

# Games

Data Structures and Parallelism

#### Announcements

Remember exercises 5-7 due today

Individual Gitlab repositories for exercises 8-11 are out.

P3 repos are out.

You've done a ton of work so far.

- -You've implemented every core data structure.
- -And in 4-5 days less than students get during the regular school year.

You should be proud of yourselves.

## Let's Play a Game

Of tic-tac-toe!

#### Tic-Tac-Toe

What happened? -We both have strategies memorized that guarantee a draw (probably). Let's make a computer do it for us!



www.xkcd.com/832

### Tic-Tac-Toe Bot

if (board is empty) play upper left if (we can win) make winning move if (opponent can win) block that move else{ //uhhh....

### Tic-Tac-Toe Bot

Could we just list out all positions? There are 9! of them.

What if we want a more complicated game? Like checkers. Or chess.

### Zero-Sum Games

Tic-Tac-Toe, Checkers, and Chess are all zero-sum games

Meaning what's good for me is (equally) bad for you.

Not all "games" are zero-sum (Prisoner's dilemma).

All of these games are also turn-based Which will make writing our bot easier.

Let's try checkers!

Like our tic-tac-toe bot, we need to define the optimal move at every time.

But we DEFINITELY can't hard-code this.

Key idea:

Computers are good at calculating.

Make the bot re-derive what the best decision is every term.

What's the best move?

It's the one such that

when our opponent responds with their move And we respond with our best move And they respond with their move

We win (or at least draw)

. . . .

## **Decision Tree**

### Minimax

Now that we have our tree, how do we choose our move?

How will our opponent respond in round 2?

Assume they will make the best possible move for them. -i.e. the worst possible move for us.

If we make this tree for tic-tac-toe, how big is it?

The nodes at level k have 9 - k options.

Something like 9! nodes.

For checkers?

The analysis is harder. Checkers experts say there are about 10 possible moves each turn.

So our tree will have  $10^t$  nodes after t turns

That's probably too big.

If we want to play chess, the branching factor is much worse. (experts say about 35).

We can't get all the way down the tree!

At a certain point, we'll need to look at the board and estimate how things are going.

### Minimax

```
if(we're at a leaf)
```

```
return board evalutation //we're done!
else{
   for(every possible move mv){
```

```
apply mv to the current board
value = - minimax(board)
undo mv from board
if(value > bestVale)
bestValue = value
```

### Pruning

The further down the tree we can go, the more likely we are to get a good move.

What tricks could we use to get further down the tree?

In tic-tac-toe, we didn't evaluate other moves once we knew our opponent could win in the next turn.

Generalize that idea – we don't need to evaluate further in a subtree if we know that optimal play won't take us there.



## Pruning

There's no need to evaluate X

If it's bigger than 3, min will choose Y to go in the left branch.

If it's less than 3, min will choose the right branch...

but then at the root max will choose the left branch.

The value doesn't matter!

Don't bother going down that subtree.











### Pseudocode

```
alphabeta (Position p, int alpha, int beta) {
      if (p is a leaf)
            return p.evaluate()
      for( every move mv) {
            p.apply(mv)
            int value = -alphabeta(p, -beta, -alpha)
            p.undoMove()
            if(value > alpha)
                  alpha = value;
            if (alpha >= beta) //we won't be able to reach this move.
                  return alpha;
```

```
return alpha;
```

### Project 3

The games pdf on the webpage has more examples.

P3 is out, you'll be making a chess bot.

You'll implement minimax (sequentially first, then in parallel) Then you'll implement alphabeta (sequential only)