## Section 1: WorkLists

> WorkList ADT

| add (work) | Notifies the worklist that it must handle work |
| :--- | :--- |
| peek () | Returns the next item to work on |
| next () | Removes and returns the next item to work on |
| hasWork () | Returns true if there's any work left and false otherwise |

## 0. Odd Jobs

For each of the following scenarios, choose
an ADT: Stack or Queue
(2) a data structure: Array, LinkedList with front, or LinkedList with front and back and give a reason for each decision.

## WorkList Situations

(a) You're designing a tool that checks code to verify that all opening brackets, braces, parentheses, ... have closing counterparts.

```
Stack
We want to match the most recent bracket we've seen first so we want LIFO properties.
Array or LinkedList with front
Stacks push and pop on the same end, there is no need to complicate the data structure by
using a LinkedList with front and back
Technically, the Array will have slightly better performance due to cache locality but this is
not very important asymptotically
```

(b) Disneyland has hired you to find a way to improve the processing efficiency of their long lines at attractions. There is no way to forecast how long the lines will be.

## Queue

We're dealing with a line that wants FIFO properties
Array and LinkedList with front and back
The Array implementation will work if we implement it as a CircularArrayFIFOQueue (will be talked about later)

The LinkedList with front and back will technically be better since we don't have to resize
There is no way to make the LinkedList with front work; either adding or removing from the Queue will be slow.
(c) A sandwich shop wants to serve customers in the order that they arrived, but also wants to look ahead to know what people have ordered (ej. 2nd person, 3rd person, ..., last person in line).

## Queue

Same as before, we're dealing with a line that wants FIFO properties
Array
We need to access both ends of the data structure but also want to know what someone has ordered at a specific index

## 1. Trie to Delete 0 's and 1 's?

Suppose we inserted all possible binary strings of length $0-3$ (ej. 1, $0,10, \ldots, 110,111$ ) into a Trie.
(a) If we deleted all binary numbers of length 2 , how many nodes would we have to delete?

## 0 nodes

We still need the nodes storing the value for binary strings of length 2 because they have pointers to the nodes for binary strings of length 3, which still exist in the Trie. Therefore, we only set the value to null in the node to remove the key-value pair from the Trie.
(b) After part a, if we deleted all binary numbers of length 3 , how many nodes would we have to delete?

## 12 nodes

Since the binary strings of length 3 are all leaf nodes, they do not have any pointers to other relevant nodes in the Trie, so we can delete them, which is 8 nodes. However, in part a, the nodes that used to store the binary strings of length 2 are now empty, they do not store any values, so we can delete those as well, which is 4 nodes for a total of 12 nodes deleted.

## 2. Call Me Maybe

(a) Suppose you want to transfer someone's phone book to a data structure so that you can call all the phone numbers with a particular area code efficiently. What data structure would you use? How would you implement it?

One way to solve this would be using a HashMap where the keys are the area codes and the values are a list of corresponding phone numbers. We will need to parse the phone number to get the first three numbers.

Another way to solve this is by using a Trie. We would use the entire phone number as the "route" and insert all numbers into the Trie. Then, to find all the phone numbers to call, we would use the area code to partially travel down the Trie, then visit all children nodes to find the phone numbers to print.
(b) What is the time complexity of your solution?

If we compare the HashMap and TrieMap approaches, both will have the same runtime efficiency.

If we let $n$ be the total number of phone numbers and $e$ be the expected number of phone numbers per area code, we can find that it takes $\Theta(n)$ time to build either the HashMap or the Trie. Likewise, given some area code, it takes $\Theta(e)$ time to visit and call each phone number.

Initially, it may seem like the Trie would be slower due to the traversals. However, recall that the depth of the Trie is always equal to the length of a phone number, which is a constant value.
(c) What is the space complexity?

Asymptotically, the Trie will be more space-efficient in the average case.
The reason why the Trie turns out to be more space-efficient on average is because the Trie is capable of storing near-duplicate phone numbers in less space then the HashMap. If we have the phone numbers 123-456-7890, 123-456-7891, and 123-456-7892, the map must store each number individually whereas the Trie is able to combine them together and only branch for the very last number.

However, in practice, because each of the Trie nodes stores a pointer to the next node, it can quickly add up and take up a lot of memory.

## 3. Let's Trie to be Old School

Text on nine keys (T9)'s objective is to make it easier to type text messages with 9 keys. It allows words to be entered by a single keypress for each letter in which several letters are associated with each key. It combines the groups of letters on each phone key with a fast-access dictionary of words. It looks up in the dictionary all words corresponding to the sequence of keypresses and orders them by frequency of use. So for example, the input ' 2665 ' could be the words \{book, cook, cool\}. Describe how you would implement a T9 dictionary for a mobile phone.


T9 Example

One way to implement this would be by using a Trie. The routes (branches) are represented by the digits and the node's values are a collection of words. So if you typed in $2,6,6,5$, you would choose the child representing 2 , then 6 , then 6 , then 5 , traveling four layers deep into the Trie.

Then, that child node's value would contain a collection of all dictionary words corresponding to this particular sequence of numbers.

To populate the Trie, you would iterate through each word in the dictionary, and first convert the word into the appropriate sequence of numbers.

Then, you would use that sequence as the key or "route" to traverse the Trie and add the word.

