

CSE332 Data Abstractions, Spring 2010 Homework 4

Due: **Friday, May 7, 2010** at the beginning of class. Your work should be readable as well as correct. This assignment has **four** problems.

Problem 1. Algorithm Analysis

The methods below implement recursive algorithms that return the first index in an array to hold 17, or -1 if no such index exists.

```
int first17_a(int[] array, int i) {
    if(i >= array.length)
        return -1;
    if(array[i]==17)
        return 0;
    if(first17_a(array,i+1) == -1)
        return -1;
    return 1 + first17_a(array,i+1);
}

int first17_b(int[] array, int i) {
    if(i >= array.length)
        return -1;
    if(array[i]==17)
        return 0;
    int x = first17_b(array,i+1);
    if(x == -1)
        return -1;
    return x + 1;
}
```

- (a) What kind of input produces the worst-case running time for `first17_a(a,0)`?
- (b) For `first17_a(a,i)`, give a recurrence relation, including a base case, describing the worst-case running time, where n is the length of the array. You may use whatever constants you wish for constant-time work.
- (c) Give a tight asymptotic (“big-Oh”) upper bound for the running time of `first17_a(a,0)` given your answer to the previous question.
- (d) What kind of input produces the worst case running time for `first17_b(a,0)`?
- (e) For `first17_a(b,0)`, give a recurrence relation, including a base case, describing the worst-case running time, where n is the length of the array. You may use whatever constants you wish for constant-time work.
- (f) Give a tight asymptotic (“big-Oh”) upper bound for for the running time of `first17_b(a,0)` given your answer to the previous question.
- (g) Give a tight asymptotic (“big-Omega”) worst-case lower bound for the problem of finding the first 17 in an array. Briefly justify your answer.

Problem 2. Deletion with Open Addressing Hash Tables

We claimed in class that a hash table using open addressing must use “lazy deletion.”

- (a) Give a short-as-possible example that demonstrates that using “full deletion” can lead to the hash table returning the wrong result for an operation. Make your example complete:
 - Explain your hash table features, including the table size, probing strategy, and hash function.
 - Give the sequence of operations and the state of the hash table after each operation.
 - Demonstrate how lazy deletion leads to the correct result.
 - Argue that no shorter sequence of operations can lead to the wrong result.
- (b) When rehashing to a larger table, do lazily-deleted items need to be included? Briefly explain.

Problem 3. Partial Quicksort

Consider this pseudocode for quicksort, which leaves pivot selection and partitioning to helper functions not shown:

```
// sort positions lo through hi-1 in array using quicksort (no cut-off)
quicksort(int[] array, int lo, int hi) {
    if(lo>=hi-1)
        return;
    pivot = pickPivot(array,lo,hi);
    pivotIndex = partition(array,lo,hi,pivot);
    quicksort(array,lo,pivotIndex);
    quicksort(array,pivotIndex+1,hi);
}
```

Modify this algorithm to take an additional integer argument `enough`:

```
// sort at least enough positions of lo through hi-1 in array using quicksort (no cut-off)
quicksort(int[] array, int lo, int hi, int enough) { ... }
```

We change the definition of correctness to require only that *at least* the first `enough` entries (from left-to-right) are sorted and contain the smallest `enough` values. (If `enough >= hi-lo`, then the whole range must be sorted as usual.) While one correct solution is to ignore the `enough` parameter, come up with a better solution that skips completely unnecessary recursive calls. Watch your off-by-one errors!

Problem 4. Sorting Phone Numbers

The input to this problem consists of a sequence of 7-digit phone numbers written as simple integers (e.g. 5551212 represents the phone number 555-1212). The sequence is provided via an `Iterator<Integer>`. No number appears in the input more than once but there is no other limit on the size of the input.

Write precise (preferable Java-like) pseudocode for a method that prints out the phone numbers (as integers) in the list in ascending order. *Your solution must not use more than 2MB of memory.* (Note: It cannot use any other storage – hard drive, network, etc.)

Explain why your solution is under the 2MB limit.