



CSE 331

Reasoning about ADTs

James Wilcox and Kevin Zatloukal

Motivation, part 1

- Hard to be sure ADT implementation is correct

```
/**
 * Adds a new integer to the front of the list
 * @param n the integer to add
 * @returns n :: obj
 */
public IntStack push(int n);

(2) // AF: obj = rev(this.list)
    // RI: this.size = this.list.length

public IntStack push(int n) {
    int newSize = this.size + 1;

    int[] newList = new int[newSize];
    for (int i = 0; i < this.size; i++) {
        newList[i] = this.list[i];
    }
    newList[newSize-1] = n;

    return new IntStackImpl(newList, newSize);
}
```

- Would be nice to have tools for this!

Motivation, part 2

- **Typical CSE 331 final is about an ADT:**
 - write part of the specification
 - test parts of the implementation
 - prove parts of the implementation correct
plus some things we'll see later...
- **This topic gets us through the core material**

Clients of ADTs

Reasoning about Function Calls

- Not too difficult if the function is...
 1. defined for all inputs
 2. defined imperatively
 3. no arguments are mutated
- Plan for today:
 1. simple case with none of the problems above
 2. allow some undefined inputs
 3. ADTs
 4. declarative specifications
- We will not consider argument mutation this Topic

Reasoning about Function Calls

- For the simplest case only...

- Forward reasoning rule is

 $\{\{ P \}\}$
 $x = \text{Math.sin}(a);$
 $\{\{ P[x \mapsto x_0] \text{ and } x = \text{sin}(a) \}\}$

```
// @param x
// @return sin(x)
double sin(double x)
```

- Backward reasoning rule is

 $\{\{ Q[x \mapsto \text{sin}(a)] \}\}$
 $x = \text{Math.sin}(a);$
 $\{\{ Q \}\}$

Reasoning about Function Calls

- Preconditions must be checked
 - not valid to call the function on disallowed inputs
- Forward reasoning rule is

$\{\{ P \}\}$
↓
`x = Math.log(a);`
↓
 $\{\{ P[x \mapsto x_0] \text{ and } x = \log(a) \}\}$

Must also check $a > 0$

- Backward reasoning rule is

$\{\{ Q[x \mapsto \log(a)] \text{ and } a > 0 \}\}$
↑
`x = Math.log(a);`
↑
 $\{\{ Q \}\}$

```
// @param x with x > 0
// @return log(x)
double log(double x)
```

Reasoning about Function Calls

- Applies to functions we define with imperative specs

```
// @param n a non-negative integer
// @returns square(n), where
//      square(0) := 0
//      square(n+1) := square(n) + 2n + 1
public int square(int n) {..}
```

- Reasoning is the same. E.g., forward rule is

$\{\{ P \}\}$
↓
 $x = \text{square}(n);$
↓
 $\{\{ P[x \mapsto x_0] \text{ and } x = \text{square}(n) \}\}$

Must also check that n is non-negative

Example 1: Forward

```
// @param x a positive number
// @return sqrt(x + 2) + 1
public double f(double x) {
    {{ x ≥ 0 }}
    double r = x + 2;
    {{ _____ }}
    r = Math.sqrt(r);
    {{ _____ }}
    r = r + 1;
    {{ _____ }}
    {{ r = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    return r;
}
```



Example 1: Forward

```
// @param x a positive number
// @return sqrt(x + 2) + 1
public double f(double x) {
    {{ x ≥ 0 }}
    double r = x + 2;
    {{ x ≥ 0 and r = x + 2 }}
    r = Math.sqrt(r);
    {{ _____ }}
    r = r + 1;
    {{ _____ }}
    {{ r = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    return r;
}
```



Example 1: Forward

```
// @param x a positive number
// @return sqrt(x + 2) + 1
public double f(double x) {
    {{ x ≥ 0 }}
    double r = x + 2;
    {{ x ≥ 0 and r = x + 2 }}
    r = Math.sqrt(r);
    {{ x ≥ 0 and r = √x + 2 }}
    r = r + 1;
    {{ _____ }}
    {{ r = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    return r;
}
```

inverting operation gives $r^2 = x + 2$

c.f. to when $r = r_o + 1$ and $P(r_o)$

here we have $r = \sqrt{r_o}$ and $r_o = x + 2$

second fact is *already* solved for r_o
so we can substitute right into left
instead of left into right

Example 1: Forward

```
// @param x a positive number
// @return sqrt(x + 2) + 1
public double f(double x) {
    {{ x ≥ 0 }}
    double r = x + 2;
    {{ x ≥ 0 and r = x + 2 }}
    r = Math.sqrt(r);
    {{ x ≥ 0 and r = √x + 2 }}
    r = r + 1;
    {{ x ≥ 0 and r = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    {{ r = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    return r;
}
```

$r = x + 2$
 $\geq 0 + 2$ since $x \geq 0$
 ≥ 0

this looks good
what did we forget?

Example 2: Backward

```
// @param x a positive number
// @return sqrt(x + 2) + 1
public double f(double x) {
    {{ x ≥ 0 }}
    {{ _____ }}
    double r = x + 2;
    {{ _____ }}
    r = Math.sqrt(r);
    {{ _____ }}
    r = r + 1;
    {{ r = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    return r;
}
```



Example 2: Backward

```
// @param x a positive number
// @return sqrt(x + 2) + 1
public double f(double x) {
    {{ x ≥ 0 }}
    {{ _____ }}
    double r = x + 2;
    {{ _____ }}
    r = Math.sqrt(r);
    {{ r + 1 = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    r = r + 1;
    {{ r = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    return r;
}
```



Example 2: Backward

```
// @param x a positive number
// @return sqrt(x + 2) + 1
public double f(double x) {
    {{ x ≥ 0 }}
    {{ _____ }}
    double r = x + 2;
    {{ √r + 1 = √x + 2 + 1 and r ≥ 0 }}
    r = Math.sqrt(r);
    {{ r + 1 = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    r = r + 1;
    {{ r = √x + 2 + 1 }}
    return r;
}
```



Example 2: Backward

```
// @param x a positive number
```

```
// @return sqrt(x + 2) + 1
```

```
public double f(double x) {
```

```
    {{ x ≥ 0 }}
```

```
    {{  $\sqrt{x+2} + 1 = \sqrt{x+2} + 1$  and  $x + 2 \geq 0$  }}
```

```
    double r = x + 2;
```

```
    {{  $\sqrt{r} + 1 = \sqrt{x+2} + 1$  and  $r \geq 0$  }}
```

```
    r = Math.sqrt(r);
```

```
    {{  $r + 1 = \sqrt{x+2} + 1$  }}
```

```
    r = r + 1;
```

```
    {{  $r = \sqrt{x+2} + 1$  }}
```

```
    return r;
```

```
}
```

check this implication

$x \geq 0$ implies $x + 2 \geq 0$

Reasoning about ADT Calls

- ADT methods are calls involving abstract states

- Forward reasoning rule is

$\downarrow$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \{ \{ P \} \} \\ L = L.\text{cons}(x); \end{array}}{\{ \{ P[L \mapsto L_0] \text{ and } L = x :: L_0 \} \}}$$

```
// @return x :: obj
FastList cons(int x)
```

L is a mathematical list

- Backward reasoning rule is

$\uparrow$

$$\frac{\begin{array}{l} \{ \{ Q[L \mapsto x :: L] \} \} \\ L = L.\text{cons}(x); \end{array}}{\{ \{ Q \} \}}$$

Reasoning about ADT Calls

- Very little changes with mutators...
- Forward reasoning rule is

↓
 $\{\{ P \}\}$
 $L.\text{cons}(x);$
 $\{\{ P[L \mapsto L_0] \text{ and } L = x :: L_0 \}\}$

// @modifies obj
// @effects obj = x :: obj₀
void cons(int x)

- Backward reasoning rule is

↑
 $\{\{ Q[L \mapsto x :: L] \}\}$
 $L.\text{cons}(x);$
 $\{\{ Q \}\}$

@effects says it is an assignment
so we get an identical result

Example Calls with Declarative Specs

```
// @returns x such that x >= a and x >= b  
public int max(int a, int b) {..}
```

- Forward reasoning rule is

$\{\{ P \}\}$
 $x = \text{max}(a, b);$
 $\downarrow$ $\{\{ P[x \mapsto x_0] \text{ and } x \geq a \text{ and } x \geq b \}\}$

- Backward reasoning rule is

$\{\{ a > 0 \}\}$
 $x = \text{max}(a, b);$
 $\uparrow$ $\{\{ a > 0 \text{ and } 2x \geq a + b \}\}$

Must check that $x \geq a$ and $x \geq b$
implies $2x \geq a + b$

Function Calls with Declarative Specs

```
// @requires P2           -- preconditions a, b
// @returns x such that R -- conditions on a, b, x
public int f(int a, int b) {..}
```

- Forward reasoning rule is

$\downarrow$

$$\frac{\{\{ P \}\}}{x = f(a, b); \{\{ P[x \mapsto x_0] \text{ and } R \}\}}$$

Must also check that P implies P_2

- Backward reasoning rule is

$\uparrow$

$$\frac{\{\{ Q_1 \text{ and } P_2 \}\}}{x = f(a, b); \{\{ Q_1 \text{ and } Q_2 \}\}}$$

Must also check that R implies Q_2

Q_2 is the part of postcondition using “x”

Correct ADT Implementation

Recall: Documenting the FastList ADT

```
class FastLastList implements FastList {  
    // RI: this.last = last(this.list)  
    // AF: obj = this.list  
    private int last;  
    private List list;  
    ...  
}
```

- **Representation Invariant (RI) holds info about this.last**
 - fields cannot have *just any* number and list of numbers
 - they must fit together by satisfying RI
 - last must be the last number in the list stored

Correctness of FastList Constructor

```
class FastLastList implements FastList {  
    // RI: this.last = last(this.list)  
    // AF: obj = this.list  
    private int last;  
    private List list;  
  
    FastLastList(List L) {  
        this.list = L;  
        this.last = last(this.list);  
    }  
    ...  
}
```

- **Constructor must ensure that RI holds at end**
 - we can see that it does in this case
 - since we **don't mutate**, they will *always* be true

Correctness of FastList Constructor

```
class FastLastList implements FastList {  
    // RI: this.last = last(this.list)  
    // AF: obj = this.list  
    private int last;  
    private List list;  
  
    // @effects obj = L  
    FastLastList(List L) {  
        this.list = L;  
        this.last = last(this.list);  
    }  
}
```

- **Constructor must create the requested abstract state**
 - client wants obj to be the passed in list
 - we can see that $\text{obj} = \text{this.list} = L$

Correctness of getLast

```
class FastLastList implements FastList {  
    // RI: this.last = last(this.list)  
    // AF: obj = this.list  
    ...  
    // @return last(obj)  
    public int getLast() {  
        return this.last;  
    };  
}
```

- Use both RI and AF to check correctness

last(obj) =

Correctness of getLast

```
class FastLastList implements FastList {  
    // RI: this.last = last(this.list)  
    // AF: obj = this.list  
    ...  
    // @return last(obj)  
    public int getLast() {  
        return this.last;  
    };  
}
```

- Use both RI and AF to check correctness

last(obj)	= last(this.list)	by AF
	= this.last	by RI

Correctness of Immutable ADTs

- **Check that the constructor...**
 - creates a concrete state satisfying RI
 - creates the abstract state required by the spec
- **Check the correctness of each method...**
 - check value returned is the one stated by the spec
 - will need to use RI in most methods
 - will need to use AF in every method

ADTs: the Good and the Bad

- Provides data abstraction
 - can change data structures without breaking clients
- Comes at a cost
 - more work both to **specify** and to **check** correctness
- Not everything needs to be an ADT
 - don't be like Java and make everything a class
- Prefer concrete types for most things
 - concrete types are easier to think about
 - introduce ADTs when the first *change* occurs

Immutable Queues

Recall: Immutable Queue

- A queue is a list that can *only* be changed two ways:
 - add elements to the front
 - remove elements from the back

```
// List that only supports adding to the front and
// removing from the end
interface NumberQueue {

    // @return len(obj)
    int size();

    // @return [x] ++ obj
    NumberQueue enqueue(int x);

    // @requires len(obj) > 0
    // @return (x, Q) with obj = Q ++ [x]
    DequeueParts dequeue();
}
```

Implementing a Queue with a List

```
// Implements a queue with a list.  
class ListQueue implements NumberQueue {  
  
    // AF: obj = this.items  
    private List items;
```

- Easiest implementation is concrete = abstract state
 - just store the abstract state in a field
- Still requires extra work to check correctness...
 - abstraction barrier comes with a cost

Implementing a Queue with a List

```
// Implements a queue with a list.
class ListQueue implements NumberQueue {

    // AF: obj = this.items
    private List items;

    // @returns len(obj)
    public int size() {
        return len(this.items);
    };
}
```

- **Correctness of `size`:**

`len(this.items) =`

Implementing a Queue with a List

```
// Implements a queue with a list.
class ListQueue implements NumberQueue {

    // AF: obj = this.items
    private List items;

    // @returns len(obj)
    public int size() {
        return len(this.items);
    };
};
```

- **Correctness of** `size`:

`len(this.items) = len(obj)`

by AF

Implementing a Queue with a List

```
// Implements a queue with a list.
class ListQueue implements NumberQueue {

    // AF: obj = this.items
    private List items;

    // @effects obj = items
    ListQueue(List items) {
        this.items = items;
    }
}
```

- **Correctness of** constructor:

items =

Implementing a Queue with a List

```
// Implements a queue with a list.
class ListQueue implements NumberQueue {

    // AF: obj = this.items
    private List items;

    // @effects obj = items
    ListQueue(List items) {
        this.items = items;
    }
}
```

- **Correctness of** constructor:

items = this.items
 = obj

(from code)
AF

Implementing a Queue with a List

```
// Implements a queue with a list.
class ListQueue implements NumberQueue {

    // AF: obj = this.items
    private List items;

    // @returns [x] ++ obj
    public NumberQueue enqueue(int x) {
        return new ListQueue(cons(x, this.items));
    };
};
```

- **Correctness of enqueue:**

return value =

Implementing a Queue with a List

```
// Implements a queue with a list.
class ListQueue implements NumberQueue {

    // AF: obj = this.items
    private List items;

    // @returns [x] ++ obj
    public NumberQueue enqueue(int x) {
        return new ListQueue(cons(x, this.items));
    };
}
```

- **Correctness of enqueue:**

return value = $x :: \text{this.items}$
 = $x :: \text{obj}$
 = $[] \# (x :: \text{obj})$
 = $[x] \# \text{obj}$

spec of constructor
AF
def of concat
def of concat

Summary of `ListQueue`

- **Simplest possible implementation of ADT**
 - abstract state = concrete state of one field
- **Reasoning about every method is more complex**
 - must apply AF to relate return value to spec's postcondition
code uses fields, but postcondition uses "obj"
 - this is the cost of the abstraction barrier