CSE332: Data Abstractions

# Lecture 25: Minimum Spanning Trees 

Ruth Anderson via Conrad Nied
Winter 2015

## A quick note about Gradescope



## Today's XKCD

FIGURING OUT WHY MY HOME SERVER KEEPS RUNNING OUT OF SWAP SPACE AND CRASHING:


1-10 HOURS

PLUGGING IT INTO A LIGHT TIMER SO IT REBOOTS EVERY 24 HOURS:


5 MINUTES

WHY EVERTTHING I HAVE IS BROKEN

## You guys are awesome



Nicholas James Anderson via cs.washington.edu
to Conrad


Gradescope fix your javascript pls

## Do you still see this?

- Gradescope | View Submis $\times$.
C https://gradescope.com/courses/499/assignments/1540/submissions/266997\#Question_1.1



## Announcements

- Homework 8 - the last homework!
- due Wednesday March $11^{\text {th }}$ at 11 PM
- Project 3 -the last programming project!
- ALLCode - Tues March 10, 2015 11PM
- Experiments \& Writeup-Thurs March 12, 2015, 1 1PM


## "Scheduling note"

- "We now return to our interrupted program" on graphs
- Last "graph lecture" was lecture 16
- Shortest-path problem
- Dijkstra's algorithm for graphs with non-negative weights
- Why this strange schedule?
- Needed to do parallelism and concurrency in time for project 3 and homeworks 6, 7, and 8
- So: not the most logical order, but hopefully not a big deal


## Minimum Spanning Trees

Given an undirected graph $\left.G=(4), e^{2}\right)$, find a graph $G^{\prime}=\left(V, E^{\prime}\right)$ such that:

- $E^{\prime}$ is a subset of $E$
$-\left|E^{\prime}\right|=|V|-1$
- $G^{\prime}$ is connected

- Example: Electrical wiring for a house or clock wires on a chip
- Example: A road network if you cared about asphalt cost rather than travel time


## Student Activity



## Two Different Approaches



Prim's Algorithm Almost identical to Dijkstra's


Kruskals's Algorithm
Completely different!

## Two Different Approaches



Prim's Algorithm
Almost identical to Dijkstra's
One node, grow greedily


Kruskals's Algorithm Completely different!

Forest of MSTs,
Union them together.
I wonder how to union...

## Prim's algorithm

Idea: Grow a tree by picking a vertex from the unknown set that has the smallest cost. Here cost = cost of the edge that connects that vertex to the known set. Pick the vertex with the smallest cost that connects "known" to "unknown."

## A node-based greedy algorithm

Builds MST by greedily adding nodes


## Prim's Algorithm vs. Dijkstra's

Recall:

Dijkstra picked the unknown vertex with smallest cost where cost = distaxce to the source.
Prim's pick the unknown vertex with smallest cost where cost = distance from this vertex to the known set (in other words, the cost of the smallest edge connecting this vertex to the known set)

- Otherwise identical
- Compare to slides in lecture 16!


## Prim's Algorithm for MST

## $A, V_{1}, \int_{e a t t}$

1. For each node $v$, set $v$. cost $=\infty$ and $v$. known $=$ false
2. Choose any node y. (this is like your "start" vertex in Dijkstra)
a) Mark vas known

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Known } \\
& \text { Horijoh } \\
& \text { Unknown }
\end{aligned}
$$

b) For each edge ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}$ ) with weight w : set. cost=wand $[$. $\mathrm{prev}=\mathrm{v}$
a) Select the unknown node $v$ with lowest cost
b) Mark v as known and add ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{v}$. prev) to output (the MST)
c) For each edge ( $\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{u}$ ) with weight w ,


## Example; Find MST using Prim's



Order added to known set:

| vertex | known? | cost | prev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | l | $? ?$ |  |
| B |  | $? ? 2$ | 4 |
| C |  | $? ? ~ 2$ | A |
| D |  | ?? l | A |
| E |  | $? ?$ |  |
| F |  | $? ?$ |  |
| G |  | $? ?$ |  |

## Example: Find MST using Prim's



Order added to known set: A

| vertex | known? | cost | prev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Y | 0 |  |
| B |  | 2 | A |
| C |  | 21 | $A D$ |
| D | 4 | 1 | A |
| E |  | ج? 1 | $D$ |
| F |  | 2? 6 | $D$ |
| G |  | 2? 5 | $D$ |

## Example: Find MST using Prim's



Order added to known set: A, D

| vertex | known? | cost | prev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Y | 0 |  |
| B |  | 2 | A |
| C | $Y$ | 1 | D |
| D | Y | 1 | A |
| E |  | 1 | D |
| F |  | 62 | D C |
| G |  | 5 | D |

## Example: Find MST using Prim's



Order added to known set: A, D, C

| vertex | known? | cost | prev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $Y$ | 0 |  |
| B |  | 2 | $A E$ |
| C | $Y$ | 1 | D |
| D | $Y$ | 1 | A |
| E | Y | 1 | D |
| F |  | 2 | C |
| G |  | 5 J | D E |

## Example: Find MST using Prim's



Order added to known set:
A, D, C, E

| vertex | known? | cost | prev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Y | 0 |  |
| B |  | 1 | E |
| C | Y | 1 | D |
| D | Y | 1 | A |
| E | Y | 1 | D |
| F |  | 2 | C |
| G |  | 3 | E |

## Example: Find MST using Prim's



Order added to known set:
A, D, C, E, B

| vertex | known? | cost | prev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Y | 0 |  |
| B | Y | 1 | E |
| C | Y | 1 | D |
| D | Y | 1 | A |
| E | Y | 1 | D |
| F |  | 2 | C |
| G |  | 3 | E |

## Example: Find MST using Prim's



Order added to known set:
A, D, C, E, B, F

| vertex | known? | cost | prev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Y | 0 |  |
| B | Y | 1 | E |
| C | Y | 1 | D |
| D | Y | 1 | A |
| E | Y | 1 | D |
| F | Y | 2 | C |
| G |  | 3 | E |

## Example：Find MST using Prim＇s



Order added to known set：
$\xrightarrow[\text { 个个4ヶヶ个ヶ}]{\text { A，D，C，E，B，F，G }}$

| vertex | known？ | cost | prev |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Y | 0 |  |
| B | $Y$ | 1 | E |
| C | Y | 1 | D |
| D | Y | 1 | A |
| E | Y | 1 | D |
| F | Y | 2 | C |
| G | Y | 3 | E |

Start with $V_{1}$

## Find MST using Prim's

| V | Kwn | Distance | path |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| v1 | $Y$ | - | - |
| v2 |  | 2 | $v_{1}$ |
| v3 |  | 2 | $v_{4}$ |
| v4 | 1 | 1 | $v_{1}$ |
| v5 |  | 6 | $v_{7}$ |
| v6 |  | 1 | $v_{7}$ |
| v7 |  | 4 | $v_{4}$ |

## Order Declared Known:

$$
\mathbf{V}_{1} V_{l}
$$

Total Cost: 16

## Prim's Analysis

- Correctness ??
- A bit tricky
- Intuitively similar to Dijkstra
- Might return to this time permitting (unlikely)
- Run-time
- Same as Dijkstra
- $O(|E| \log |\mathrm{V}|)$ using a priority queue


## Kruskal's MST Algorithm

Idea: Grow a forest out of edges that do not create a cycle. Pick an edge with the smallest weight.

$$
\mathbf{G}=(\mathbf{V}, \mathbf{E})
$$



## Kruskal's Algorithm for MST

## An edge-based greedyalgorithm Builds MST by greedily adding edges

1. Initialize with

- empty MST
- all vertices marked unconnected

- all edges unmarked

2. While there are still unmarked edges
a. Pick the lowest cost edge (u, v) and mark it
b. If $u$ and $v$ are not already connected, add ( $u, v$ ) to the MST and mark $u$ and $v$ as connected to each other
Uptreess

## Aside: Union-Find aka Disjoint Set ADT

- Union( $\mathbf{x , y}$ ) - take the union of two sets named $x$ and $y$
- Given sets: $\{3,5,7\},\{4,2,8\},\{9\},\{1,6\}<$
- Union(5,1)


Result: $\{3, \underline{5}, 7,1,6\},\{4,2,8\},\{9\}$,
To perform the union operation, we replace sets $x$ and $y$ by ( $x \cup$ y)

- $\operatorname{Find}(\mathbf{x})$ - return the name of the set containing $x$.
- Given sets: $\{3, \underline{2}, 7,1,6\},\{4,2,8\},\{9\}$,
- Find(1) returns 5
- Find(4) returns 8
- We can do Union in constant time.
- We can get Find to be amortized constant time


## Kruskal's pseudo code

$$
|E| \text { builtheap }
$$

```
void Graph::kruskal() {
```

void Graph::kruskal() {
int edgesAccepted = 0;
DisjSet s(NUM_VERTICES);
while (edgesAccepted < NUM_VERTICES - 1) loy lE|
e = smallest weight edge not deleted yet;
// edge e = (u, v)
uset_= s.find(u)}\mp@subsup{}{}{\frac{5}{7}
vset=s.find(v) Y;
2|E| finds
if (uset != vset){
edgesAccepted++;
}

```


\section*{Kruskal's pseudo code}

On heap of edges
void Graph::kruskal() \{
int edgesAccepted \(=0\);
Deletemin = \(\log |\mathbf{E}|\) DisjSet s(NUM_VERTICES);

\section*{|E| heap ops}
```

    e = smallest weight edge not deleted yet;
    ```
    // edge e = (u, v)
    uset = s.find(u);
    vset \(=s . f i n d(v)\);
    if (uset != vset) \{
            edgesAccepted++;
            s.unionSets(uset, vset);
    \}
\}
                    \(|\mathbf{E}| \log |\mathbf{E}|+\underline{2|\mathbf{E}| \log |\mathbf{V}|+|\mathbf{V}|}\)

One for each vertex in the edge
Find \(=\log |V|\)

Union \(=\mathbf{O}(1)\)
\} \(\mathbf{O}(|\mathbf{E}| \log |\mathbf{E}|+|\mathbf{E}| \sim \mathbf{O}(\mathbf{1}))=\mathbf{O}(|\mathbf{E}| \log |\mathbf{E}|)=\mathbf{O}(|\mathbf{E}| \log |\mathbf{V}|)\)
b/c \(\log |\mathbf{E}|<\log |\mathbf{V}|^{2}=\mathbf{2 l o g}|\mathbf{V}|\)

\section*{Student Activity}

\section*{Find MST using Kruskal's}


\section*{Total Cost:}
- Now find the MST using Prim's method.
- Under what conditions will these methods give the same result?

\section*{Student Activity}

\section*{Draw the UpTree}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|l|}
\hline Nodes & A & B & C & D & E & F & G & H \\
\hline Parent & & & & & & & & \\
\hline Size & & & & & & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}


\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:
1: \((A, D),(C, D),(B, E),(D, E)\)
2: (A,B), (C,F), (A,C)
3: (E,G)
5: (D,G), (B,D)
6: (D,F)
10: (F,G)

Output:

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:
1: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)
2: (A,B), (C,F), (A,C)
3: (E,G)
5: (D,G), (B,D)
6: (D,F)
10: (F,G)

Output: (A,D)

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:
1: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)
2: \((A, B),(C, F),(A, C)\)
3: (E,G)
5: (D,G), (B,D)
6: (D,F)
10: (F,G)

Output: (A,D), (C,D)

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:
1: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)
2: \((A, B),(C, F),(A, C)\)
3: (E,G)
5: (D,G), (B,D)
6: (D,F)
10: (F,G)

Output: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E)

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:


Output: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:
1: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)
2: \((A, B),(C, F),(A, C)\)
3: (E,G)
5: (D,G), (B,D)
6: (D,F)
10: (F,G)

Output: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:
1: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)
2: \((A, B),(C, F),(A, C)\)
3: (E,G)
5: (D,G), (B,D)
6: (D,F)
10: (F,G)

Output: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E), (C,F)

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:
1: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)
2: (A,B), (C,F), (A,C)
3: (E,G)
5: (D,G), (B,D)
6: (D,F)
10: (F,G)

Output: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E), (C,F)

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:
1: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)
2: (A,B), (C,F), (A,C)
3: (E,G)
5: (D,G), (B,D)
6: (D,F)
10: (F,G)

Output: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E), (C,F), (E,G)

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Correctness}

Kruskal's algorithm is clever, simple, and efficient
- But does it generate a minimum spanning tree?
- How can we prove it?

First: it generates a spanning tree
- Intuition: Graph started connected and we added every edge that did not create a cycle
- Proof by contradiction: Suppose \(u\) and \(v\) are disconnected in Kruskal's result. Then there's a path from \(u\) to \(v\) in the initial graph with an edge we could add without creating a cycle. But Kruskal would have added that edge. Contradiction.

Second: There is no spanning tree with lower total cost...

\section*{The inductive proof set-up}

Let F (stands for "forest") be the set of edges Kruskal has added at some point during its execution.

Claim: \(\mathbf{F}\) is a subset of one or more MSTs for the graph (Therefore, once \(|\mathbf{F}|=|\mathbf{V}|-1\), we have an MST.)

Proof: By induction on \(\mid \mathbf{F |}\)
Base case: \(|\mathbf{F}|=\mathbf{0}\) : The empty set is a subset of all MSTs

Inductive case: \(|\mathbf{F}|=\mathbf{k + 1}\) : By induction, before adding the \((\mathbf{k}+1)^{\text {th }}\) edge (call it e), there was some MST T such that F-\{e\} \(\subseteq \mathbf{T} .\).

\section*{Staying a subset of some MST}

Claim: \(\mathbf{F}\) is a subset of one or more MSTs for the graph

So far: \(\quad F-\{e\} \subseteq T:\)


Two disjoint cases:
- If \(\{e\} \subseteq T\) : Then \(F \subseteq T\) and we're done
- Else \(\mathbf{e}\) forms a cycle with some simple path (call it \(\mathbf{p}\) ) in T
- Must be since \(T\) is a spanning tree

\section*{Staying a subset of some MST}

Claim: \(\mathbf{F}\) is a subset of one or more MSTs for the graph

So far: \(\mathrm{F}-\{\mathrm{e}\} \subseteq \mathrm{T}\) and e forms a cycle with \(p \subseteq T\)

- There must be an edge \(\mathbf{e 2}\) on \(\mathbf{p}\) such that \(\mathbf{e 2}\) is not in \(\mathbf{F}\)
- Else Kruskal would not have added e
- Claim: e2.weight == e.weight

\section*{Staying a subset of some MST}

Claim: \(\mathbf{F}\) is a subset of one or more MSTs for the graph

So far: \(\quad \mathrm{F}-\{\mathrm{e}\} \subseteq \mathrm{T}\)
\(e\) forms a cycle with \(p \subseteq T\) e2 on \(p\) is not in \(F\)

- Claim: e2.weight == e.weight
- If e2.weight > e.weight, then \(T\) is not an MST because \(\mathrm{T}-\{\mathrm{e} 2\}+\{\mathrm{e}\}\) is a spanning tree with lower cost: contradiction
- If e2.weight < e.weight, then Kruskal would have already considered e2. It would have added it since T has no cycles and \(\mathrm{F}-\{\mathrm{e}\} \subseteq \mathrm{T}\). But e 2 is not in F : contradiction

\section*{Staying a subset of some MST}

Claim: \(\mathbf{F}\) is a subset of one or more MSTs for the graph

So far: \(\quad \mathrm{F}-\{\mathrm{e}\} \subseteq \mathrm{T}\)
\(e\) forms a cycle with \(\mathbf{p} \subseteq T\) e2 on \(p\) is not in \(F\) e2.weight \(==\) e.weight

- Claim: T-\{e2\}+\{e\} is an MST
- It's a spanning tree because \(\mathrm{p}-\{\mathrm{e} 2\}+\{\mathrm{e}\}\) connects the same nodes as p
- It's minimal because its cost equals cost of T, an MST - Since \(F \subseteq T-\{e 2\}+\{e\}, \quad F\) is a subset of one or more MSTs Done.

\section*{Handout \#2}

\section*{Kruskal's Algorithm for MST}

\section*{An edge-based greedy algorithm}

\section*{Builds MST by greedily adding edges}
1. Initialize with
- empty MST
- all vertices marked unconnected
- all edges unmarked
2. While there are still unmarked edges
a. Pick the lowest cost edge ( \(u, v\) ) and mark it
b. If \(u\) and \(v\) are not already connected, add ( \(u, v\) ) to the MST and mark \(u\) and \(v\) as connected to each other

\section*{Example: Find MST using Kruskal's}


Edges in sorted order:
1: (A,D), (C,D), (B,E), (D,E)
2: (A,B), (C,F), (A,C)
3: (E,G)
5: (D,G), (B,D)
6: (D,F)
10: (F,G)

Output:

Note: At each step, the union/find sets are the trees in the forest

\section*{Aside: Union-Find aka Disjoint Set ADT}
- Union( \(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}\) ) - take the union of two sets named \(x\) and \(y\)
- Given sets: \(\{3, \underline{5}, 7\}\), \(\{4,2, \underline{8}\},\{\underline{9}\},\{\underline{1}, 6\}\)
- Union(5,1)

Result: \(\{3, \underline{5}, 7,1,6\},\{4,2,8\},\{9\}\),
To perform the union operation, we replace sets \(x\) and \(y\) by ( \(x \cup\) y)
- Find( \(\mathbf{x}\) ) - return the name of the set containing \(x\).
- Given sets: \(\{3,5,7,1,6\},\{4,2,8\},\{9\}\),
- Find(1) returns 5
- Find(4) returns 8
- We can do Union in constant time.
- We can get Find to be amortized constant time (worst case \(O(\log n)\) for an individual Find operation).

\section*{Kruskal's pseudo code}
```

void Graph::kruskal() {
int edgesAccepted = 0;
DisjSet s(NUM_VERTICES);
while (edgesAccepted < NUM_VERTICES - 1)
e = smallest weight edge not deleted yet;
// edge e = (u, v)
uset = s.find (u); \longleftrightarrow 2 E| finds
vset = s.find(v);
if (uset != vset){
edgesAccepted++;
s.unionSets(uset, vset);
}
|V| unions
}
}

```
```

