CSE 332 Winter 2024
Lecture 18: Dijkstra’s, ForkJoin

http://www.cs.uw.edu/332
Find the quickest way to get from UVA to each of these other places

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a start node $s \in V$, for each $v \in V$ find the least-weight path from $s \rightarrow v$ (call this weight $\delta(s, v)$)

(assumption: all edge weights are positive)
Dijkstra’s Algorithm

• Input: graph with no negative edge weights, start node $s$, end node $t$
• Behavior: Start with node $s$, repeatedly go to the incomplete node “nearest” to $s$, stop when
• Output:
  • Distance from start to end
  • Distance from start to every node
Dijkstra’s Algorithm

Start: 0
End: 8

Node | Done?
--- | ---
0 | F
1 | F
2 | F
3 | F
4 | F
5 | F
6 | F
7 | F
8 | F

Node | Distance
--- | ---
0 | 0
1 | 10
2 | 11
3 | 7
4 | 9
5 | 12
6 | 6
7 | 8
8 | ∞

Idea: When a node is the closest undiscovered thing to the start, we have found its shortest path.
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Idea: When a node is the closest undiscovered thing to the start, we have found its shortest path.
Dijkstra’s Algorithm
Start: 0
End: 8

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Done?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Distance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>∞</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Idea: When a node is the closest undiscovered thing to the start, we have found its shortest path
Dijkstra’s Algorithm
Start: 0
End: 8

Node | Done?
---|---
0 | T
1 | T
2 | T
3 | F
4 | F
5 | T
6 | F
7 | F
8 | F

Node | Distance
---|---
0 | 0
1 | 10
2 | 12
3 | 14
4 | 18
5 | 13
6 | ∞
7 | 20
8 | ∞

Idea: When a node is the closest undiscovered thing to the start, we have found its shortest path.
Dijkstra’s Algorithm

```java
int dijkstras(graph, start, end){
    distances = [∞, ∞, ∞,...]; // one index per node
    done = [False,False,False,...]; // one index per node
    PQ = new minheap();
    PQ.insert(0, start); // priority=0, value=start
    distances[start] = 0;
    while (!PQ.isEmpty){
        current = PQ.deleteMin();
        done[current] = true;
        for (neighbor : current.neighbors){
            if (!done[neighbor]){  
                new_dist = distances[current]+weight(current,neighbor);
                if (distances[neighbor] == ∞) {PQ.insert(neighbor, new_dist);}
                if (new_dist < distances[neighbor]){  
                    distances[neighbor] = new_dist;
                    PQ.decreaseKey(new_dist,neighbor); }
            }
        }
    }
    return distances[end]
}
```
Dijkstra’s Algorithm: Running Time

• How many total priority queue operations are necessary?
  • How many times is each node added to the priority queue?
  • How many times might a node’s priority be changed?

• What’s the running time of each priority queue operation?
  • $\log |V|$

• Overall running time:
  • $\Theta(|E| \log |V|)$
Dijkstra’s Algorithm: Correctness

• Claim: when a node is removed from the priority queue, we have found its shortest path
• Induction over number of completed nodes
• Base Case:
• Inductive Step:
  assume all $t$ nodes were correct
Dijkstra’s Algorithm: Correctness

• Claim: when a node is removed from the priority queue, its distance is that of the shortest path
• Induction over number of completed nodes
• Base Case: Only the start node removed
  • It is indeed 0 away from itself
• Inductive Step:
  • If we have correctly found shortest paths for the first $k$ nodes, then when we remove node $k + 1$ we have found its shortest path
Dijkstra’s Algorithm: Correctness

- Suppose $a$ is the next node removed from the queue. What do we know about $a$?

- A has an edge to another node.
- Smallest priority.
- $s \rightarrow a$ is a path as $s$ is the only known vertex.
Dijkstra’s Algorithm: Correctness

• Suppose \( a \) is the next node removed from the queue.
  • No other node incomplete node has a shorter path discovered so far

• Claim: no undiscovered path to \( a \) could be shorter
  • Consider any other incomplete node \( b \) that is 1 edge away from a complete node
  • \( a \) is the closest node that is one away from a complete node
  • Thus no path that includes \( b \) can be a shorter path to \( a \)
  • Therefore the shortest path to \( a \) must use only complete nodes, and therefore we have found it already!
Dijkstra’s Algorithm: Correctness

• Suppose $a$ is the next node removed from the queue.
  • No other node incomplete node has a shorter path discovered so far

• Claim: no undiscovered path to $a$ could be shorter
  • Consider any other incomplete node $b$ that is 1 edge away from a complete node
  • $a$ is the closest node that is one away from a complete node
  • No path from $b$ to $a$ can have negative weight
  • Thus no path that includes $b$ can be a shorter path to $a$
  • Therefore the shortest path to $a$ must use only complete nodes, and therefore we have found it already!
A Programming Assumption Reconsidered

• So far:
  • Programs run by executing one line of code at a time in the order written
  • Called **Sequential Programming**

• Removing this assumptions creates challenges and opportunities
  • Programming: Divide computation across several **parallel threads**, then coordinate (synchronize) across them.
  • Algorithms: This parallel processing can speed up computation by increasing **throughput** (operations done per unit time)
  • Data Structures: May need to support **concurrent** access (multiple parallel processes attempting to use it at once)
Why Parallelism?

• Pre 2005:
  • Processors “naturally” got faster at an exponential rate (~2x faster every ~2 years)

• Since 2005:
  • Some components cannot be improved in the same way due to limitations of physics
  • Solution: increase computing speed by just adding more processors
What to do with the extra processors?

• Time Slicing:
  • Your computer is always keeping track of multiple things at once
    • running the OS, rendering the display, running Powerpoint, autosaving a document, etc.
  • Multiple processors allow for the multiple tasks to be spread across them, so each processor dedicates more time to each one

• Parallelism (our focus):
  • Multiple processors collaborate on the same task.
Parallelism Vs. Concurrency (with Potatoes)

• Sequential:
  • The task is completed by just one processor doing one thing at a time
  • There is one cook who peels all the potatoes

• Parallelism:
  • One task being completed by many threads
  • Recruit several cooks to peel a lot of potatoes faster

• Concurrency:
  • Parallel tasks using a shared resource
  • Several cooks are making their own recipes, but there is only 1 oven
New Story of Code Execution

• Old Story:
  • One program counter (current statement executing)
  • One call stack (with each stack frame holding local variables)
  • Objects in the heap created by memory allocation (i.e., new)
    • (nothing to do with data structure called a heap)

• New Story:
  • Collection of threads each with its own:
    • Program Counter
    • Call Stack
    • Local Variables
    • References to objects in a shared heap
Old Story

Call Stack
Program Counter
Local Variables (primitives and references to Heap objects)

Heap Containing Objects and Static Fields
New Story

Threads, each with its own unshared:
  Call Stack
  Program Counter
Local Variables (primitives and references to Heap objects)
Needs from Our Programming Language

• A way to create multiple things running at once
  • Threads
• Ways to share memory
  • References to common objects
• Ways for threads to synchronize
  • For now, just wait for other threads to finish their work
Parallelism Example (not real code)

• Goal: Find the sum of an array
• Idea: 4 processors will each find the sum of one quarter of the array, then we can add up those 4 results

```java
int sum(int[] arr){
    res = new int[4];
    len = arr.length;
    FORALL(i=0; i < 4; i++) {  //parallel iterations
        res[i] = sumRange(arr,i*len/4,(i+1)*len/4);
    }
}
```

```java
int sumRange(int[] arr, int lo, int hi) {
    result = 0;
    for(j=lo; j < hi; j++)
        result += arr[j]; return result;
}
```

Note: This FORALL construct does not exist, but it’s similar to how we’ll actually do it.
Java.lang.Thread

• To run a new thread:
  1. Define a subclass \texttt{C} of java.lang.Thread, overriding \texttt{run}
  2. Create an object of class \texttt{C}
  3. Call that object’s \texttt{start} method
     • \texttt{start} sets off a new thread, using \texttt{run} as its “main”

• Calling “\texttt{run}” directly causes the program to execute “\texttt{run}” sequentially
Back to Summing an Array

• Goal: Find the sum of an array
• Idea: 4 threads each find the sum of one quarter of the array
• Process:
  • Create 4 thread objects, each given a portion of the work
  • Call start() on each thread object to run it in parallel
  • Wait for threads to finish using join()
  • Add together their 4 answers for the final result
First Attempt (part 1, defining Thread Object)

class SumThread extends java.lang.Thread {
    int lo;    // fields, assigned in the constructor
    int hi;    // so threads know what to do.
    int[] arr;
    int ans = 0; // result

    SumThread(int[] a, int l, int h) {
        lo=l; hi=h; arr=a;
    }

    public void run() { //override must have this type
        for(int i=lo; i < hi; i++)
            ans += arr[i];
    }
}
First Attempt (part 2, Creating Thread Objects)

class SumThread extends java.lang.Thread {
    int lo, int hi, int[] arr; // fields to know what to do
    int ans = 0; // result
    SumThread(int[] a, int l, int h) { ... }
    public void run(){ ... } // override } 

    int sum(int[] arr){ // can be a static method
        int len = arr.length;
        int ans = 0;
        SumThread[] ts = new SumThread[4];
        for(int i=0; i < 4; i++) // do parallel computations
            ts[i] = new SumThread(arr,i*len/4,(i+1)*len/4);
        for(int i=0; i < 4; i++) // combine results
            ans += ts[i].ans;
        return ans;
    }
}
First Attempt (part 3, Running Thread Objects)

class SumThread extends java.lang.Thread {
    int lo, int hi, int[] arr; // fields to know what to do
    int ans = 0; // result
    SumThread(int[] a, int l, int h) { ... }
    public void run(){ ... } // override }

    int sum(int[] arr){ // can be a static method
        int len = arr.length;
        int ans = 0;
        SumThread[] ts = new SumThread[4];
        for(int i=0; i < 4; i++){ // do parallel computations
            ts[i] = new SumThread(arr,i*len/4,(i+1)*len/4);
            ts[i].start(); // start not run
        }
        for(int i=0; i < 4; i++) // combine results
            ans += ts[i].ans;
        return ans; }
}
First Attempt (part 4, Synchronizing)

class SumThread extends java.lang.Thread {
    int lo, int hi, int[] arr; // fields to know what to do
    int ans = 0; // result
    SumThread(int[] a, int l, int h) { ... }
    public void run(){ ... } // override
    int sum(int[] arr){ // can be a static method
        int len = arr.length;
        int ans = 0;
        SumThread[] ts = new SumThread[4];
        for(int i=0; i < 4; i++){ // do parallel computations
            ts[i] = new SumThread(arr,i*len/4,(i+1)*len/4);
            ts[i].start(); // start not run}
        for(int i=0; i < 4; i++) // combine results
            ts[i].join(); // wait for thread to finish!
        ans += ts[i].ans;
        return ans; }
}
Join

• Causes program to pause until the other thread completes its run method

• Avoids a race condition
  • Without join the other thread’s ans field may not have its final answer yet
Flaws With this Attempt

```java
int sum(int[] arr, int numTs){ // can be a static method
    int len = arr.length;
    int ans = 0;
    SumThread[] ts = new SumThread[numTs];
    for(int i=0; i < numTs; i++){ // do parallel computations
        ts[i] = new SumThread(arr,i*len/numTs,(i+1)*len/numTs);
        ts[i].start(); // start not run
    }
    for(int i=0; i < numTs; i++) // combine results
        ts[i].join(); // wait for thread to finish!
    ans += ts[i].ans;
    return ans; }
```

Different machines have different numbers of processors!

Making the thread count a parameter helps make your program more efficient and reusable across computers.
Flaws With this Attempt

• Even If we make the number of threads equal the number of processors, the OS is doing time slicing, so we might not have all processors available right now

• For some problems, not all subproblems will take the same amount of time:
  • E.g. determining whether all integers in an array are prime.
One Potential Solution: More Threads!

- Identify an “optimal” workload per thread
  - E.g. maybe it’s not worth splitting the work if the array is shorter than 1000
- Split the array into chunks using this “sequential Cutoff”
  - numTs = len/SEQ_CUTOFF;

- Problem: One process is still responsible for summing all len/1000 results
  - Process is still linear time
A Better Solution: Divide and Conquer!

- Idea: Each thread checks its problem size. If its smaller than the sequential cutoff, it will sum everything sequentially. Otherwise it will split the problem in half across two separate threads.
Merge Sort

• **Base Case:**
  • If the list is of length 1 or 0, it’s already sorted, so just return it

• **Divide:**
  • Split the list into two “sublists” of (roughly) equal length

• **Conquer:**
  • Sort both lists recursively

• **Combine:**
  • **Merge** sorted sublists into one sorted list
Parallel Sum

• **Base Case:**
  • If the list’s length is smaller than the Sequential Cutoff, find the sum sequentially

• **Divide:**
  • Split the list into two “sublists” of (roughly) equal length, create a thread to sum each sublist.

• **Conquer:**
  • Call `start()` for each thread

• **Combine:**
  • Sum together the answers from each thread
Divide and Conquer with Threads

class SumThread extends java.lang.Thread {
    public void run(){ // override
        if(hi – lo < SEQUENTIAL_CUTOFF) // “base case”
            for(int i=lo; i < hi; i++) ans += arr[i];
        else {
            SumThread left = new SumThread(arr,lo,(hi+lo)/2); // divide
            SumThread right= new SumThread(arr,(hi+lo)/2,hi); // divide
            left.start(); // conquer
            right.start(); // conquer
            left.join(); // don’t move this up a line – why?
            right.join();
            ans = left.ans + right.ans; // combine
        }
    }
}

int sum(int[] arr){ // just make one thread!
    SumThread t = new SumThread(arr,0,arr.length);
    t.run();
    return t.ans; }
Small optimization

• Instead of calling two separate threads for the two subproblems, create one parallel thread (using `start`) and one sequential thread (using `run`
Divide and Conquer with Threads (optimized)

class SumThread extends java.lang.Thread {
    public void run() { // override
        if (hi - lo < SEQUENTIAL_CUTOFF) // “base case”
            for (int i = lo; i < hi; i++) ans += arr[i];
        else {
            SumThread left = new SumThread(arr, lo, (hi + lo) / 2); // divide
            SumThread right = new SumThread(arr, (hi + lo) / 2, hi); // divide
            left.start(); // conquer
            right.run(); // conquer
            left.join(); // don’t move this up a line – why?
            ans = left.ans + right.ans; // combine
        }
    }
}

int sum(int[] arr) { // just make one thread!
    SumThread t = new SumThread(arr, 0, arr.length);
    t.run();
    return t.ans; }

ForkJoin Framework

- This strategy is common enough that Java (and C++, and C#, and...) provides a library to do it for you!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>What you would do in Threads</th>
<th>What to instead in ForkJoin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subclass <strong>Thread</strong></td>
<td>Subclass <strong>RecursiveTask&lt;V&gt;</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Override <strong>run</strong></td>
<td>Override <strong>compute</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Store the answer in a field</td>
<td>Return a V from compute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call <strong>start</strong></td>
<td>Call <strong>fork</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>join</strong> synchronizes only</td>
<td><strong>join</strong> synchronizes and returns the answer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call <strong>run</strong> to execute sequentially</td>
<td>Call <strong>compute</strong> to execute sequentially</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Have a topmost thread and call <strong>run</strong></td>
<td>Create a pool and call <strong>invoke</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
class SumTask extends RecursiveTask {
    int lo; int hi; int[] arr; // fields to know what to do
    SumTask(int[] a, int l, int h) { ... }
    protected Integer compute(){// return answer
        if(hi - lo < SEQUENTIAL_CUTOFF) { // base case
            int ans = 0; // local var, not a field
            for(int i=lo; i < hi; i++) {
                ans += arr[i]; return ans; }
        } else {
            SumTask left = new SumTask(arr,lo,(hi+lo)/2); // divide
            SumTask right= new SumTask(arr,(hi+lo)/2,hi); // divide
            left.fork(); // fork a thread and calls compute (conquer)
            int rightAns = right.compute(); //call compute directly (conquer)
            int leftAns = left.join(); // get result from left
            return leftAns + rightAns; // combine
        }
    }
}
Divide and Conquer with ForkJoin (continued)

```java
static final ForkJoinPool POOL = new ForkJoinPool();
int sum(int[] arr){
    SumTask task = new SumTask(arr,0,arr.length)
    return POOL.invoke(task); // invoke returns the value compute returns
}
```
Section

• Working with examples of ForkJoin
• Make sure to bring your laptops!
  • And charge it!