Dijkstra & Shortest Paths CSE 332 Summer 2021

Instructor: Kristofer Wong

Teaching Assistants:

Alena Dickmann Arya GJ Finn Johnson Joon Chong Kimi Locke Peyton Rapo Rahul Misal Winston Jodjana

Announcements

- ^v Regrades
- ^v Resume review & guest lecture next week

Lecture Outline

^v **Shortest Paths!**

^v Dijkstra's Algorithm

- Introduction
- Correctness Proof
- Runtime

Exercise (No Gradescope today!)

- ^v Without using any formal algorithms, find the shortest path from A to E
	- § … assuming this graph is unweighted
	- § … assuming this graph is weighted

Single-Source Shortest Paths

- ^v We've seen BFS finds the minimum path length from **v** to **u**
	- § Runtime: *O*(|E|+|V|)
- ^v Actually, BFS finds the min path length from **v** to *every vertex*
	- Worst-case runtime for single-destination is no faster than worstcase runtime for all-destinations
	- Still $O(|E|+|V|)$

```
BFS(Node start) {
  q.enqueue(start)
  mark start as visited
  while (!q.empty())
    next = q.dequeue()process(next)
    foreach u adjacent to next
      if (!u.marked)
        mark u
        q.enqueue(u)
}
```
Shortest Path: Applications

- \triangleleft Network routing
- \div Driving directions
- \triangle Cheap flight tickets
- ^v Critical paths in project management (see textbook)

^v …

Wait, these are all weighted graphs!

Single-Source Shortest Paths … *for Weighted Graphs*

Given a weighted graph and vertex **v**, find the minimum-cost path from **v** to every vertex

- \triangle As before:
	- All-destinations is asymptotically no harder than single-destination
- ^v Unlike before:
	- BFS will not work

BFS for Weighted Graphs

- ^v BFS doesn't work! Shortest path may not have fewest edges
	- Eg: cost of flight. May be cheaper to fly through a hub than fly direct

- ^v We will assume there are *no negative edge weights*
	- Entire problem is *ill-defined* if there are negative-cost *cycles*
	- Today's algorithm is *wrong* if there are negative-cost *edges*

Negative Cycles vs Negative Edges

- ^v *Negative cycles*: no algorithm can find a finite optimal path
	- You can always decrease the distance by going through the negative cycle a few more times
- ^v *Negative edges*: Dijkstra's can't guarantee correctness
	- But other algorithms might

Lecture Outline

- ^v Shortest Paths!
- ^v Dijkstra's Algorithm
	- **Introduction**
	- Correctness Proof
	- Runtime

Dijkstra's Algorithm

- ^v Named after its inventor, Edsger Dijkstra (1930-2002)
	- **Truly one of the "founders" of computer science**
	- 1972 Turing Award
	- § This algorithm is just *one* of his many contributions!
	- Example quote: "Computer science is no more about computers than astronomy is about telescopes"
- ^v The idea: reminiscent of BFS, but adapted to handle weights
	- Grow the set of nodes whose shortest distance has been computed
	- § Nodes not in the set will have a "best distance so far"

Dijkstra's Algorithm: Idea

 \cdot Initialization:

- **Start vertex has distance 0; all other vertices have distance** ∞
- \triangleleft At each step:
	- Pick closest unknown vertex v
	- Add it to the "cloud" of known vertices
	- Update distances for nodes with edges from v

}

Dijkstra's Algorithm: Pseudocode

```
dijkstra(Graph g, Vertex start) {
  foreach vertex v in g:
   v.distance = \inftyv.known = falsestart.distance = 0while there are vertices in q that are not known:
    select vertex v with lowest cost
   v.known = true
    foreach edge (v, u) with weight w:
      d1 = v.distance + w // best path through v to u
      d2 = u.distance // previous best path to u
      if (d1 < d2): \frac{1}{\sqrt{d1}} if this is a better path to u
        u.distance = d1
       u.previous = v // backtracking info to
                           // recreate path
```
Remember our 5-step pattern for a graph traversal? **¹³**

Dijkstra's Algorithm: Important Features

- ^v Once a vertex is marked known, its shortest path is known
	- Can reconstruct path by following back-pointers ("previous" fields)
- \ast While a vertex is not known, another shorter path might be found

Order Added to Known Set:

Order Added to Known Set: A, C

Order Added to Known Set: A, C, B

Order Added to Known Set: A, C, B, D

Order Added to Known Set: A, C, B, D, F

Order Added to Known Set: A, C, B, D, F, H

Order Added to Known Set: A, C, B, D, F, H, G

TADA!!!

Order Added to Known Set: A, C, B, D, F, H, G, E

Dijkstra's Algorithm: Interpreting the Results

^v Now that we're done, how do we get the path from A to E?

> Order Added to Known Set: A, C, B, D, F, H, G, E

Dijkstra's Algorithm: Stopping Short

- ^v Would this have been different if we only wanted:
	- The path from A to G?
	- The path from A to D?

Order Added to Known Set: A, C, B, D, F, H, G, E

Review: Important Features

- ^v Once a vertex is marked known, its shortest path is known
	- Can reconstruct path by following back-pointers ("previous" fields)
- \ast While a vertex is not known, another shorter path might be found
- ^v The "Order Added to Known Set" is unimportant
	- § A detail about how the algorithm works *(client doesn't care)*
	- § Not used by the algorithm *(implementation doesn't care)*
	- It is sorted by path-distance; ties are resolved "somehow"

Order Added to Known Set:

Order Added to Known Set: A

Order Added to Known Set: A, D

Order Added to Known Set: A, D, C

Order Added to Known Set: A, D, C, E

Order Added to Known Set: A, D, C, E, B, F

Order Added to Known Set: A, D, C, E, B, F, G

WOOHOO!!! UW

- ^v How will the best-pathlen-so-far for Y proceed?
	- § *90, 81, 72, 63, 54, …*
- \cdot Is this expensive?
	- *No, each edge is processed only once*

Lecture Outline

- ^v Shortest Paths!
- ^v Dijkstra's Algorithm
	- Introduction
	- § **Correctness Proof**
	- Runtime

A Greedy Algorithm

- \div Dijkstra's Algorithm
	- Single-source shortest paths in a weighted graph (directed or undirected) with no negative-weight edges
- ^v Dijkstra's is an example of a *greedy algorithm*:
	- § At each step, *irrevocably* does what seems best *at that step*
		- Makes locally optimal decision; decision isn't necessarily globally optimal
	- Once a vertex is known, it is not revisited
		- Turns out, the decision is globally optimal!

Where Are We?

- ^v What should we do after learning an algorithm?
- ◆ Prove it is correct
	- Not obvious!
	- We will sketch the key ideas
- ^v Analyze its efficiency
	- And improve it by using a data structure we learned earlier!

Correctness: Intuition

- ^v *Statement*: all "known" vertices have the correct shortest path
	- **True initially: shortest path to start vertex has cost 0**
	- If the new vertex marked "known" also has the correct shortest path, then by induction this statement holds
	- Thus, when the algorithm terminates (ie, everything is "known"), we will have the correct shortest path to every vertex
- ^v *Key fact we need*: when we mark a vertex "known", we won't discover a shorter path later!
	- This holds only because Dijkstra's algorithm picks the vertex with the next shortest path-so-far
	- The proof of this fact is by contradiction ...

Correctness: Rough Idea

- \cdot Let v be the next vertex marked known ("added to the cloud")
	- The *best-known path* to v only contains nodes "in the cloud" and has weight w
		- *(we used Dijkstra's to select this path, and we only know about paths through the cloud to a vertex in the fringe)*

§ Assume the *actual shortest path* to v is different

- It must use at least one non-cloud vertex *(otherwise we'd know about it)*
- Let u be the *first* non-cloud vertex on this path
- The path weight from u to $v -$ weight $(u, v) -$ must be ≥ 0 *(no negative weights)*
- Thus, the total weight of the path from src to u must be <w *(otherwise* weight (src, u) + weight(u,v) > w *and this path wouldn't be shorter)*
- But if weight $(\text{src}, u) < w$, then v would not have been picked

CONTRADICTION!!!

Lecture Outline

- ^v Shortest Paths!
- ^v Dijkstra's Algorithm
	- Introduction
	- Correctness Proof
	- § **Runtime**

Runtime, First Approach

```
dijkstra(Graph g, Vertex start) {
  foreach vertex v in g:
    v.distance = \inftyv.known = false
  start.distance = 0
  while there are vertices in g
  that are not known:
    select vertex v with lowest cost
    v.known = true
    foreach unknown edge (v, u) in g:
      d1 = v.distance + q.weight(v, u)d2 = u.distance
      if \left( d1 \right. < d2):
         u.distance = d1
         u.previous = v
}
                                              42
Total: O(|V|2+ |E|)O(|V|)O(|V|^2)O(|E|)(notice each edge is 
                                                   processed only once)
```
Improving Asymptotic Runtime

- ^v *Current runtime*: O(|V|2+ |E|) ∈ O(|V|2)
- \cdot We had a similar "problem" with toposort being O(|V|²+ |E|)
	- Caused by each iteration looking for the next vertex to process
	- Solved it with a queue of zero-degree vertex!
	- But here we need:
		- The lowest-cost vertex
		- Ability to change costs, since they can change as we process edges
- ❖ Solution?
	- A priority queue holding all unknown vertex sorted by cost
	- Must support **decreaseKey** operation
		- Conceptually simple, but a pain to code up

Runtime, Second Approach

```
dijkstra(Graph g, Vertex start) {
  foreach vertex v in g:
    v.distance = \inftystart.distance = 0
  heap = buildHeap(q<u>.vertex)</u>
  while (! heap.empty()):
    v = \text{heap.deleteMin}()foreach unknown edge (v, u) in g:
       d1 = v.distance + q.weight(v, u)d2 = u.distance
       if (d1 < d2):
         heap.decreaseKey(u, d1)
         u.previous = v
}
                                                    O(|V|)\rightarrow O(|V| log |V|)
                                                    O(|E| \log |V|)(|E| decreaseKey() calls)
                                                    O(|V|)O(|E|)
                                                    (each edge processed once)
```
44 *Total*: O(|V|log|V|+ |E|log|V|)

Runtime as a Function of Density

- ^v *First approach (linear scan):* O(|V|2 + |E|)
- ^v *Second approach (heap)*: O(|V|log|V|+|E|log|V|)
- ◆ So which is better?
	- In a sparse graph, $|E| \in O(|V|)$
		- So second approach (heap) is better? O(|E|log|V|)
	- In a dense graph, $|E| \in \Theta(|V|^2)$
		- So first approach (linear scan) is better? O(|E|)
- ^v But: remember these are worst-case and asymptotic
	- Heap might have worse constant factors
	- § Maybe decreaseKey is cheap, making |E|log|V| more like |E|
		- It's called rarely, or vertices don't percolate far