






	Table 2.1 The running times (rounded up) of different algorithms on inputs of increasing size, for a processor performing a million high-level instructions per secon In cases where the running time exceeds 10 ⁵³ years, we simply record the algorithm a taking a very long time.						
	п	$n \log_2 n$	n^2	n ³	1.5^{n}	2^n	<i>n</i> !
n = 10	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	4 sec
n = 30	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	18 min	10 ²⁵ year
n = 50	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	11 min	36 years	very long
n = 100	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	1 sec	12,892 years	1017 years	very long
n = 1,000	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	1 sec	18 min	very long	very long	very long
n = 10,000	< 1 sec	< 1 sec	2 min	12 days	very long	very long	very long
n = 100,000	< 1 sec	2 sec	3 hours	32 years	very long	very long	very long
n = 1.000.000	1 sec	20 sec	12 davs	31,710 years	verv long	very long	verv lons






























P = NP?Perhaps instead P = NP, but that would seem to be even harder to prove... $\overrightarrow{P \neq NP} \qquad \overrightarrow{EXPTIME} \qquad \overrightarrow{P = NP} \qquad \underbrace{P = NP} \qquad \underbrace{P$










































Great Quick Reference

Is this lecture complete? Hardly, but here's a good reference:

CSE 332

Computers and Intractability: A Guide to the Theory of NP-Completeness by Michael S. Garey and David S. Johnson



43

12/7/2022