public:
  Der1(int y) : Base(16), y(y) { }
  int y;
};

void foo() {
  Base b(1);
  Der1 d(2);

  d = b;  //
  b = d;  //
}
```

16

STL and Inheritance

❖ Recall: STL containers store **copies of values**

▪ What happens when we want to store mixes of object types in a single container? (*e.g.* `Stock` and `DividendStock`)

▪ You get sliced ☹

```cpp
#include <list>
#include "Stock.h"
#include "DividendStock.h"

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  Stock s;
  DividendStock ds;
  list<Stock> li;

  li.push_back(s);    // OK
  li.push_back(ds);   // OUCH!

  return 0;
}
```

STL and Inheritance

- ❖ Instead, store **pointers to heap-allocated objects** in STL containers
  - No slicing! ☺
  - **sort**() does the wrong thing ☹
  - You have to remember to delete your objects before destroying the container ☹
    - Smart pointers!

Lecture Outline

- ❖ C++ Inheritance
  - ▪ Static Dispatch
  - ▪ Abstract Classes
  - ▪ Constructors and Destructors
  - ▪ Assignment
- ❖ **C++ Casting**

- ❖ Reference: *C++ Primer* §4.11.3, 19.2.1

Explicit Casting in C

❖ Simple syntax: `lhs = (new_type) rhs;`

❖ Used to:

■ Convert between pointers of arbitrary type

• Don't change the data, but treat differently

■ Forcibly convert a primitive type to another

• Actually changes the representation

❖ You *can* still use C-style casting in C++, but sometimes the intent is not clear

Casting in C++

❖ C++ provides an alternative casting style that is more informative:

- `static_cast<to_type>(expression)`
- `dynamic_cast<to_type>(expression)`
- `const_cast<to_type>(expression)`
- `reinterpret_cast<to_type>(expression)`

❖ Always use these in C++ code

- Intent is clearer
- Easier to find in code via searching

`static_cast`

staticcast.cc

* `static_cast` can convert:
  * Pointers to classes **of related type**
    * Compiler error if classes are not related
    * Dangerous to cast *down* a class hierarchy
  * Non-pointer conversion
    * e.g. `float` to `int`

* `static_cast` is checked at <u>compile time</u>

```cpp
class A {
 public:
   int x;
};

class B {
 public:
   float x;
};

class C : public B {
 public:
   char x;
};
```

```cpp
void foo() {
  B b; C c;

  // compiler error
  A* aptr = static_cast<A*>(&b);
  // OK
  B* bptr = static_cast<B*>(&c);
  // compiles, but dangerous
  C* cptr = static_cast<C*>(&b);
}
```

dynamiccast.cc

dynamic_cast

```cpp
class Base {
 public:
  virtual void foo() { }
  float x;
};

class Der1 : public Base {
 public:
  char x;
};
```

❖ dynamic_cast can convert:
  ▪ Pointers to classes **of related type**
  ▪ References to classes **of related type**

❖ dynamic_cast is checked at both compile time and run time

```cpp
void bar() {
  Base b; Der1 d;

  // OK (run-time check passes)
  Base* bptr = dynamic_cast<Base*>(&d);
  assert(bptr != nullptr);

  // OK (run-time check passes)
  Der1* dptr = dynamic_cast<Der1*>(bptr);
  assert(dptr != nullptr);

  // Run-time check fails, returns nullptr
  bptr = &b;
  dptr = dynamic_cast<Der1*>(bptr);
  assert(dptr != nullptr);
}
```

  ▪ Casts between unrelated classes fail at compile time
  ▪ Casts from base to derived fail at run time if the pointed-to object is not a full derived object

`const_cast`

❖ `const_cast` adds or strips const-ness
  ▪ Dangerous (**!**)

```cpp
void foo(int* x) {
  *x++;
}

void bar(const int* x) {
  foo(x);                    // compiler error
  foo(const_cast<int*>(x));  // succeeds
}

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  int x = 7;
  bar(&x);
  return 0;
}
```

`reinterpret_cast`

- ❖ `reinterpret_cast` casts between *incompatible* types
  - Low-level reinterpretation of the bit pattern
  - *e.g.* storing a pointer in an `int`, or vice-versa
    - Works as long as the integral type is "wide" enough
  - Converting between incompatible pointers
    - Dangerous (**!**)
    - This is used (carefully) in hw3

Implicit Conversion

* ❖ The compiler tries to infer some kinds of conversions
  * ▪ When types are not equal and you don't specify an explicit cast, the compiler looks for an acceptable implicit conversion

```cpp
void bar(std::string x);

void foo() {
  int x = 5.7;   // conversion, float -> int
  bar("hi");     // conversion, (const char*) -> string
  char c = x;    // conversion, int -> char
}
```

Sneaky Implicit Conversions

* ❖ (`const char*`) to `string` conversion?
  * ▪ If a class has a constructor with a single parameter, the compiler will exploit it to perform implicit conversions
  * ▪ At most, one user-defined implicit conversion will happen
    * • Can do `int` → `Foo`, but not `int` → `Foo` → `Baz`

```cpp
class Foo {
 public:
  Foo(int x) : x(x) { }
  int x;
};

int Bar(Foo f) {
  return f.x;
}

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  return Bar(5);  // equivalent to return Bar(Foo(5));
}
```

27

Avoiding Sneaky Implicits

❖ Declare one-argument constructors as `explicit` if you want to disable them from being used as an implicit conversion path

- Usually a good idea

```cpp
class Foo {
 public:
  explicit Foo(int x) : x(x) { }
  int x;
};

int Bar(Foo f) {
  return f.x;
}

int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  return Bar(5);  // compiler error
}
```

28

Extra Exercise #1

❖ Design a class hierarchy to represent shapes

  ▪ *e.g.* Circle, Triangle, Square

❖ Implement methods that:

  ▪ Construct shapes

  ▪ Move a shape (*i.e.* add (x,y) to the shape position)

  ▪ Returns the centroid of the shape

  ▪ Returns the area of the shape

  ▪ **Print**(), which prints out the details of a shape

Extra Exercise #2

❖ Implement a program that uses Extra Exercise #1 (shapes class hierarchy):

- Constructs a vector of shapes

- Sorts the vector according to the area of the shape

- Prints out each member of the vector

❖ Notes:

- Avoid slicing!

- Make sure the sorting works properly!