

# C++ Class Details, Heap

## CSE 333 Autumn 2018

**Instructor:** Hal Perkins

**Teaching Assistants:**

Tarkan Al-Kazily

Renshu Gu

Travis McGaha

Harshita Neti

Thai Pham

Forrest Timour

Soumya Vasisht

Yifan Xu

# Administrivia

- ❖ Yet another exercise released today, due Wednesday
  - Rework exercise 10 but with dynamic memory this time
    - Fine to use ex10 solution as a starting point for ex11
- ❖ No new exercise after that until weekend (i.e., nothing due Friday) because...
- ❖ ...Homework 2 due Thursday night
  - File system crawler, indexer, and search engine

# Lecture Outline

- ❖ **Class Details**
  - Filling in some gaps from last time
- ❖ Using the Heap
  - `new / delete / delete[]`

# Rule of Three

❖ If you define any of:

- 1) Destructor
- 2) Copy Constructor
- 3) Assignment (`operator=`)

❖ Then you should normally define all three

- Can explicitly ask for default synthesized versions (C++11):

```
class Point {  
public:  
    Point() = default;           // the default ctor  
    ~Point() = default;         // the default dtor  
    Point(const Point& copyme) = default; // the default cctor  
    Point& operator=(const Point& rhs) = default; // the default "="  
    ...  
};
```

# Dealing with the Insanity

## ❖ C++ style guide tip:

- If possible, **disable** the copy constructor and assignment operator by declaring as private and not defining them (pre-C++11)

Point.h

```
class Point {  
public:  
    Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { } // ctor  
    ...  
private:  
    Point(const Point& copyme);           // disable cctor (no def.)  
    Point& operator=(const Point& rhs);   // disable "=" (no def.)  
    ...  
}; // class Point  
  
Point w;           // compiler error (no default constructor)  
Point x(1, 2);     // OK!  
Point y = w;       // compiler error (no copy constructor)  
y = x;             // compiler error (no assignment operator)
```

# Disabling in C++11

- ❖ C++11 add new syntax to do this directly
  - This is the better choice in C++11 code

Point\_2011.h

```
class Point {  
public:  
    Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { } // ctor  
    ...  
    Point(const Point& copyme) = delete; // declare cctor and "=" as  
    Point& operator=(const Point& rhs) = delete; // as deleted (C++11)  
private:  
    ...  
}; // class Point  
  
Point w; // compiler error (no default constructor)  
Point x(1, 2); // OK!  
Point y = w; // compiler error (no copy constructor)  
y = x; // compiler error (no assignment operator)
```

# CopyFrom

## ❖ C++11 style guide tip:

- If you disable them, then you instead may want an explicit “CopyFrom” function

Point.h

```
class Point {  
public:  
    Point(const int x, const int y) : x_(x), y_(y) { } // ctor  
    void CopyFrom(const Point& copy_from_me);  
    ...  
    Point(Point& copyme) = delete; // disable cctor  
    Point& operator=(Point& rhs) = delete; // disable "="  
private:  
    ...  
}; // class Point
```

sanepoint.cc

```
Point x(1, 2); // OK  
Point y(3, 4); // OK  
x.CopyFrom(y); // OK
```

# struct vs. class

- ❖ In C, a `struct` can only contain data fields
  - No methods and all fields are always accessible
- ❖ In C++, `struct` and `class` are (nearly) the same!
  - Both can have methods and member visibility (public/private/protected)
  - Minor difference: members are default *public* in a `struct` and default *private* in a `class`
- ❖ Common style/usage convention:
  - Use `struct` for simple bundles of data
  - Use `class` for abstractions with data + functions

# Access Control

## ❖ Access modifiers for members:

- `public`: accessible to *all* parts of the program
- `private`: accessible to the member functions of the class
  - Private to *class*, not object instances
- `protected`: accessible to the member functions of the class and any *derived* classes (subclasses – more to come, later)

## ❖ Reminders:

- Access modifiers apply to *all* members that follow until another access modifier is reached
- If no access modifier is specified, `struct` members default to `public` and `class` members default to `private`

# Nonmember Functions

- ❖ “Nonmember functions” are just normal functions that happen to use our class
  - Called like a regular function instead of as a member of a class object instance
    - This gets a little weird when we talk about operators...
  - These do *not* have access to the class' private members
- ❖ Useful nonmember functions often included as part of interface
  - Declaration goes in header file, but *outside* of class definition

# friend Nonmember Functions

- ❖ A class can give a nonmember function (or class) access to its `nonpublic` members by declaring it as a `friend` within its definition
  - `friend` function is not a class member, but has access privileges as if it were
  - `friend` functions are usually unnecessary if your class includes appropriate “getter” public functions

Complex.h

```
class Complex {  
    ...  
    friend std::istream& operator>>(std::istream& in, Complex& a);  
    ...  
}; // class Complex
```

```
std::istream& operator>>(std::istream& in, Complex& a) {  
    ...  
}
```

Complex.cc 11

# Namespaces

- ❖ Each namespace is a separate scope
  - Useful for avoiding symbol collisions!

- ❖ Namespace definition:

- ```
namespace name {  
    // declarations go here  
}
```

- Creates a new namespace name if it did not exist, otherwise *adds to the existing namespace (!)*
  - This means that namespaces can be defined in multiple source files
- Definitions can appear outside of the namespace definition

# Classes vs. Namespaces

- ❖ They look very similar, but classes are *not* namespaces:
  - There are no instances/objects of a namespace; a namespace is just a group of logically-related things (classes, functions, etc.)
  - To access a member of a namespace, you must use the fully qualified name (*i.e.* `nsp_name::member`)
    - Unless you are `using` that namespace
    - You only used the fully qualified name of a class member when you are defining it outside of the scope of the class definition

# Lecture Outline

- ❖ Class Details
  - Filling in some gaps from last time
- ❖ **Using the Heap**
  - `new / delete / delete[]`

# C++11 `nullptr`

- ❖ C and C++ have long used `NULL` as a pointer value that references nothing
- ❖ C++11 introduced a new literal for this: `nullptr`
  - New reserved word
  - Interchangeable with `NULL` for all practical purposes, but it has type  $T^*$  for any/every  $T$ , and is not an integer value
    - Avoids funny edge cases (see C++ references for details)
    - Still can convert to/from integer 0 for tests, assignment, etc.
  - Advice: prefer `nullptr` in C++11 code
    - Though `NULL` will also be around for a long, long time

# new/delete

- ❖ To allocate on the heap using C++, you use the `new` keyword instead of `malloc()` from `stdlib.h`
  - You can use `new` to allocate an object (*e.g.* `new Point`)
  - You can use `new` to allocate a primitive type (*e.g.* `new int`)
- ❖ To deallocate a heap-allocated object or primitive, use the `delete` keyword instead of `free()` from `stdlib.h`
  - Don't mix and match!
    - Never `free()` something allocated with `new`
    - Never `delete` something allocated with `malloc()`
    - Careful if you're using a legacy C code library or module in C++

# new/delete Example

```
int* AllocateInt(int x) {  
    int* heapy_int = new int;  
    *heapy_int = x;  
    return heapy_int;  
}
```

```
Point* AllocatePoint(int x, int y) {  
    Point* heapy_pt = new Point(x, y);  
    return heapy_pt;  
}
```

heappoint.cc

```
#include "Point.h"  
using namespace std;  
  
... // definitions of AllocateInt() and AllocatePoint()  
  
int main() {  
    Point* x = AllocatePoint(1, 2);  
    int* y = AllocateInt(3);  
  
    cout << "x's x_ coord: " << x->get_x() << endl;  
    cout << "y: " << y << ", *y: " << *y << endl;  
  
    delete x;  
    delete y;  
    return 0;  
}
```

# Dynamically Allocated Arrays

## ❖ To dynamically allocate an array:

- Default initialize: `type* name = new type[size];`

## ❖ To dynamically deallocate an array:

- Use `delete[] name;`

- It is an *incorrect* to use “`delete name;`” on an array
  - The compiler probably won't catch this, though (!) because it can't tell if it was allocated with `new type[size];` or `new type;`
    - Especially inside a function where a pointer parameter could point to a single item or an array and there's no way to tell which!
  - Result of wrong `delete` is undefined behavior

# Arrays Example (primitive)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"
using namespace std;

int main() {
    int stack_int;
    int* heap_int = new int;
    int* heap_init_int = new int(12);

    int stack_arr[10];
    int* heap_arr = new int[10];

    int* heap_init_arr = new int[10](); // default init
    int* heap_init_error = new int[10](12); // bad syntax

    ...

    delete heap_int; //
    delete heap_init_int; //
    delete heap_arr; //
    delete[] heap_init_arr; //

    return 0;
}
```

# Arrays Example (class objects)

arrays.cc

```
#include "Point.h"
using namespace std;

int main() {
    ...

    Point stack_point(1, 2);
    Point* heap_point = new Point(1, 2);

    Point* err_pt_arr = new Point[10]; // no Point() ctor
    Point* err2_pt_arr = new Point[10](1,2); // bad syntax
    ...

    delete heap_point;

    ...

    return 0;
}
```

# malloc vs. new

|                          | <code>malloc()</code>                           | <code>new</code>                                         |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| What is it?              | a function                                      | an operator or keyword                                   |
| How often used (in C)?   | often                                           | never                                                    |
| How often used (in C++)? | rarely                                          | often                                                    |
| Allocated memory for     | anything                                        | arrays, structs, objects, primitives                     |
| Returns                  | a <code>void*</code><br><i>(should be cast)</i> | appropriate pointer type<br><i>(doesn't need a cast)</i> |
| When out of memory       | returns <code>NULL</code>                       | throws an exception                                      |
| Deallocating             | <code>free()</code>                             | <code>delete</code> or <code>delete[]</code>             |

# Heap Member Example

- ❖ Let's build a class to simulate some of the functionality of the C++ string
  - Internal representation: c-string to hold characters
- ❖ What might we want to implement in the class?

# Str Class Walkthrough

Str.h

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

class Str {
public:
    Str();           // default ctor
    Str(const char* s); // c-string ctor
    Str(const Str& s);  // copy ctor
    ~Str();           // dtor

    int length() const; // return length of string
    char* c_str() const; // return a copy of st_
    void append(const Str& s);

    Str& operator=(const Str& s); // string assignment

    friend std::ostream& operator<<(std::ostream& out, const Str& s);

private:
    char* st_; // c-string on heap (terminated by '\0')
}; // class Str
```

# Str Example Walkthrough

See:

`Str.h`

`Str.cc`

`strtest.cc`

- ❖ (Look carefully at assignment `operator=`
  - self-assignment test is especially important here)

# Extra Exercise #1

- ❖ Write a C++ function that:
  - Uses `new` to dynamically allocate an array of strings and uses `delete []` to free it
  - Uses `new` to dynamically allocate an array of pointers to strings
    - Assign each entry of the array to a string allocated using `new`
  - Cleans up before exiting
    - Use `delete` to delete each allocated string
    - Uses `delete []` to delete the string pointer array
    - (whew!)