CSE 332

Data Abstractions

Algorithm Analysis 2



Outline

Warm-Up #1: `append`

Let x and L be `LinkedList Nodes`.

Analyzing `append`

```
1 append(x, L) {
2    Node curr = L;
3    while (curr != null && curr.next != null) {
4       curr = curr.next;
5    }
6    curr.next = x;
7 }
```

What is the...

- best case time complexity of `append`?
- worst case time complexity of `append`?

Warm-Up #1: `append`

Let x and L be `LinkedList Nodes`.

Analyzing `append`

```
1 append(x, L) {
2    Node curr = L;
3    while (curr != null && curr.next != null) {
4       curr = curr.next;
5    }
6    curr.next = x;
7 }
```

What is the...

- best case time complexity of `append`?
  $\Omega(n)$, because we always **must** do n iterations of the loop.

- worst case time complexity of `append`?

Warm-Up #1: `append`

Let x and L be `LinkedList Nodes`.

Analyzing `append`

```
1 append(x, L) {
2    Node curr = L;
3    while (curr != null && curr.next != null) {
4       curr = curr.next;
5    }
6    curr.next = x;
7 }
```

What is the...

- best case time complexity of `append`?
  $\Omega(n)$, because we always **must** do n iterations of the loop.

- worst case time complexity of `append`?
  $\mathcal{O}(n)$, because we never do **more** than n iterations of the loop.

Warm-Up #1: append

Let x and L be LinkedList Nodes.

Analyzing append

```
1 append(x, L) {
2    Node curr = L;
3    while (curr != null && curr.next != null) {
4       curr = curr.next;
5    }
6    curr.next = x;
7 }
```

What is the...

- best case time complexity of append?
  $\Omega(n)$, because we always **must** do n iterations of the loop.

- worst case time complexity of append?
  $\mathcal{O}(n)$, because we never do **more** than n iterations of the loop.

Since we can **upper** and **lower** bound the time complexity with the same complexity class, we can say append runs in $\Theta(n)$.

Pre-Condition: L_1 and L_2 are sorted.
Post-Condition: Return value is sorted.

Merge

```
1 merge(L1, L2) {
2    p1, p2 = 0;
3    While both lists have more elements:
4       Append the smaller element to L.
5       Increment p1 or p2, depending on which had the smaller element
6    Append any remaining elements from L1 or L2 to L
7    return L
8 }
```

What is the. . . (remember the lists are `Nodes`)

- best case # of comparisons of `merge`?
- worst case # of comparisons of `merge`?
- worst case space usage of `merge`?

Pre-Condition: L_1 and L_2 are sorted.
Post-Condition: Return value is sorted.

Merge

```
1  merge(L1, L2) {
2     p1, p2 = 0;
3     While both lists have more elements:
4        Append the smaller element to L.
5        Increment p1 or p2, depending on which had the smaller element
6     Append any remaining elements from L1 or L2 to L
7     return L
8  }
```

What is the. . . (remember the lists are `Nodes`)

- best case # of comparisons of `merge`?
  $\Omega(1)$. Consider the input: `[0]`, `[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]`.
- worst case # of comparisons of `merge`?
- worst case space usage of `merge`?

Pre-Condition: L_1 and L_2 are sorted.
Post-Condition: Return value is sorted.

Merge

```
1 merge(L1, L2) {
2    p1, p2 = 0;
3    While both lists have more elements:
4       Append the smaller element to L.
5       Increment p1 or p2, depending on which had the smaller element
6    Append any remaining elements from L1 or L2 to L
7    return L
8 }
```

What is the. . . (remember the lists are `Nodes`)

- best case # of comparisons of `merge`?
  $\Omega(1)$. Consider the input: `[0]`, `[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]`.

- worst case # of comparisons of `merge`?
  $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Consider the input: `[1, 3, 5]`, `[2, 4, 6]`.

- worst case space usage of `merge`?

Pre-Condition: L_1 and L_2 are sorted.
Post-Condition: Return value is sorted.

Merge

```
1 merge(L1, L2) {
2    p1, p2 = 0;
3    While both lists have more elements:
4       Append the smaller element to L.
5       Increment p1 or p2, depending on which had the smaller element
6    Append any remaining elements from L1 or L2 to L
7    return L
8 }
```

What is the. . . (remember the lists are `Nodes`)

- best case # of comparisons of `merge`?
  $\Omega(1)$. Consider the input: `[0]`, `[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6]`.

- worst case # of comparisons of `merge`?
  $\mathcal{O}(n)$. Consider the input: `[1, 3, 5]`, `[2, 4, 6]`.

- worst case space usage of `merge`?
  $\mathcal{O}(n)$, because we allocate a constant amount of space per element.

Consider the following code:

Merge Sort

```
1  sort(L) {
2     if (L.size() < 2) {
3        return L;
4     }
5     else {
6        int mid = L.size() / 2;
7        return merge(
8           sort(L.subList(0, mid)),
9           sort(L.subList(mid, L.size()))
10       );
11    }
12 }
```

What is the worst case/best case # of comparisons of `sort`?

Yeah, yeah, it's $\mathcal{O}(n \lg n)$, but why?

What is a recurrence?

In CSE 311, you saw a bunch of questions like:

Induction Problem

Let $f_0 = 0, f_1 = 1, f_n = f_{n-1} + f_{n-2}$ for all $n \geq 2$. Prove $f_n < 2^n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

(Remember the Fibonacci Numbers? You'd better bet they're going to show up in this course!)

That's a recurrence. That's it.

Definition (Recurrence)

A recurrence is a recursive definition of a function in terms of smaller values.

Let's start with trying to analyze this code:

`LinkedList` Reversal

```
1  reverse(L) {
2     if (L == null) {
3        return null;
4     }
5     else {
6        Node front = L;
7        Node rest = L.next;
8        L.next = null;
9
10       Node restReversed = reverse(rest);
11       append(front, restReversed);
12    }
13 }
```

Notice that `append` is the same function from the beginning of lecture that had runtime $\mathcal{O}(n)$.

So, what is the time complexity of `reverse`?

We split the work into two pieces:

- Non-Recursive Work
- Recursive Work

LinkedList Reversal

```
1  reverse(L) {
2     if (L == null) {                          //O(1)
3        return null;
4     }
5     else {
6        Node front = L;                        //O(1)
7        Node rest = L.next;                    //O(1)
8        L.next = null;                         //O(1)
9
10       Node restReversed = reverse(rest);
11       append(front, restReversed);           //O(n)
12    }
13 }
```

Non-Recursive Work: $\mathcal{O}(n)$, which means we can write it as $c_0 + c_1 n$ for some constants c_0 and c_1.

LinkedList Reversal

```
1  reverse(L) {
2     if (L == null) {
3        return null;
4     }
5     else {
6        Node front = L;
7        Node rest = L.next;
8        L.next = null;
9
10       Node restReversed = reverse(rest);
11       append(front, restReversed);
12    }
13 }
```

Non-Recursive Work: $\mathcal{O}(n)$, which means we can write it as $c_0 + c_1 n$ for some constants c_0 and c_1.
Recursive Work: The work it takes to do reverse **on a list one smaller**.
Putting these together almost gives us the recurrence:

$$T(n) = c_0 + c_1 n + T(n-1)$$

We're missing the base case!

LinkedList Reversal

```
1  reverse(L) {
2     if (L == null) {
3        return null;
4     }
5     else {
6        Node front = L;
7        Node rest = L.next;
8        L.next = null;
9
10       Node restReversed = reverse(rest);
11       append(front, restReversed);
12    }
13 }
```

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ c_0 + c_1 n + T(n-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Now, we need to **solve** the recurrence.

Solving the `reverse` Recurrence

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ c_0 + c_1 n + T(n-1) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
T(n) &= (c_0 + c_1 n) + T(n-1) \\
&= (c_0 + c_1 n) + (c_0 + c_1(n-1)) + T(n-2) \\
&= (c_0 + c_1 n) + (c_0 + c_1(n-1)) + (c_0 + c_1(n-2)) + \ldots + (c_0 + c_1(1)) + d_0 \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (c_0 + c_1(n-i)) + d_0 \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} c_1(n-i) + d_0 \\
&= nc_0 + c_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} i + d_0 \\
&= nc_0 + c_1 \left(\frac{n(n+1)}{2} \right) + d_0 \\
&= \mathcal{O}(n^2)
\end{aligned}$$

A recurrence where we solve some constant piece of the problem (e.g. "-1", "-2", etc.) is called a **Linear Recurrence**.

We solve these like we did above by **Unrolling the Recurrence**.

This is a fancy way of saying "plug the definition into itself until a pattern emerges".

Now, back to `mergesort`.

Merge Sort

```
1  sort(L) {
2     if (L.size() < 2) {
3        return L;
4     }
5     else {
6        int mid = L.size() / 2;
7        return merge(
8           sort(L.subList(0, mid)),
9           sort(L.subList(mid, L.size()))
10       );
11    }
12 }
```

First, we need to find the recurrence:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + c_1 n + 2T(n/2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This recurrence isn't linear! This is a "divide and conquer" recurrence.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + c_1 n + 2T(n/2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

This time, there are multiple possible approaches:

Unrolling the Recurrence

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= (c_2 + c_1 n) + 2(c_2 + c_1 n + 2T(n/4)) \\ &= (c_2 + c_1 n) + 2(c_2 + c_1 n + 2(c_2 + c_1 n + 2T(n/8))) \\ &= c_2 + 2c_2 + 4c_2 + \ldots + \text{argh} + \ldots \end{aligned}$$

This works, but I'd rarely recommend it.

Insight: We're **branching** in this recurrence. So, represent it as a tree!

Merge Sort: Solving the Recurrence

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + c_1 n + \underbrace{2T(n/2)}_{T(n)} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Merge Sort: Solving the Recurrence

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + c_1 n + 2T(n/2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Merge Sort: Solving the Recurrence

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + c_1 n + 2T(n/2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Merge Sort: Solving the Recurrence

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + c_1 n + 2T(n/2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Merge Sort: Solving the Recurrence

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + c_1 n + 2T(n/2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



Since the recursion tree has height $\lg(n)$ and each row does n work, it follows that $T(n) \in \mathcal{O}(n \lg(n))$.

Find A Big-Oh Bound For The Worst Case Runtime

```
1 sum(n) {
2    if (n < 2) {
3       return n;
4    }
5    return 2 + sum(n - 2);
6 }
```

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_0 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + T(n-2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= c_0 + c_0 + \cdots + c_0 + d_0 \\ &= c_0\left(\frac{n}{2}\right) + d_0 \\ &= \mathcal{O}(n) \end{aligned}$$

Find A Big-Oh Bound For The Worst Case Runtime

```
1  binarysearch(L, value) {
2     if (L.size() == 0) {
3        return false;
4     }
5     else if (L.size() == 1) {
6        return L[0] == value;
7     }
8     else {
9        int mid = L.size() / 2;
10       if (L[mid] < value) {
11          return binarysearch(L.subList(mid + 1, L.size()), value);
12       }
13       else {
14          return binarysearch(L.subList(0, mid), value);
15       }
16    }
17 }
```

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + T(n/2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d_0 & \text{if } n = 0 \\ d_1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ c_0 + T(n/2) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$



So, $T(n) = c_0(\lg(n) - 1) + d_1 = \mathcal{O}(\lg n)$.

Some Common Series

- Gauss' Sum: $\sum_{i=0}^{n} i = \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$
- Infinite Geometric Series: $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} x^i = \frac{1}{1-x}$, when $|x| < 1$.
- Finite Geometric Series: $\sum_{i=0}^{n} x^i = \frac{1-x^{n+1}}{1-x}$, when $x \neq 1$.

Master Theorem

Consider a recurrence of the form:

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } n = 1 \\ aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + n^c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then,

- If $\log_b(a) < c$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^c)$.
- If $\log_b(a) = c$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^c \lg(n))$.
- If $\log_b(a) > c$, then $T(n) = \Theta(n^{\log_b(a)})$.

Sanity Check: For Merge Sort, we have $a = 2, b = 2, c = 1$. Then, $\log_2(2) = 1 = 1$. So, $T(n) = n \lg n$.

$$T(n) = \begin{cases} d & \text{if } n = 1 \\ aT\left(\frac{n}{b}\right) + n^c & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We assume that $\log_b(a) < c$. Then, unrolling the recurrence, we get:

$$\begin{aligned} T(n) &= n^c + aT(n/b) \\ &= n^c + a((n/b)^c + aT(n/b^2)) \\ &= n^c + a(n/b)^c + a^2(n/b^2)^c + \cdots + a^{\log_b(n)}(n/b^{\log_b n})^c \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\log_b(n)} a^i \left(\frac{n^c}{b^{ic}}\right) \\ &= n^c \sum_{i=0}^{\log_b(n)} \left(\frac{a}{b^c}\right)^i \\ &= n^c \left(\frac{\left(\frac{a}{b^c}\right)^{\log_b(n)+1} - 1}{\left(\frac{a}{b^c}\right) - 1}\right) \approx n^c \left(\left(\frac{a}{b^c}\right)^{\log_b(n)}\right) \approx n^c \end{aligned}$$

Today's Takeaways!

- Know how to make a recurrence from a recursive program
- Know the different types of recurrences
- Be able to find a closed form for each type of recurrence
- Know the common summations
- Understand why Master Theorem can be helpful