## Concurrency

CSE 332 – Section 9

Slides by James Richie Sulaeman



# **Concurrency Errors**

#### **Concurrency Errors**

A race condition occurs when the result of your program depends on how threads are scheduled/interleaved

- A data race occurs when two threads access the same variable at the same time
  - Write-write: two threads writing to the same variable at the same time
  - Write-read: one thread writing to a variable while another reads from it
  - Note: read-reads do not cause a data race since they do not modify variables
- A **bad interleaving** occurs when the interleaving of threads result in bad and unexpected intermediate states
  - e.g. two threads are trying to increment the variable count at the same time



#### **Concurrency Errors**

A deadlock occurs when a cycle of threads are waiting on each other

- Thread 1 is waiting on a resource held by Thread 2
- Thread 2 is waiting on a resource held by Thread 1



A piece of code is considered to have a concurrency error if there exists any execution sequence that can lead to a race condition or deadlock

- It is not necessary for the code to always execute in this bad sequence
- The possibility of such a sequence occurring is sufficient

# **Problem 1**

## Problem 1a

The constructor has a concurrency error. What is it and how would you fix it?

- There is a data race on id\_counter
- Two accounts could get the same id if they are created at the same time by different threads
- To fix this, you could synchronize on a lock for id counter

```
1 class UserProfile {
2
       static int id counter;
3
       int id; // unique for each account
4
       int[] friends = new int[9999]; // horrible style
5
       int numFriends;
 6
       Image[] embarrassingPhotos = new Image[9999];
       UserProfile() { // constructor for new profiles
8
9
           id = id counter++;
10
           numFriends = 0:
11
       }
12
13
       synchronized void makeFriends(UserProfile newFriend) {
14
           synchronized(newFriend) {
15
               if (numFriends == friends.length
16
               || newFriend.numFriends == newFriend.friends.length) {
17
                   throw new TooManyFriendsException();
18
               ł
19
               friends[numFriends++] = newFriend.id;
2.0
               newFriend.friends[newFriend.numFriends++] = id;
21
           }
22
      }
23
24
       synchronized void removeFriend(UserProfile frememy) {
25
           . . .
26
       }
27 }
```

Note: the synchronized keyword on a method locks this object. elsewhere, it locks the specified object

## Problem 1b

The makeFriends method has a concurrency error. What is it and how would you fix it?

- There is a potential deadlock
- Suppose there are two UserProfile objects called obj1 and obj2
  - One thread calls
    - obj1.makeFriends(obj2)
  - Another thread calls
     obj2.makeFriends(obj1)
  - Both threads execute line 13 at the same time and deadlock at line 14
- To fix this, acquire locks in a consistent order (e.g. in order of id fields)

1 cl	ass UserProfile {
2	<pre>static int id_counter;</pre>
3	<pre>int id; // unique for each account</pre>
4	<pre>int[] friends = new int[9999]; // horrible style</pre>
5	<pre>int numFriends;</pre>
6	<pre>Image[] embarrassingPhotos = new Image[9999];</pre>
7	
8	<pre>UserProfile() { // constructor for new profiles</pre>
9	<pre>id = id_counter++;</pre>
10	<pre>numFriends = 0;</pre>
11	}
12	
13	<pre>synchronized void makeFriends(UserProfile newFriend) {</pre>
14	<pre>synchronized(newFriend) {</pre>
15	<pre>if (numFriends == friends.length</pre>
16	<pre>   newFriend.numFriends == newFriend.friends.length) {</pre>
17	<pre>throw new TooManyFriendsException();</pre>
18	}
19	<pre>friends[numFriends++] = newFriend.id;</pre>
20	<pre>newFriend.friends[newFriend.numFriends++] = id;</pre>
21	}
22	}
23	
24	<pre>synchronized void removeFriend(UserProfile frenemy) {</pre>
25	
26	}
27 }	

Note: the synchronized keyword on a method locks this object. elsewhere, it locks the specified object

# Problem 2

## **Problem 2a**

Does the BubbleTea class have:

a race condition	potential for deadlock
a data race	none of these

- There is the potential for bad interleaving
- Suppose two threads call addLiquid() at the same time
  - Both threads satisfy the hasCapacity() condition with a value of 7 for drink.size()
  - Both threads then push onto the drink stack, exceeding maxDrinkAmount

```
1 public class BubbleTea {
       private Stack<String> drink = new Stack<String>();
 3
       private Stack<String> toppings = new Stack<String>();
 4
       private final int maxDrinkAmount = 8;
 5
 6
       // Checks if drink has capacity
       public boolean hasCapacity() {
 8
           return drink.size() < maxDrinkAmount;
 9
       }
10
11
       // Adds liquid to drink
       public void addLiquid(String liquid) {
12
13
           if (hasCapacity()) {
14
               if (liquid.equals("Milk")) {
15
                    while (hasCapacity()) {
16
                        drink.push("Milk");
17
                    ł
18
               } else {
19
                   drink.push(liquid);
20
               }
21
           }
2.2
       }
23
24
       // Adds newTop to list of toppings to add to drink
25
       public void addTopping(String newTop) {
2.6
           if (newTop.equals("Boba") || newTop.equals("Tapioca")) {
27
                 toppings.push("Bubbles");
2.8
           } else {
29
                toppings.push(newTop);
30
           }
31
       }
32 }
```

Note: a "thread-safe" stack prevents data races on itself since only one thread can modify it at a time

## **Problem 2b**

Suppose we made the addTopping method synchronized. Does this modified BubbleTea class have:

a race condition	potential for deadlock
a data race	none of these

- This does not fix the problem
- Modifying addTopping() still allows for the same pattern of execution in addLiquid() as described earlier
- However, this change reduces the effective concurrency in the code, so it makes things slightly worse

1 <b>pu</b>	blic class BubbleTea {
2	<pre>private Stack<string> drink = new Stack<string>();</string></string></pre>
3	<pre>private Stack<string> toppings = new Stack<string>();</string></string></pre>
4	<pre>private final int maxDrinkAmount = 8;</pre>
5	
6	<pre>// Checks if drink has capacity</pre>
7	<pre>public boolean hasCapacity() {</pre>
8	<pre>return drink.size() &lt; maxDrinkAmount;</pre>
9	}
10	
11	// Adds liquid to drink
12	<pre>public void addLiquid(String liquid) {</pre>
13	if (hasCapacity()) {
14	<pre>if (liquid.equals("Milk")) {</pre>
15	<pre>while (hasCapacity()) {</pre>
16	<pre>drink.push("Milk");</pre>
17	}
18	} else {
19	drink.push(liquid);
20	}
21	}
22	}
23	
24	<pre>// Adds newTop to list of toppings to add to drink</pre>
25	<pre>public synchronized void addTopping(String newTop) {</pre>
26	<pre>if (newTop.equals("Boba")    newTop.equals("Tapioca")) {</pre>
27	<pre>toppings.push("Bubbles");</pre>
28	} else {
29	toppings.push(newTop);
30	}
31	}
32 1	

Note: a "thread-safe" stack prevents data races on itself since only one thread can modify it at a time

# **Problem 3**

## **Problem 3a**

Does the PhoneMonitor class have:

a race condition	potential for deadlock
a data race	none of these

- There is a data race on phoneOn. By definition, this is also a race condition
- Thread 1 could be at line 11 reading phoneOn, while Thread 2 is at line 27 writing phoneOn
  - This is a write-read data race

```
1 public class PhoneMonitor {
       private int numMinutes = 0;
 3
       private int numAccesses = 0;
 4
       private int maxMinutes = 200;
 5
       private int maxAccesses = 10;
 6
       private boolean phoneOn = true;
       private Object accessesLock = new Object();
8
       private Object minutesLock = new Object();
9
10
       public void accessPhone(int minutes) {
11
           if (phoneOn) {
12
               synchronized (accessesLock) {
13
                    synchronized (minutesLock) {
14
                        numAccesses++;
15
                        numMinutes += minutes;
16
                        checkLimits();
17
                    }
18
19
           }
20
       }
21
2.2
       private void checkLimits() {
23
           synchronized (minutesLock) {
24
               synchronized (accessesLock) {
25
                    if (numAccesses >= maxAccesses
2.6
                      || numMinutes >= maxMinutes) {
27
                        phoneOn = false;
2.8
                    }
29
               3
30
31
       }
32 }
```

Note: the synchronized keyword is reentrant. The thread holds the lock, not the function call.

## **Problem 3b**

Suppose we made the checkLimits method public. Does this modified PhoneMonitor class have:

#### a race condition

#### potential for deadlock

#### a data race

#### none of these

- Same data race on phoneOn still exists
- However, there is now also the potential for deadlock
- Suppose two threads call accessPhone () and checkLimits () at the same time
  - Thread 1 calls accessPhone () and acquires accessesLock
  - Thread 2 calls checkLimits() and acquires minutesLock
  - Now Thread 1 wants to acquire minutesLock, while Thread 2 wants to acquire accessesLock

1	<pre>public class PhoneMonitor {</pre>
2	<pre>private int numMinutes = 0;</pre>
3	<pre>private int numAccesses = 0;</pre>
4	<pre>private int maxMinutes = 200;</pre>
5	<pre>private int maxAccesses = 10;</pre>
6	<pre>private boolean phoneOn = true;</pre>
7	<pre>private Object accessesLock = new Object();</pre>
8	<pre>private Object minutesLock = new Object();</pre>
9	
10	<pre>public void accessPhone(int minutes) {</pre>
11	if (phoneOn) {
12	<pre>synchronized (accessesLock) {</pre>
13	<pre>synchronized (minutesLock) {</pre>
14	<pre>numAccesses++;</pre>
15	<pre>numMinutes += minutes;</pre>
16	checkLimits();
17	}
18	}
19	}
20	}
21	
22	<pre>private void checkLimits() {</pre>
23	<pre>synchronized (minutesLock) {</pre>
24	<pre>synchronized (accessesLock) {</pre>
25	<pre>if (numAccesses &gt;= maxAccesses</pre>
26	numMinutes >= maxMinutes) {
27	<pre>phoneOn = false;</pre>
28	}
29	}
30	}
31	}
32	}

Note: the synchronized keyword is reentrant. The thread holds the lock, not the function call.

## **Thank You!**