

# CSE 332: Data Structures and Parallelism

Spring 2022

Richard Anderson

Lecture 21: Graph Theory

# Announcements

- Upcoming lectures
  - Intro to graphs
  - Topological Sort
  - Graph Algorithms (3 lectures)
  - Union-Find Data Structure
  - Theory of NP-Completeness (2 lectures)

# Graphs

A formalism for representing relationships between objects

– Graph  $G = (V, E)$

– Set of *vertices*:


$$V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$$

– Set of *edges*:

$$E = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m\}$$

where each  $e_i$  connects one

– vertex to another  $(v_j, v_k)$



$$V = \{A, B, C, D\}$$

$$E = \{(C, B), (A, B), (B, A), (C, D)\}$$

For *directed edges*,  $(v_j, v_k)$  and  $(v_k, v_j)$  are distinct.

# Graphs

## Notation

- $|V|$  = number of vertices
- $|E|$  = number of edges


$v$  is *adjacent* to  $u$  if  $(u, v) \in E$

– *neighbor* of = adjacent to

– Order matters for directed edges

It is possible to have an edge  $(v, v)$ ,  
called a *loop*.

– We will assume graphs without loops.



$$V = \{A, B, C, D\}$$


$$E = \{(C, B), (A, B), (B, A), (C, D)\}$$

# Examples of Graphs


- For each, what are the **vertices** and **edges**?
- The web
- Facebook
- Highway map
- Airline routes
- Call graph of a program
- ...

# Directed Graphs

In *directed* graphs (a.k.a., *digraphs*), edges have a direction:



or



Thus,  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{E}$  does *not* imply  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbf{E}$ .


I.e.,  $\mathbf{v}$  adjacent to  $\mathbf{u}$  does *not* imply  $\mathbf{u}$  adjacent to  $\mathbf{v}$ .

*In-degree* of a vertex: number of inbound edges.

*Out-degree* of a vertex : number of outbound edges.

# Undirected Graphs

In *undirected* graphs, edges have no specific direction (edges are always two-way):




Thus,  $(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) \in \mathbf{E}$  does imply  $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u}) \in \mathbf{E}$ . Only one of these edges needs to be in the set; the other is implicit.

*Degree* of a vertex: number of edges containing that vertex. (Same as number of adjacent vertices.)


# Weighted Graphs

Each edge has an associated weight or cost.



# Paths and Cycles


- A *path* is a list of vertices  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_q\}$  such that  $(w_i, w_{i+1}) \in E$  for all  $1 \leq i < q$
- A *cycle* is a path that begins and ends at the same node



$P = \{\text{Seattle, Salt Lake City, Chicago, Dallas, San Francisco, Seattle}\}$

# Path Length and Cost

- *Path length*: the number of edges in the path
- *Path cost*: the sum of the costs of each edge



For path  $P$ :

$$\text{length}(P) = 5$$

$$\text{cost}(P) = 11.5$$

How would you ensure that  $\text{length}(p) = \text{cost}(p)$  for all  $p$ ?

# Simple Paths and Cycles

A *simple path* repeats no vertices (except that the first can also be the last):

–P = {Seattle, Salt Lake City, San Francisco, Dallas}

–P = {Seattle, Salt Lake City, Dallas, San Francisco, Seattle}

A *cycle* is a path that starts and ends at the same node:


–P = {Seattle, Salt Lake City, Dallas, San Francisco, Seattle}

–P = {Seattle, Salt Lake City, Seattle, San Francisco, Seattle}

A *simple cycle* is a cycle that is also a simple path (in undirected graphs, no edge can be repeated).

# Paths/Cycles in Directed Graphs

Consider this directed graph:




Is there a path from A to D?


Does the graph contain any cycles?

# Undirected Graph Connectivity

- Undirected graphs are *connected* if there is a path between any two vertices:




Connected graph



Disconnected graph


- A *complete undirected* graph has an edge between every pair of vertices:




- (Complete = *fully connected*)

# Directed Graph Connectivity


Directed graphs are *strongly connected* if there is a path from any one vertex to any other.



Directed graphs are *weakly connected* if there is a path between any two vertices, *ignoring direction*.




A *complete directed* graph has a directed edge between every pair of vertices. (Again, complete = *fully connected*.)



# Trees as Graphs

A tree is a graph that is:

- *undirected*
- *acyclic*
- *connected*



# Rooted Trees


We are more accustomed to:

Rooted trees (a tree node that is “special”)

Directed edges from parents to children (parent closer to root).


A rooted tree (root indicated in red)

drawn two ways



Rooted tree with directed


edges from parents to children.



Characteristics of this one?

# Directed Acyclic Graphs (DAGs)

- **DAGs** are directed graphs with no (directed) cycles.





# What's the data structure?

Common query: which edges are adjacent to a vertex

# Representation 2: Adjacency List

A list (array) of length  $|V|$  in which each entry stores a list (linked list) of all adjacent vertices



*Runtimes:*

*Iterate over vertices?*

*Iterate over edges?*

*Iterate edges adj. to vertex?*


*Existence of edge?*

*Space requirements?*

*Best for what kinds of graphs?*

# Representation 1: Adjacency Matrix

A  $|V| \times |V|$  matrix  $\mathbf{M}$  in which an element  $\mathbf{M}[u, v]$  is true if and only if there is an edge from  $u$  to  $v$



	A	B	C	D
A				
B				
C				
D				

*Runtimes:*

*Iterate over vertices?*

*Iterate over edges?*

*Iterate edges adj. to vertex?*


*Existence of edge?*

*Space requirements?*

*Best for what kinds of graphs?*

# Representing Undirected Graphs

What do these reps look like for an undirected graph?



Adjacency matrix:


A B C D

	A	B	C	D
A				
B				
C				
D				

Adjacency list:

A	
B	
C	
D	

# Some Applications: Bus Routes in Downtown Seattle



If we're at 3<sup>rd</sup> and Pine, how can we get to  
1<sup>st</sup> and University using Metro?

How about 4<sup>th</sup> and Seneca?