

Quiz Section 8: Arrays – Solutions

Task 1 – Better Get Proving

[14 pts]

The function $\text{replace} : (\text{List}, y, z) \rightarrow \text{List}$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{replace}(\text{nil}, y, z) &:= \text{nil} \\ \text{replace}(x :: L, y, z) &:= z :: \text{replace}(L, y, z) \quad \text{if } x = y \\ \text{replace}(x :: L, y, z) &:= x :: \text{replace}(L, y, z) \quad \text{if } x \neq y \end{aligned}$$

In this problem, we will prove that replace works the same way at the end of the list that it does at the front of the list, i.e.:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{replace}(L \# [x], y, z) &= \text{replace}(L, y, z) \# [z] \quad \text{if } x = y \\ \text{replace}(L \# [x], y, z) &= \text{replace}(L, y, z) \# [x] \quad \text{if } x \neq y \end{aligned}$$

a) Explain, in your own words, why the following statement, if proven, would imply the ones above:

$$\text{replace}(L \# [x], y, z) = \text{replace}(L, y, z) \# \text{replace}([x], y, z)$$

If $x = y$, then $\text{replace}([x], y, z) = [z]$ by the definition of replace , and if $x \neq y$, then $\text{replace}([x], y, z) = [x]$ by the definition of replace .

b) Explain, in your own words, why the following claim, if proven, would imply the one from part (a):

$$\text{replace}(L \# R, y, z) = \text{replace}(L, y, z) \# \text{replace}(R, y, z)$$

Setting $R = [x]$ gives the claim from part (a).

c) Prove the claim from part (b) by induction on L .

Define $P(L)$ to be the claim $\text{replace}(L \# R, y, z) = \text{replace}(L, y, z) \# \text{replace}(R, y, z)$. We will prove that this holds for all values of L by induction.

Base Case. We can see that $P(\text{nil})$ holds as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{replace}(\text{nil} \# R, y, z) &= \text{replace}(R, y, z) \\ &= \text{nil} \# \text{replace}(R, y, z) \\ &= \text{replace}(\text{nil}, y, z) \# \text{replace}(R, y, z) \quad \text{def of replace} \end{aligned}$$

Inductive Hypothesis. Suppose that $P(L)$ holds for some arbitrary L .

Inductive Step. We must show that $P(w :: L)$ holds for any w so we will continue by cases:

Suppose that $w = y$. Then, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{replace}((w :: L) \# R, y, z) \\ &= z :: \text{replace}(L \# R, y, z) && \text{def of replace (since } w = y) \\ &= z :: (\text{replace}(L, y, z) \# \text{replace}(R, y, z)) && \text{Ind. Hyp.} \\ &= (z :: \text{replace}(L, y, z)) \# \text{replace}(R, y, z) \\ &= \text{replace}(w :: L, y, z) \# \text{replace}(R, y, z) && \text{def of replace (since } w = y) \end{aligned}$$

Now, suppose that $w \neq y$. Then, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{replace}((w :: L) \# R, y, z) \\ &= w :: \text{replace}(L \# R, y, z) && \text{def of replace (since } w \neq y) \\ &= w :: (\text{replace}(L, y, z) \# \text{replace}(R, y, z)) && \text{Ind. Hyp.} \\ &= (w :: \text{replace}(L, y, z)) \# \text{replace}(R, y, z) \\ &= \text{replace}(w :: L, y, z) \# \text{replace}(R, y, z) && \text{def of replace (since } w \neq y) \end{aligned}$$

Since these two cases are exhaustive, we have proven $P(w :: L)$ holds in general.

Conclusion. $P(L)$ holds for all L by induction.

Task 2 – Jumping Through Loops

[16 pts]

In this problem, you will implement the following function:

```
/**
 * Writes over each copy of y in A with the value z.
 * @param A .. y .. z ..
 * @modifies A
 * @effects A = replace(A_0, y, z)
 */
public void replace(int[] A, int y, int z) { .. }
```

With each loop invariant below, fill in the missing parts of the code to make it correct with the **given invariant**. (If the code works correctly with some other invariant, it is not correct.)

a) int i = _____

```
    // Inv: A[.. i] = A_0[.. i] and A[i+1 ..] = replace(A_0[i+1 ..], y, z)
    while (_____ ) {

    }

    int i = A.length - 1;

    // Inv: A[.. i] = A_0[.. i] and A[i+1 ..] = replace(A_0[i+1 ..], y, z)
    while (i >= 0) {
        if (A[i] == y)
            A[i] = z;
        i = i - 1;
    }
```

b) int i = _____

```
    // Inv: A[.. i-1] = replace(A_0[.. i-1], y, z) and A[i ..] = A_0[i ..]
    while (_____ ) {

    }

    int i = 0;

    // Inv: A[.. i-1] = replace(A_0[.. i-1], y, z) and A[i ..] = A_0[i ..]
    while (i < A.length) {
```

```
    if (A[i] == y)
        A[i] = z;
    i = i + 1;
}
```

Task 3 – Rally the Loops

[15 pts]

Recall the function $\text{remove} : (\text{List}, y) \rightarrow \text{List}$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{remove}(\text{nil}, y) &:= \text{nil} \\ \text{remove}(x :: L, y) &:= \text{remove}(L, y) \quad \text{if } x = y \\ \text{remove}(x :: L, y) &:= x :: \text{remove}(L, y) \quad \text{if } x \neq y\end{aligned}$$

It is possible to prove, in the same manner as we did in Task 1, that the following holds:

$$\begin{aligned}\text{remove}(L \# [x], y) &= \text{remove}(L, y) \quad \text{if } x = y \\ \text{remove}(L \# [x], y) &= \text{remove}(L, y) \# [x] \quad \text{if } x \neq y\end{aligned}$$

You can use the above facts below without proof. Refer to them as “Lemma”.

In this problem, we will check the correctness of the following code that implements remove . Specifically, it writes $\text{remove}(A, y)$ into some prefix of the array, $A[..j - 1]$, and returns j .

```
int i = 0;
int j = 0;
{{ P1: _____ }}
{{ Inv: A[..j - 1] = remove(A0[..i - 1], y) and A[i..] = A0[i..] and len(A) = len(A0) }}
while (i != A.length) {
  if (A[i] == y) {
    {{ P2: _____ }}
    {{ Q2: _____ }}
  } else {
    {{ P3: _____ }}
    {{ Q3: _____ }}
    A[j] = A[i];
    j = j + 1;
  }
  i = i + 1;
}
{{ P4: _____ }}
{{ Post: A[..j - 1] = remove(A0, y) }}
return j;
```

a) Fill in P_1 using forward reasoning and then prove that P_1 implies Inv.

P_1 should say that $i = 0$ and $j = 0$ (and $A = A_0$ if you want).

The first fact of Inv holds since

$$\begin{aligned} A[..j-1] &= A[..0-1] && \text{since } j = 0 \\ &= \text{nil} \\ &= \text{remove}(\text{nil}, y) && \text{def of remove} \\ &= \text{remove}(A_0[..-1], y) \\ &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1], y) && \text{since } i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The second fact of Inv holds since

$$\begin{aligned} A[i..] &= A[0..] && \text{since } i = 0 \\ &= A \\ &= A_0 && \text{since } A = A_0 \\ &= A_0[0..] \\ &= A_0[i..] && \text{since } i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

The third fact of Inv holds since

$$\text{len}(A) = \text{len}(A_0) \quad \text{since } A = A_0$$

b) Fill in P_4 using forward reasoning and then prove that P_4 implies the postcondition.

P_4 should say $A[..j-1] = \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1], y)$, $A[i..] = A_0[i..]$, $\text{len}(A) = \text{len}(A_0)$ and $i = \text{len}(A)$. This gives us the postcondition since

$$\begin{aligned} A[..j-1] &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1], y) && \text{since } A[..j-1] = \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1], y) \\ &= \text{remove}(A_0[.. \text{len}(A) - 1], y) && \text{since } i = \text{len}(A) \\ &= \text{remove}(A_0[.. \text{len}(A_0) - 1], y) && \text{since } \text{len}(A_0) = \text{len}(A) \\ &= \text{remove}(A_0, y) \end{aligned}$$

c) Fill in P_2 using forward reasoning and Q_2 using backward. Then, prove that P_2 implies Q_2 .

P_2 should say:

$A[..j-1] = \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1], y)$, $A[i..] = A_0[i..]$, $\text{len}(A) = \text{len}(A_0)$, $i \neq \text{len}(A)$, and $A[i] = y$.

Q_2 should say:

$A[..j-1] = \text{remove}(A_0[..i], y)$, $A[i+1..] = A_0[i+1..]$, and $\text{len}(A) = \text{len}(A_0)$.

The second part of Q_2 is implied by the second fact from P_2 (since $A[i+1..]$ is a sublist of $A[i..]$). The third fact is directly implied. The first fact follows since

$$\begin{aligned} A[..j-1] &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1], y) \\ &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1] + [A[i]], y) && \text{Lemma (since } y = A[i]) \\ &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1] + [A_0[i]], y) && \text{since } A[i..] = A_0[i..] \text{ implies } A[i] = A_0[i] \\ &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i], y) \end{aligned}$$

d) Fill in P_3 using forward reasoning and Q_3 using backward. Then, prove that P_3 implies Q_3 .

P_3 should say:

$A[..j-1] = \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1], y)$, $A[i..] = A_0[i..]$, $\text{len}(A) = \text{len}(A_0)$, $i \neq \text{len}(A)$ and $A[i] \neq y$.

Q_3 should say:

$A[..j-1] \# [A[i]] = \text{remove}(A_0[..i], y)$, $A[i+1..] = A_0[i+1..]$, and $\text{len}(A) = \text{len}(A_0)$.

The second part of Q_3 is implied by the second fact from P_3 (since $A[i+1..]$ is a sublist of $A[i..]$). The third fact is directly implied. The first fact follows since

$$\begin{aligned}
 & A[..j-1] \# [A[i]] \\
 &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1], y) \# [A[i]] \quad \text{since } A[..j-1] = \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1], y) \\
 &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1] \# [A[i]], y) \quad \text{by Lemma since } y \neq A[i] \\
 &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i-1] \# [A_0[i]], y) \quad \text{since } A[i..] = A_0[i..] \text{ implies } A[i] = A_0[i] \\
 &= \text{remove}(A_0[..i], y)
 \end{aligned}$$