Running Times

Running times we’ve seen:
- $\Theta(1)$
- $\Theta(\log n)$
- $\Theta(n)$
- $\Theta(n \log n)$
- $\Theta(n^2)$
- $\Theta(2^n)$
Running Times

Table 2.1 The running times (rounded up) of different algorithms on inputs of increasing size, for a processor performing a million high-level instructions per second. In cases where the running time exceeds $10^{25}$ years, we simply record the algorithm as taking a very long time.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$n$</th>
<th>$n \log_2 n$</th>
<th>$n^2$</th>
<th>$n^3$</th>
<th>$1.5^n$</th>
<th>$2^n$</th>
<th>$n!$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>4 sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>18 min</td>
<td>$10^{25}$ years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>11 min</td>
<td>36 years</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>12,892 years</td>
<td>$10^{17}$ years</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>18 min</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>2 min</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100,000</td>
<td>&lt; 1 sec</td>
<td>2 sec</td>
<td>3 hours</td>
<td>32 years</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>1 sec</td>
<td>20 sec</td>
<td>12 days</td>
<td>31,710 years</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
<td>very long</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Pregel River runs through the city of Koenigsberg, creating 2 islands. Among these 2 islands and the 2 sides of the river, there are 7 bridges. Is there any path starting at one landmass which crosses each bridge exactly once?
Euler Path Problem

• Path:
  • A sequence of nodes $v_1, v_2, \ldots$ such that for every consecutive pair are connected by an edge (i.e. $(v_i, v_{i+1})$ is an edge for each $i$ in the path)

• Euler Path:
  • A path such that every edge in the graph appears exactly once
    • If the graph is not simple then some pairs need to appear multiple times!

• Euler path problem:
  • Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, does there exist an Euler path for $G$?
Examples

• Which of the graphs below have an Euler path?

No Euler path exists!

Euler path exists!  
A, B, D, A, C, D

Euler path exists!  
A, B, C, D, A, C, B, D
Euler’s Theorem

• A graph has an Euler Path if and only if it is connected and has exactly 0 or 2 nodes with odd degree.
Algorithm for the Euler Path Problem

• Given an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$, does there exist an Euler path for $G$?

• Algorithm:
  • Check if the graph is connected
  • Check the degree of each node
  • If the number of nodes with odd degree is 0 or 2, return true
  • Otherwise return false

• Running time?
A Seemingly Similar Problem

• Hamiltonian Path:
  • A path that includes every node in the graph exactly once

• Hamiltonian Path Problem:
  • Given a graph $G = (V, E)$, does that graph have a Hamiltonian Path?

True!
$A, B, C, E, G, H, F, D$
Algorithms for the Hamiltonian Path Problem

• Option 1:
  • Explore all possible simple paths through the graph
  • Check to see if any of those are length $V$

• Option 2:
  • Write down every sequence of nodes
  • Check to see if any of those are a path

• Both options are examples of an Exhaustive Search (“Brute Force”) algorithm
Option 2: List all sequences, look for a path

• Running time:
  • $G = (V, E)$
  • Number of permutations of $V$ is $|V|!$
    • $n! = n \cdot (n-1) \cdot (n-2) \cdots 2 \cdot 1$
  • How does $n!$ compare with $2^n$?
    • $n! \in \Omega(2^n)$
  • Exponential running time!
Option 1: Explore all simple paths, check for one of length $V$

- Running time:
  - $G = (V, E)$
  - Number of paths
    - Pick a first node ($|V|$ choices)
    - Pick a neighbor (up to $|V| - 1$ choices)
    - Pick a neighbor (up to $|V| - 2$ choices)
    - .... Repeat $|V| - 1$ total times
    - Overall: $|V|!$ paths
  - Exponential running time
Tractability

• Tractable:
  • Feasible to solve in the “real world”

• Intractable:
  • Infeasible to solve in the “real world”

• Whether a problem is considered “tractable” or “intractable” depends on the use case
  • For machine learning, big data, etc. tractable might mean $O(n)$ or even $O(\log n)$
  • For most applications it’s more like $O(n^3)$ or $O(n^2)$

• A strange pattern:
  • Most “natural” problems are either done in small-degree polynomial (e.g. $n^2$ ) or else exponential time (e.g. $2^n$)
  • It’s rare to have problems which require a running time of $n^5$, for example
Complexity Classes

• A Complexity Class is a set of problems (e.g. sorting, Euler path, Hamiltonian path)
  • The problems included in a complexity class are those whose most efficient
    algorithm has a specific upper bound on its running time (or memory use, or...)

• Examples:
  • The set of all problems that can be solved by an algorithm with running time \( O(n) \)
    • Contains: Finding the minimum of a list, finding the maximum of a list, buildheap, summing a
      list, etc.
  • The set of all problems that can be solved by an algorithm with running time \( O(n^2) \)
    • Contains: everything above as well as sorting, Euler path
  • The set of all problems that can be solved by an algorithm with running time \( O(n!) \)
    • Contains: everything we’ve seen in this class so far
Complexity Classes and Tractability

• To explore what problems are and are not tractable, we give some complexity classes special names:

• Complexity Class $P$:
  • Stands for “Polynomial”
  • The set of problems which have an algorithm whose running time is $O(n^p)$ for some choice of $p \in \mathbb{R}$.
  • We say all problems belonging to $P$ are “Tractable”

• Complexity Class $EXP$:
  • Stands for “Exponential”
  • The set of problems which have an algorithm whose running time is $O(2^{n^p})$ for some choice of $p \in \mathbb{R}$
  • We say all problems belonging to $EXP - P$ are “Intractable”

  • Disclaimer: Really it’s all problems outside of $P$, and there are problems which do not belong to $EXP$, but we’re not going to worry about those in this class
**EXP and P**

**EXP**
- Exponential
- Upper bounded by $2^{np}$

**P**
- Polynomial
- Upper bounded by $np$

**Important!**
- $P \subset EXP$
- Every problem within $P$ is also within $EXP$.
- The intractable ones are the problems within $EXP$ but NOT $P$. 

**Tractable**

**Intractable**
Some of the problems listed in $EXP$ could also be members of $P$. Since membership is determined by a problem’s most efficient algorithm, knowing if a problem belongs to $P$ requires knowing the best algorithm possible!
Studying Complexity and Tractability

• Organizing problems into complexity classes helps us to reason more carefully and flexibly about tractability

• The goal for each problem is to either
  • Find an efficient algorithm if it exists
    • i.e. show it belongs to $P$
  • Prove that no efficient algorithm exists
    • i.e. show it does not belong to $P$

• Complexity classes allow us to reason about sets of problems at a time, rather than each problem individually
  • If we can find more precise classes to organize problems into, we might be able to draw conclusions about the entire class
  • It may be easier to show a problem belongs to class $C$ than to $P$, so it may help to show that $C \subseteq P$
Some problems in $EXP$ seem “easier”

- There are some problems that we do not have polynomial time algorithms to solve, but provided answers are easy to check.
- Hamiltonian Path:
  - It’s “hard” to look at a graph and determine whether it has a Hamiltonian Path.
  - It’s “easy” to look at a graph and a candidate path together and determine whether THAT path is a Hamiltonian Path.
    - It’s easy to verify whether a given path is a Hamiltonian path.
Class $NP$

- $NP$
  - The set of problems for which a candidate solution can be verified in polynomial time
  - Stands for “Non-deterministic Polynomial”
    - Corresponds to algorithms that can guess a solution (if it exists), that solution is then verified to be correct in polynomial time
    - Can also think of as allowing a special operation that allows the algorithm to magically guess the right choice at each step of an exhaustive search

- $P \subseteq NP$
  - Why?
$EXP \supset NP \supseteq P$

- **EXP**
  - Exponential
  - Upper bounded by $2^{np}$

- **NP**
  - Nondeterministic Polynomial
  - Verified in $np$ time

- **P**
  - Polynomial
  - Upper bounded by $n^p$
Solving and Verifying Hamiltonian Path

• Give an algorithm to solve Hamiltonian Path
  • Input: $G = (V, E)$
  • Output: True if $G$ has a Hamiltonian Path
  • Algorithm: Check whether each permutation of $V$ is a path.
    • Running time: $|V|!$, so does not show whether it belongs to $P$

• Give an algorithm to verify Hamiltonian Path
  • Input: $G = (V, E)$ and a sequence of nodes
  • Output: True if that sequence of nodes is a Hamiltonian Path
  • Algorithm:
    • Check that each node appears in the sequence exactly once
    • Check that the sequence is a path
    • Running time: $O(V \cdot E)$, so it belongs to $NP$
Party Problem

Draw Edges between people who don’t get along.
How many people can I invite to a party if everyone must get along?
Independent Set

• Independent set:
  • $S \subseteq V$ is an independent set if no two nodes in $S$ share an edge

• Independent Set Problem:
  • Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a number $k$, determine whether there is an independent set $S$ of size $k$
Example

Independent set of size 6
Solving and Verifying Independent Set

• Give an algorithm to solve independent set
  • Input: $G = (V, E)$ and a number $k$
  • Output: True if $G$ has an independent set of size $k$

• Give an algorithm to verify independent set
  • Input: $G = (V, E)$, a number $k$, and a set $S \subseteq V$
  • Output: True if $S$ is an independent set of size $k$
Generalized Baseball
Generalized Baseball

Need to place defenders on bases such that every edge is defended

How many defenders would suffice?
Vertex Cover

• Vertex Cover:
  • $C \subseteq V$ is a vertex cover if every edge in $E$ has one of its endpoints in $C$

• Vertex Cover Problem:
  • Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a number $k$, determine if there is a vertex cover $C$ of size $k$
Example

Vertex cover of size 5
Solving and Verifying Vertex Cover

• Give an algorithm to solve vertex cover
  • Input: $G = (V, E)$ and a number $k$
  • Output: True if $G$ has a vertex cover of size $k$

• Give an algorithm to verify vertex cover
  • Input: $G = (V, E)$, a number $k$, and a set $S \subseteq E$
  • Output: True if $S$ is a vertex cover of size $k$
\( EXP \supset NP \supseteq P \)

\( P = NP \) or \( P \subset NP \)

**EXP**
- Exponential
- Upper bounded by \( 2^{np} \)

**NP**
- Nondeterministic Polynomial
- Verified in \( n^p \) time
- Checkers
- Go
- Chess
- Vertex Cover
- Independent Set
- Hamiltonian Path
- Cryptography
- Prime factorization

**P**
- Polynomial
- Upper bounded by \( n^p \)

- Sorting
- Shortest Path
- Euler Path

**Gap?**
**Unknown!**
Way Cool!

\[ S \text{ is an independent set of } G \text{ iff } V - S \text{ is a vertex cover of } G \]
Way Cool!

\[ S \text{ is an independent set of } G \text{ iff } V - S \text{ is a vertex cover of } G \]
Solving Vertex Cover and Independent Set

- Algorithm to solve vertex cover
  - Input: $G = (V, E)$ and a number $k$
  - Output: True if $G$ has a vertex cover of size $k$
    - Check if there is an Independent Set of $G$ of size $|V| - k$

- Algorithm to solve independent set
  - Input: $G = (V, E)$ and a number $k$
  - Output: True if $G$ has an independent set of size $k$
    - Check if there is a Vertex Cover of $G$ of size $|V| - k$

Either both problems belong to $P$, or else neither does!
NP-Complete

• A set of “together they stand, together they fall” problems
• The problems in this set either all belong to $P$, or none of them do
• Intuitively, the “hardest” problems in NP
• Collection of problems from $NP$ that can all be “transformed” into each other in polynomial time
  • Like we could transform independent set to vertex cover, and vice-versa
  • We can also transform vertex cover into Hamiltonian path, and Hamiltonian path into independent set, and ...
\[ \text{EXP} \supset \text{NP} - \text{Complete} \supseteq \text{NP} \supseteq \text{P} \]

\[ P = \text{NP} \text{ iff some problem from } \text{NP} - \text{Complete} \text{ belongs to } \text{P} \]
Overview

• Problems not belonging to $P$ are considered intractable
• The problems within $NP$ have some properties that make them seem like they might be tractable, but we’ve been unsuccessful with finding polynomial time algorithms for many
• The class $NP − Complete$ contains problems with the properties:
  • All members are also members of $NP$
  • All members of $NP$ can be transformed into every member of $NP − Complete$
  • Therefore if any one member of $NP − Complete$ belongs to $P$, then $P = NP$
Why should YOU care?

• If you can find a polynomial time algorithm for any \( NP \) – \( Complete \) problem then:
  • You will win $1 million
  • You will win a Turing Award
  • You will be world famous
  • You will have done something that no one else on Earth has been able to do in spite of the above!

• If you are told to write an algorithm a problem that is \( NP \) – \( Complete \)
  • You can tell that person everything above to set expectations
  • Change the requirements!
  • **Approximate the solution**: Instead of finding a path that visits every node, find a path that visits at least 75% of the nodes
  • **Add Assumptions**: problem might be tractable if we can assume the graph is acyclic, a tree
  • **Use Heuristics**: Write an algorithm that’s “good enough” for small inputs, ignore edge cases