
CSE 331
Software Design & Implementation

Autumn 2024
Section 7 – Tail Recursion

Administrivia

- HW 7 written released tonight, due Friday 11/15 at 11pm (it's shorter than HW6 you guys will be ok!)
- HW 7 coding released Thursday, due Monday 11/18 at 11pm

Loops vs Tail Recursion

- Tail-call optimization turns tail recursion into a loop

Loops \leq Tail Recursion (with tail-call optimization)

- Tail recursion can solve all problems loop can
 - any loop can be **translated to** tail recursion
 - both use $O(1)$ memory with tail-call optimization
 -
- Translation is simple and important to understand
 -
- Tells us that Loops \ll Recursion
 - correspond to the *special* case of tail recursion

Loop to Tail Recursion

Translate loop to tail recursive helper function and main function:

```
const myLoop = (R: List): T => {  
  let s = f(R);  
  while (R.kind !== "nil") {  
    s = g(s, R.hd);  
    R = R.tl;  
  }  
  return h(s);  
};
```

```
my-func(L) := my-acc(L, f(L))
```

```
my-acc(x :: L, s) := my-acc(L, g(s, x))
```

```
my-acc(nil, s) := h(s)
```

1. Loop body → recursive case of accumulator function
2. After loop body → base case of accumulator function
3. Before loop body → variable set up

Loop to Tail Recursion

```
const myLoop = (R: List): T => {  
  let s = f(R);  
  while (R.kind !== "nil") {  
    s = g(s, R.hd);  
    R = R.tl;  
  }  
  return h(s);  
};
```

- **Final result:** tail-recursive function that does same calculation:

my-func(L) := my-acc(L, f(L)) Main func to
call

my-acc(nil, s) := h(s)
Helper accumulator func

Tail Recursion to Loop

| | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| $f(\dots p_1 \dots, r) := \dots$ | } | base cases |
| \dots | | |
| $f(\dots p_n \dots, r) := \dots$ | } | recursive cases |
| \dots | | |
| $f(\dots q_1 \dots, r) := f(\dots)$ | | |
| $f(\dots q_n \dots, r) := f(\dots)$ | | |

- Tail-recursive function becomes a loop:

```
// Inv: f(args0) = f(args)
while (args /* match some q pattern */) {
    args = /* right-side of appropriate q pattern */;
}
return /* right-side of appropriate p pattern */;
```

Rewriting the Invariant

```
// Inv: sum-acc(S0, r0) = sum-acc(S, r)
while (S.kind !== "nil") {
  r = S.hd + r;
  S = S.tl;
}
return r;
```

- **This is the most direct invariant**
 - says answer with current arguments is the original answer
- **Can be rewritten to not mention sum-acc at all**
 - use the relationship we proved between sum-acc and sum

Question 1

```
value-acc(nil, b, c, s)    := s
value-acc(d :: ds, b, c, s) := value-acc(ds, b, b · c, s + c · d)
```

Write a function that calculates `value-acc(digits, b, 1, 0)` with a **loop**. Your function should have the following signature:

```
const valueAcc = (digits: List<number>, base: number): number => { ... };
```

Be sure to include the invariant of the loop!

Question 1

Write a function that calculates `value-acc(digits, b, 1, 0)` with a **loop**. Your function should have the following signature:

```
const valueAcc = (digits: List<number>, base: number): number => {
```

```
    // Inv: value-acc(digits_0, base, 1, 0) = value-acc(digits, base, c, s)
```

```
};
```

Question 2

Prove that $\text{value-acc}(ds, b, c, s) = s + c \cdot \text{value}(ds, b)$

$$\text{value-acc}(\text{nil}, b, c, s) := s$$

$$\text{value-acc}(d :: ds, b, c, s) := \text{value-acc}(ds, b, b \cdot c, s + c \cdot d)$$

$$\text{value}(\text{nil}, b) := 0$$

$$\text{value}(d :: ds, b) := d + b \cdot \text{value}(ds)$$

Question 2

Prove that $\text{value-acc}(ds, b, c, s) = s + c \cdot \text{value}(ds, b)$

$$\text{value-acc}(\text{nil}, b, c, s) := s$$

$$\text{value-acc}(d :: ds, b, c, s) := \text{value-acc}(ds, b, b \cdot c, s + c \cdot d)$$

$$\text{value}(\text{nil}, b) := 0$$

$$\text{value}(d :: ds, b) := d + b \cdot \text{value}(ds)$$

Question 3

Use equation $\text{value-acc}(ds, b, c, s) = s + c * \text{value}(ds, b)$
to rewrite the invariant so that it no longer mentions “value-acc”.

Question 4a

Invariant: $\text{value}(\text{digits_0}, \text{base}) = s + c * \text{value}(\text{digits}, \text{base})$

Prove that the invariant holds when we first get to the top of the loop.

Question 4b

Invariant: $\text{value}(\text{digits_0}, \text{base}) = s + c * \text{value}(\text{digits}, \text{base})$

Prove that, when we exit, the function returns $\text{value}(\text{digits_0}, \text{base})$

Question 4c

Invariant: $\text{value}(\text{digits_0}, \text{base}) = s + c * \text{value}(\text{digits}, \text{base})$

Prove that the invariant is preserved when we execute the loop body.