## Some Possible Hash Functions For each of these hash functions, think about -what Strings will cause collisions -how long it will take to evaluate Keys: strings of form $s_0s_1 \dots s_{k-1}$ ( $s_i$ are chars in range [0,256])

 $h(K)=s_0$ 

$$h(K) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} s_i$$

6

## Linear Probing

First idea: linear probing h(key) % TableSize full? Try (h(key) + 1) % TableSize. Also full? (h(key) + 2) % TableSize. Also full? (h(key) + 3) % TableSize. Also full? (h(key) + 4) % TableSize.

•••

## Double Hashing

Instead of probing by a fixed value every time, probe by some new hash function!

h(key) % TableSize full? Try (h(key) + g(key)) % TableSize. Also full? (h(key) + 2\*g(key)) % TableSize.

Also full? (h(key) + 3\*g(key)) % TableSize.

Also full? (h(key) + 4\*g(key)) % TableSize.

•••

30

## Summary Separate Chaining Easy to implement Running times *O*(1 + λ) Open Addressing Uses less memory. Various schemes: Linear Probing – easiest, but need to resize most frequently Quadratic Probing – middle ground Double Hashing – need a whole new hash function, but low chance of clustering. Which you use depends on your application and what you're worried about.