# **CSE 332**

#### JULY 26<sup>TH</sup> – PARALLELISM

• Concurrency

- Concurrency
  - Even a single core can "do" multiple things at once

#### Concurrency

- Even a single core can "do" multiple things at once
- Processor swapping / Time-slicing

#### Concurrency

- Even a single core can "do" multiple things at once
- Processor swapping / Time-slicing

#### Parallelism

 Breaking the problem into smaller pieces that can be done at once

#### Concurrency

- Even a single core can "do" multiple things at once
- Processor swapping / Time-slicing

#### Parallelism

- Breaking the problem into smaller pieces that can be done at once
- Born-on-the-14<sup>th</sup> problem

Synchronization

- Synchronization
  - Dealing with shared resources between threads

- Synchronization
  - Dealing with shared resources between threads
  - Mutating a single piece of memory

- Synchronization
  - Dealing with shared resources between threads
  - Mutating a single piece of memory
  - Write-locking: remember sum++ is actually a three operation call and how it's ordered with other operations makes a difference

Threads v. Processes

- Threads v. Processes
  - In a standard OS course, you might consider forking a new process when you try and start a new program

- Threads v. Processes
  - In a standard OS course, you might consider forking a new process when you try and start a new program
  - Threads work over the same shared memory

- Threads v. Processes
  - In a standard OS course, you might consider forking a new process when you try and start a new program
  - Threads work over the same shared memory
    - Each thread has its own calls stack and program counter

- Threads v. Processes
  - In a standard OS course, you might consider forking a new process when you try and start a new program
  - Threads work over the same shared memory
    - Each thread has its own calls stack and program counter
    - Can modify freely information in the heap (memory allocated when you call new)

• Forking and Joining

- Forking and Joining
  - Fork(): Creates a new thread and begins work

- Forking and Joining
  - Fork(): Creates a new thread and begins work
  - Join(): Tells the current thread to wait for the result of a thread it has created

- Forking and Joining
  - Fork(): Creates a new thread and begins work
  - Join(): Tells the current thread to wait for the result of a thread it has created
- Example

#### Forking and Joining

- Fork(): Creates a new thread and begins work
- Join(): Tells the current thread to wait for the result of a thread it has created

#### Example

```
RecursiveTask left = new RecursiveTask(\*lefthalf*\)
RecursiveTask right = new RecursiveTast(\Righthalf\)
left.fork()
result = right.compute()
return combine(left.join,result)
```

#### Forking and Joining

- Fork(): Creates a new thread and begins work
- Join(): Tells the current thread to wait for the result of a thread it has created

#### • Example (Why do it this way?)

```
RecursiveTask left = new RecursiveTask(\*lefthalf*\)
RecursiveTask right = new RecursiveTast(\Righthalf\)
left.fork()
result = right.compute()
return combine(left.join,result)
```

## **RECURSIVE TASKS**

- Basic ideas for good parallel compute functions
  - When given a job, a RecursiveTask is also required to start other recursive tasks.
  - So, the compute function needs to divide the work and create new RecursiveTask objects to do smaller portions of the work.
  - Eventually, once we reach a cutoff point, we want to do the work sequentially (not in parallel)
  - Creating a new thread takes time!
  - Then, we just need to join together all of their tasks
  - The master thread should also do some work

• How do we analyze parallel programs?

- How do we analyze parallel programs?
  - They do the same amount of work overall, but since they can do multiple things at once, the overall time to completion may be different.
  - We can recognize this difference using work and span

- How do we analyze parallel programs?
  - They do the same amount of work overall, but since they can do multiple things at once, the overall time to completion may be different.
  - We can recognize this difference using work and span
  - Work is the total amount of work that needs to be done in the problem (standard sequential computations)

- How do we analyze parallel programs?
  - They do the same amount of work overall, but since they can do multiple things at once, the overall time to completion may be different.
  - We can recognize this difference using work and span
  - Work is the total amount of work that needs to be done in the problem (standard sequential computations)
  - Span is the largest amount of work some processor must complete

- How do we analyze parallel programs?
  - They do the same amount of work overall, but since they can do multiple things at once, the overall time to completion may be different.
  - We can recognize this difference using work and span
  - Work is the total amount of work that needs to be done in the problem (standard sequential computations)
  - Span is the largest amount of work *some* processor must complete (*this assumes we have infinite processors*)

Consider work and span for MergeSort

- Consider work and span for MergeSort
  - Work is O(n log n)

- Consider work and span for MergeSort
  - Work is O(n log n)
  - Span is only O(n) --

- Consider work and span for MergeSort
  - Work is O(n log n)
  - Span is only O(n) This is the final merge
- We can conduct these as a recurrence

- Consider work and span for MergeSort
  - Work is O(n log n)
  - Span is only O(n) This is the final merge
- We can conduct these as a recurrence
  - Work: T(N) = O(n) + 2T(n/2)

- Consider work and span for MergeSort
  - Work is O(n log n)
  - Span is only O(n) This is the final merge
- We can conduct these as a recurrence
  - Work: T(N) = O(n) + 2T(n/2)
  - Span:  $T(N) = O(n) + max(T_{L}(n/2),T_{R}(n/2))$

- Consider work and span for MergeSort
  - Work is O(n log n)
  - Span is only O(n) This is the final merge
- We can conduct these as a recurrence
  - Work: T(N) = O(n) + 2T(n/2)
  - Span:  $T(N) = O(n) + max(T_{L}(n/2),T_{R}(n/2))$
- This is the amount of time it will take to complete given infinite processors

- Consider work and span for MergeSort
  - Work is O(n log n)
  - Span is only O(n) This is the final merge
- We can conduct these as a recurrence
  - Work: T(N) = O(n) + 2T(n/2)
  - Span:  $T(N) = O(n) + max(T_{L}(n/2),T_{R}(n/2))$
- This is the amount of time it will take to complete given infinite processors
  - What we care about emperically is speed up

#### Speed up

- Speed up is the time it takes to complete the work sequentially divided by the time it takes to do the work on P processors
- If we have four processors and the work takes 1/4 as long, then our speed up is 4.
#### Speed up

- Speed up is the time it takes to complete the work sequentially divided by the time it takes to do the work on P processors
- If we have four processors and the work takes 1/4 as long, then our speed up is 4.
- If the speed up is equal to P, then we have perfect linear speed up

#### Speed up

- Speed up is the time it takes to complete the work sequentially divided by the time it takes to do the work on P processors
- If we have four processors and the work takes 1/4 as long, then our speed up is 4.
- If the speed up is equal to P, then we have perfect linear speed up
- However, there is overhead in allocating new threads, and this makes speed up difficult

#### Speed up

- Speed up is the time it takes to complete the work sequentially divided by the time it takes to do the work on P processors
- If we have four processors and the work takes 1/4 as long, then our speed up is 4.
- If the speed up is equal to P, then we have perfect linear speed up
- However, there is overhead in allocating new threads, and this makes speed up difficult
- The theoretical parallelism level is how long the computation would take given infinite processors

#### Infinite processors

- Let T<sub>n</sub> be the computation time for the problem with n processors and let T<sub>inf</sub> be the span
- Since this is an unrealistic assumption, we can find the lower bound for our operations given p processors
- $T_p$  is lower bounded by  $T_1/P + T_{inf}$
- This is where each processor does 1/P<sup>th</sup> of the work, but we must also take into account the maximum dependency path
- Consider finding an element in a BST in parallel

- What is the work()? What is the analysis of this problem when T<sub>1</sub> and we only have one processor
- What is the span()? What is the analysis of this work considering we have an infinite number of processors?

- What is the work()? What is the analysis of this problem when T<sub>1</sub> and we only have one processor
- What is the span()? What is the analysis of this work considering we have an infinite number of processors?
- They are both O(height), creating a new thread for both subtrees is pointless, we can eliminate a subtree at each level with a single comparison

- What is the work()? What is the analysis of this problem when T<sub>1</sub> and we only have one processor
- What is the span()? What is the analysis of this work considering we have an infinite number of processors?
- They are both O(height), creating a new thread for both subtrees is pointless, we can eliminate a subtree at each level with a single comparison
- What if the problem was changed to finding an object in an arbitrary non-search tree?

- What is the work()? What is the analysis of this problem when T<sub>1</sub> and we only have one processor
- What is the span()? What is the analysis of this work considering we have an infinite number of processors?
- They are both O(height), creating a new thread for both subtrees is pointless, we can eliminate a subtree at each level with a single comparison
- What if the problem was changed to finding an object in an arbitrary non-search tree?
  - Work?
  - Span?

- What is the work()? What is the analysis of this problem when T<sub>1</sub> and we only have one processor
- What is the span()? What is the analysis of this work considering we have an infinite number of processors?
- They are both O(height), creating a new thread for both subtrees is pointless, we can eliminate a subtree at each level with a single comparison
- What if the problem was changed to finding an object in an arbitrary non-search tree?
  - Work? O(n) need to check all nodes
  - Span?

- What is the work()? What is the analysis of this problem when T<sub>1</sub> and we only have one processor
- What is the span()? What is the analysis of this work considering we have an infinite number of processors?
- They are both O(height), creating a new thread for both subtrees is pointless, we can eliminate a subtree at each level with a single comparison
- What if the problem was changed to finding an object in an arbitrary non-search tree?
  - Work? O(n) need to check all nodes
  - Span? What is the **longest dependency chain?**

- What is the work()? What is the analysis of this problem when T<sub>1</sub> and we only have one processor
- What is the span()? What is the analysis of this work considering we have an infinite number of processors?
- They are both O(height), creating a new thread for both subtrees is pointless, we can eliminate a subtree at each level with a single comparison
- What if the problem was changed to finding an object in an arbitrary non-search tree?
  - Work? O(n) need to check all nodes
  - Span? O(height)

- What is the work()? What is the analysis of this problem when T<sub>1</sub> and we only have one processor
- What is the span()? What is the analysis of this work considering we have an infinite number of processors?
- They are both O(height), creating a new thread for both subtrees is pointless, we can eliminate a subtree at each level with a single comparison
- What if the problem was changed to finding an object in an arbitrary non-search tree?
  - Work? O(n) need to check all nodes
  - Span? O(height) again this illustrates why linked lists may be poor data structures for parallelization

- Merge sort
  - What is the work?

- Merge sort
  - What is the work? (Standard sequential O(n lg n))

- What is the work? (Standard sequential O(n lg n))
- What is the span?

- What is the work? (Standard sequential O(n lg n))
- What is the span?
  - Break it down into a recurrence!

- What is the work? (Standard sequential O(n lg n))
- What is the span?
  - Break it down into a recurrence!
- T(n) = O(n) + 2T(N/2)

- What is the work? (Standard sequential O(n lg n))
- What is the span?
  - Break it down into a recurrence!
- T(n) = O(n) + 2T(N/2)
- T(n) = O(n) + max(T(left), T(right))

- What is the work? (Standard sequential O(n lg n))
- What is the span?
  - Break it down into a recurrence!
- T(n) = O(n) + 2T(N/2)
- T(n) = O(n) + max(T(left), T(right))

- What is the work? (Standard sequential O(n lg n))
- What is the span?
  - Break it down into a recurrence!
- T(n) = O(n) + 2T(N/2)
- T(n) = O(n) + max(T(left), T(right))
  - ← important distinction for merge sort
- Span then is O(n)

#### Data storage

 Parallel operations don't have to work only on arrays, they can work on other data structures as well, but they don't always give a benefit

- Parallel operations don't have to work only on arrays, they can work on other data structures as well, but they don't always give a benefit
- Why might we not want to parallelize a process over a linked list?

- Parallel operations don't have to work only on arrays, they can work on other data structures as well, but they don't always give a benefit
- Why might we not want to parallelize a process over a linked list?
- Difficult to break the problem into parts of equal size

- Parallel operations don't have to work only on arrays, they can work on other data structures as well, but they don't always give a benefit
- Why might we not want to parallelize a process over a linked list?
- Difficult to break the problem into parts of equal size
- Exception, if creating a new thread is has lower overhead than the function being performed, i.e. if we are "mapping" a difficult problem onto the result.

• There are two simple "parallelizable" problems that we want to introduce

- There are two simple "parallelizable" problems that we want to introduce
  - Reduction:
    - The input is an array of data
    - The output is some single characteristic of the whole data
    - Examples: Max, sum, contains, count, is-sorted

- There are two simple "parallelizable" problems that we want to introduce
  - Reduction:
    - The input is an array of data
    - The output is some single characteristic of the whole data
    - Examples: Max, sum, contains, count, is-sorted
  - Map
    - The input is an array of data
    - The output is an array of the same length where each element has had the same function applied to it

- These are two of what are called parallel primitives
  - They are operations that can be applied to solve parallel problems

- These are two of what are called parallel primitives
  - They are operations that can be applied to solve parallel problems
  - Many of the things that we'll look at will simply be combinations of these two primitives

- These are two of what are called parallel primitives
  - They are operations that can be applied to solve parallel problems
  - Many of the things that we'll look at will simply be combinations of these two primitives
  - How would we solve a problem to count primes between two values?

- These are two of what are called parallel primitives
  - They are operations that can be applied to solve parallel problems
  - Many of the things that we'll look at will simply be combinations of these two primitives
  - How would we solve a problem to count primes between two values?
    - Fundamentally, it is a reduction, summing the primes, but it is also a mapping of a function which returns 1 if the number is a prime and 0 otherwise

### **EXAMPLE PROBLEMS**

Coding can be difficult

### **EXAMPLE PROBLEMS**

#### Coding can be difficult

- Let's look through some code which implements the java interfaces and get you some practice
- Find the second smallest element in an array?
# **EXAMPLE PROBLEMS**

### Coding can be difficult

- Let's look through some code which implements the java interfaces and get you some practice
- Find the second smallest element in an array?
  - What are the immediate challenges?

# **EXAMPLE PROBLEMS**

### Coding can be difficult

- Let's look through some code which implements the java interfaces and get you some practice
- Find the second smallest element in an array?
  - What are the immediate challenges?
  - What does the recursive task need to return?

# **EXAMPLE PROBLEMS**

### Coding can be difficult

- Let's look through some code which implements the java interfaces and get you some practice
- Find the second smallest element in an array?
  - What are the immediate challenges?
  - What does the recursive task need to return?
  - How do we break up the data?