

Quiz Section 7: ADT Correctness – Solutions

In the problems, we will implement the following interface:

```
/**
 * A mutable list of integers that can only be modified by adding
 * and removing elements at the front or emptying the entire list.
 */
public interface MutableIntStack {
    /**
     * Returns the length of the list.
     * @returns len(obj)
     */
    int length();

    /**
     * Adds the given number to the front of the list.
     * @param n The number to add to the list.
     * @modifies obj
     * @effects obj = n :: obj_0
     */
    void push(int n);

    /**
     * Removes and returns the first element in the list.
     * @requires len(obj) != 0
     * @modifies obj
     * @effects obj_0 = n :: obj
     * @returns n
     */
    int pop();

    /**
     * Removes all elements in the list.
     * @modifies obj
     * @effects obj = nil
     */
    void clear();
}
```

We will implement this interface by storing the list in a compressed manner, where multiple consecutive, identical values are stored as a pair that records the value and how many times it occurs.

To formalize this, we first need a type that represents a list of *pairs* of integers. We can define such a type inductively as follows:

```
type PList := pnil | pcons(( $\mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\mathbb{N}$ ), PList)
```

We will use the shorthand “::”, when applied to a PList, to refer to a pcons operation. For example, the expression “(1, 2) :: (3, 4) :: pnil” is shorthand for pcons((1, 2), pcons((3, 4), pnil)). Hopefully, this will not cause confusion with cons on List.

With that definition in hand, our concrete representation will store the list 1 :: 1 :: 1 :: 2 :: 3 :: 3 :: nil, which contains runs of 1s and 3s, as the shorter list (1, 3) :: (2, 1) :: (3, 2) :: pnil. The following class uses this concrete representation:

```
public class CompressedIntStack implements MutableIntStack {
    // AF: obj = expand(this.pairs)
    private PairList pairs;
```

This uses the PairList class, defined below, to store a PList, and the function `expand : (PList) → List`, which is defined as follows:

```
expand(pnil) := nil
expand((n, 0) :: L) := expand(L)
expand((n, c + 1) :: L) := n :: expand((n, c) :: L)
```

The abstraction function of CompressedIntStack says that the abstract state is the list that you would get by expanding the PList stored in the field pairs.

Finally, the PairList, which stores a PList directly as a linked list, is defined as follows:

```
/** Represents a list of pairs. */
private static class PairList {
    public final int value;
    public final int count;
    public final PairList next;

    public PairList(int value, int count, PairList next) {
        this.value = value;
        this.count = count;
        this.next = next;
    }
}
```

Task 1 – Hold My Clear

[6 pts]

The `clear` method in `CompressedIntStack` is implemented as follows:

```
public void clear() {
    this.pairs = null;
    {{ P: _____ }}
}
```

a) Use forward reasoning to fill in the blank assertion above.

Remember that, since we are using `PairList`, not implementing it, we should describe the value of `this.pair` in terms abstract states (PLists).

It should say “`this.pairs = pnil`”.

b) Prove that the specifications claim, in `@effects`, that “`obj = nil`” holds at this point.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{obj} &= \text{expand}(\text{this.pairs}) && \text{by the AF} \\ &= \text{expand}(\text{pnil}) && \text{by P} \\ &= \text{nil} && \text{def of expand} \end{aligned}$$

c) Given that this is a mutator, what other fact do we need to prove to know that the implementation is correct? How do we know that it holds?

For a mutator, we also need to prove that the rep invariant holds. However, since this class does not have a rep invariant, there is nothing to show.

The push method in CompressedIntStack is implemented as follows:

```

public void push(int n) {
  if (this.pairs == null) {
    this.pairs = new PairList(n, 1, null);
    {{ P1: _____ }}
  } else {
    {{ this.pairs0 = (m, c) :: L }}
    if (this.pairs.value == n) {
      this.pairs = new PairList(n, this.pairs.count+1, this.pairs.next);
      {{ P2: _____ }}
    } else {
      this.pairs = new PairList(n, 1, this.pairs);
      {{ P3: _____ }}
    }
  }
}

```

- a) Use forward reasoning to fill in the blank assertion P_1 above. Then, prove that P_1 implies that the spec's claim that $\text{obj} = n :: \text{obj}_0$ holds.

P_1 should say (1) $\text{this.pairs}_0 = \text{pnil}$ and (2) $\text{this.pairs} = (n, 1) :: \text{pnil}$. We can see that this implies the necessary fact since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{obj} &= \text{expand}(\text{this.pairs}) && \text{by the AF} \\
 &= \text{expand}((n, 1) :: \text{pnil}) && \text{by (2)} \\
 &= \text{expand}((n, 1) :: \text{this.pairs}_0) && \text{by (1)} \\
 &= n :: \text{expand}((n, 0) :: \text{this.pairs}_0) && \text{def of expand} \\
 &= n :: \text{expand}(\text{this.pairs}_0) && \text{def of expand} \\
 &= n :: \text{obj}_0 && \text{by the AF}
 \end{aligned}$$

- b)** Use forward reasoning to fill in the blank assertion P_2 above. Then, prove that P_2 implies that the spec's claim that $\text{obj} = n :: \text{obj}_0$ holds.

Note that, if $\text{this.pairs} \neq \text{nil}$, then it must be $(m, c) :: L$ for some integers m and c and some PList L . That fact is already filled in above.

P_2 should say that (1) $\text{this.pairs}_0 = (m, c) :: L$, (2) $m = n$, and (3) $\text{this.pairs} = (n, c + 1) :: L$. We can see that this implies the necessary fact since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{obj} &= \text{expand}(\text{this.pairs}) && \text{by the AF} \\
 &= \text{expand}((n, c + 1) :: L) && \text{by (3)} \\
 &= n :: \text{expand}((n, c) :: L) && \text{def of expand} \\
 &= n :: \text{expand}((m, c) :: L) && \text{by (2)} \\
 &= n :: \text{expand}(\text{this.pairs}_0) && \text{by (1)} \\
 &= n :: \text{obj}_0 && \text{by the AF}
 \end{aligned}$$

- c)** Use forward reasoning to fill in the blank assertion P_3 above. Then, prove that P_3 implies that the spec's claim that $\text{obj} = n :: \text{obj}_0$ holds.

P_3 should say that (1) $\text{this.pairs}_0 = (m, c) :: L$, (2) $m \neq n$, and (3) $\text{this.pairs} = (n, 1) :: \text{this.pairs}_0$. We can see that this implies the necessary fact since

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{obj} &= \text{expand}(\text{this.pairs}) && \text{by the AF} \\
 &= \text{expand}((n, 1) :: \text{this.pairs}_0) && \text{by (3)} \\
 &= n :: \text{expand}((n, 0) :: \text{this.pairs}_0) && \text{def of expand} \\
 &= n :: \text{expand}(\text{this.pairs}_0) && \text{def of expand} \\
 &= n :: \text{obj}_0 && \text{by the AF}
 \end{aligned}$$