

# CSE 333

## Lecture 18 -- smart pointers

**Steve Gribble**

Department of Computer Science & Engineering

University of Washington



# Administrivia

HW3 is due in a week!

- check out the discussion board for a few bugfixes in our code

In section tomorrow

- reinforcing using smart pointers
- how to understand and resolve g++ compiler errors
  - STL issues, virtual function errors, const-y problems, ...

# Last time

## We learned about slicing

- happens when a derived object is assigned to a base object
  - prevents you from mixing base / derived classes in STL containers

## Consiered using pointers or wrappers to deal with this

- pointers: lose ability to sort and must remember to delete
- wrapper: an object that stores a pointer to some other object
  - can use copy & assign overloading so that STL does the right thing
  - but, need reference counting to know when it's safe to delete the wrapped pointer

# C++ smart pointers

A **smart pointer** is an **object** that stores a pointer to a heap allocated object

- a smart pointer looks and behaves like a regular C++ pointer
  - how? by overloading \* and ->
- a smart pointer can help you manage memory
  - the smart pointer will delete the pointed-to object at the right time
    - when that is depends on what kind of smart pointer you use
  - so, if you use a smart pointer correctly, you no longer have to remember when to delete new'd memory

# C++'s auto\_ptr

The auto\_ptr class is part of C++'s standard library

- it's useful, simple, but limited
- an auto\_ptr object **takes ownership** of a pointer
  - ▶ when the auto\_ptr object is *delete*'d or falls out of scope, its destructor is invoked, just like any C++ object
  - ▶ this destructor invokes delete on the owned pointer

# Using an auto\_ptr

```
#include <iostream> // for std::cout, std::endl
#include <memory> // for std::auto_ptr
#include <stdlib.h> // for EXIT_SUCCESS

void Leaky() {
    int *x = new int(5); // heap allocated
    (*x)++;
    std::cout << *x << std::endl;
}

void NotLeaky() {
    std::auto_ptr<int> x(new int(5)); // wrapped, heap-allocated
    (*x)++;
    std::cout << *x << std::endl;
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    Leaky();
    NotLeaky();
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

autoexample1.cc

# Why are auto\_ptrs useful?

If you have many potential exit out of a function, it's easy to forget to call *delete* on all of them

- auto\_ptr will delete its pointer when it falls out of scope
- thus, an auto\_ptr also helps with **exception safety**

```
int NotLeaky() {  
    std::auto_ptr<int> x(new int(5));  
  
    lots of code, including several returns  
    lots of code, including a potential exception throw  
    lots of code  
  
    return 1;  
}
```

# auto\_ptr operations

```
#include <memory>    // for std::auto_ptr
#include <stdlib.h>   // for EXIT_SUCCESS

using namespace std;
typedef struct { int a, b; } IntPair;

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    auto_ptr<int> x(new int(5));

    // Return a pointer to the pointed-to object.
    int *ptr = x.get();

    // Return a reference to the value of the pointed-to object.
    int val = *x;

    // Access a field or function of a pointed-to object.
    auto_ptr<IntPair> ip(new IntPair);
    ip->a = 100;

    // Reset the auto_ptr with a new heap-allocated object.
    x.reset(new int(1));

    // Release responsibility for freeing the pointed-to object.
    ptr = x.release();
    delete ptr;
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

# Transferring ownership

The copy and assignment operators **transfer ownership**

- the RHS auto\_ptr's pointer is set to NULL
- the LHS auto\_ptr's pointer now owns the pointer

```
int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    auto_ptr<int> x(new int(5));
    cout << "x: " << x.get() << endl;

    auto_ptr<int> y(x); // y takes ownership, x abdicates it
    cout << "x: " << x.get() << endl;
    cout << "y: " << y.get() << endl;

    auto_ptr<int> z(new int(10));

    // z delete's its old pointer and takes ownership of y's pointer.
    // y abdicates its ownership.
    z = y;

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

# auto\_ptr and STL

auto\_ptrs cannot be used with STL containers :(

- a container may make copies of contained objects
  - e.g., when you sort a vector, the quicksort pivot is a copy
- accessors will unwittingly NULL-ify the contained auto\_ptr

```
void foo() {  
    vector<auto_ptr<int> > ivec;  
    ivec.push_back(auto_ptr<int>(new int(5)));  
    ivec.push_back(auto_ptr<int>(new int(6))); // might make copies  
  
    // Accessing a vector element makes a copy of it; therefore, this  
    // transfers ownership out of the vector  
    auto_ptr<int> z = ivec[0]; // ivec[0] now contains a NULL auto_ptr  
}
```

# auto\_ptr and arrays

STL has no auto\_ptr for arrays

- an auto\_ptr always calls `delete` on its pointer, never `delete[ ]`

# Boost

Community supported, peer-reviewed, portable C++ libraries

- more containers, asynchronous I/O support, statistics, math, graph algorithms, image processing, regular expressions, serialization/marshalling, threading, and more

Already installed on attu, ugrad workstations, CSE VMs

- or, you can download and install from:
  - ▶ <http://www.boost.org/>

# Boost smart pointers

The Boost library contains six variations of smart pointers

- `scoped_ptr`: non-transferrable ownership of a single object
- `scoped_array`: non-transferrable ownership of an array
- `shared_ptr`: shared, reference-counted ownership
- `shared_array`: same as `shared_ptr`, but for an array
- `weak_ptr`: similar to `shared_ptr`, but doesn't count towards the reference count
- *`intrusive_ptr`: we won't discuss in 333*

# scoped\_ptr

scoped\_ptr is similar to auto\_ptr

- but a scoped\_ptr doesn't support copy or assignment
  - ▶ therefore, you cannot transfer ownership of a scoped\_ptr
  - ▶ and therefore, you cannot use one with STL containers

Intended to be used to manage memory within a scope

- connotes that the managed resource is limited to some context

# scoped\_ptr example

```
#include <boost/scoped_ptr.hpp>
#include <stdlib.h>

class MyClass {
public:
    MyClass(int *p) : sptr_(p) { }

private:
    // A MyClass object's sptr_ resource is freed when the object's
    // destructor fires.
    boost::scoped_ptr<int> sptr_;
};

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    // x's resource is freed when main() exits.
    boost::scoped_ptr<int> x(new int(10));

    int *sevenptr = new int(7);
    MyClass mc(sevenptr);

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

scopedexample.cc

CSE333, lec 18, C++ 7 // 05-11-11 // gribble

# scoped\_array

Identical to `scoped_ptr`, but owns an **array**, not a pointer

```
#include <boost/scoped_array.hpp>
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    boost::scoped_array<int> x(new int[10]);
    x[0] = 1;
    x[1] = 2;

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

scopedarray.cc

# shared\_ptr

A `shared_ptr` is similar to an `auto_ptr`

- but, the copy / assign operators increment a reference count rather than transferring ownership
  - ▶ after copy / assign, the two `shared_ptr` objects point to the same pointed-to object, and the (shared) reference count is 2
- when a `shared_ptr` is destroyed, the reference count is decremented
  - ▶ when the reference count hits zero, the pointed-to object is deleted

# shared\_ptr example

```
#include <iostream>
#include <boost/shared_ptr.hpp>
#include <stdlib.h>

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    // x contains a pointer to an int and has reference count 1.
    boost::shared_ptr<int> x(new int(10));

    {
        // x and y now share the same pointer to an int, and they
        // share the reference count; the count is 2.
        boost::shared_ptr<int> y = x;
        std::cout << *y << std::endl;
    }
    // y fell out of scope and was destroyed. Therefore, the
    // reference count, which was previously seen by both x and y,
    // but now is seen only by x, is decremented to 1.

    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

sharedexample.cc

# shared\_ptrs and STL containers

Finally, something that works!

- it is safe to store shared\_ptrs in containers, since copy/assign maintain a shared reference count and pointer

but, what about ordering?

- a map is implemented as a binary tree
  - therefore, it needs to order elements
  - therefore, it needs elements to support the “<” operator
- similarly, what about sorting a vector of shared\_ptr<int>’s?

# shared\_ptr and “<”

a shared\_ptr implements some comparison operators

- e.g., a shared\_ptr implements the “<” operator
- but, it doesn't invoke “<” on the pointed-to objects
  - ▶ instead, it just promises a stable, strict ordering
  - ▶ given two shared pointers, it will pick some ordering between them (probably based on the pointer address, not the pointed-to value)
- this means you can use shared\_ptrs as keys in maps, but you have to use a slightly more complex form of the sort algorithm
  - ▶ you have to provide sort with a comparison function

# Example

```
bool sortfunction(shared_ptr<int> x, shared_ptr<int> y) {
    return *x < *y;
}

bool printfunction(shared_ptr<int> x) {
    std::cout << *x << std::endl;
}

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    vector<shared_ptr<int> > vec;

    vec.push_back(shared_ptr<int>(new int(9)));
    vec.push_back(shared_ptr<int>(new int(5)));
    vec.push_back(shared_ptr<int>(new int(7)));

    std::sort(vec.begin(), vec.end(), &sortfunction);
    std::for_each(vec.begin(), vec.end(), &printfunction);
    return EXIT_SUCCESS;
}
```

sharedexample.cc

# Putting it all together

*see alltogether/*

# weak\_ptr

If you used `shared_ptr` and have a cycle in the sharing graph, the reference count will never hit zero

- a `weak_ptr` is just like a `shared_ptr`, but it doesn't count towards the reference count
- a `weak_ptr` breaks the cycle
  - but, a `weak_ptr` can become dangling

# cycle of shared\_ptr's

```
#include <boost/shared_ptr.hpp>


using boost::shared_ptr;

class A {
public:
    shared_ptr<A> next;
    shared_ptr<A> prev;
};

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    shared_ptr<A> head(new A());
    head->next = shared_ptr<A>(new A());
    head->next->prev = head;

    return 0;
}
```

strongcycle.cc



# breaking the cycle with weak\_ptr

```
#include <boost/shared_ptr.hpp>
#include <boost/weak_ptr.hpp>


using boost::shared_ptr;
using boost::weak_ptr;

class A {
public:
    shared_ptr<A> next;
    weak_ptr<A> prev;
};

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    shared_ptr<A> head(new A());
    head->next = shared_ptr<A>(new A());
    head->next->prev = head;

    return 0;
}
```

weakcycle.cc



# using a weak\_ptr

```
#include <boost/shared_ptr.hpp>
#include <boost/weak_ptr.hpp>
#include <iostream>

int main(int argc, char **argv) {
    boost::weak_ptr<int> w;

    {
        boost::shared_ptr<int> x;
        {
            boost::shared_ptr<int> y(new int(10));
            w = y;
            x = w.lock();
            std::cout << *x << std::endl;
        }
        std::cout << *x << std::endl;
    }
    boost::shared_ptr<int> a = w.lock();
    std::cout << a << std::endl;
    return 0;
}
```

usingweak.cc

# Exercise 1

Write a C++ program that:

- has a Base class called “Query” that contains a list of strings
- has a Derived class called “PhrasedQuery” that adds a list of phrases (a phrase is a set of strings within quotation marks)
- uses a Boost shared\_ptr to create a list of Queries
- populates the list with a mixture of Query and PhrasedQuery objects
- prints all of the queries in the list

# Exercise 2

Implement Triple, a templated class that contains three “things.” In other words, it should behave like `std::pair`, but it should hold three objects instead of two.

- instantiate several Triple that contains `shared_ptr<int>`'s
- insert the Triples into a vector
- reverse the vector

See you on Friday!