CSE 331 Software Design & Implementation

Kevin Zatloukal Spring 2021 Lecture 4¹⁄₂ – Reasoning Wrap-up

Interview Question


Sorted Matrix Search

Problem Description

Given a matrix M (of size m x n), where every row and every column is sorted, find out whether a given number x is in the matrix.

Sorted Matrix Search


Given a sorted matrix M (of size m x n), where every row and every column is sorted, find out whether a given number x is in the matrix.



(darker color means larger)


Sorted Matrix Search

Given a sorted matrix M (of size m x n), where every row and every column is sorted, find out whether a given number x is in the matrix.




(darker color means larger)

(One) **Idea**: Trace the contour between the numbers $\leq x$ and > x in each row to see if x appears.



Partial Invariant: M[i,0], ..., M[i,j-1] < x ≤ M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1]


- for each i, holds for exactly one j
- holds when we are in the right spot in row i



Partial Invariant: M[i,0], ..., M[i,j-1] < x ≤ M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1]


How do we get the invariant to hold with i = 0?

- no easy way to initialize it so the invariant holds
- we need to search...




New goal: M[0,0], ..., M[0,j-1] < $x \le M[0,j]$, ..., M[0,n-1]


- will need a loop to find j
- Loop invariant: x ≤ M[0,j], ..., M[0,n-1]
 - weakening of the new goal
 - decrease j until we get M[0,j-1] to also hold





CSE 331 Spring 2021




}}












int i = 0, j = n;
{{ Inv:
$$x \le M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] }$$
}
while (j > 0 && x <= M[i,j-1]) {
?? $\downarrow \{\{x \le M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] and x \le M[i,j-1] \}\}$
j = j - 1; $\uparrow \{\{x \le M[i,j-1], ..., M[i,n-1] \}\}$
{{ M[i,0], ..., M[i,j-1] < $x \le M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] \}}$
(SE 331 Spring 2021 14









That finds the right column in row 0

- can now check M[0,j] = x (if j < n)
- if not, we can move onto the next row
 - x cannot be anywhere in the row if it's not at M[i,j]
 - set i = i + 1


Process continues in each row thereafter...



- Make progress by setting i = i + 1
- When i increases, the invariant may be broken
 - we have $x \le M[i,j] \le M[i+1,j]$ since columns are sorted
 - and M[i+1,j] ≤ M[i +1,j+1], ..., M[i +1,n-1] since rows are sorted
 - so we get x ≤ M[i +1,j], .., M[i +1,n-1]



- Make progress by setting i = i + 1
- When i increases, the invariant may be broken
 - we have x <= M[i +1,j], ..., M[i +1,n-1]</p>
 - may need to restore invariant for M[i,0], ..., M[i,j-1] < x
 - decrease j until it holds again...
 - when have we seen this before?
 - initialization



- Make progress by setting i = i + 1
- When i increases, the invariant may be broken
 - we have x <= M[i +1,j], ..., M[i +1,n-1]</p>
 - may need to restore invariant for M[i,0], ..., M[i,j-1] < x
 - could copy and paste the same loop
 - or you can do it with one copy


Don't try this at home!

```
instead of
```

we can write

```
int i = 0, j = n;
[move j left]
{{ Inv: M[i,0], ..., M[i,j-1] < x \le M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] }}
while (i != n) {
  i = i + 1;
  [move j left]
}
int i = 0, j = n;
while (i != n) {
  [move j left]
  {{ M[i,0], ..., M[i,j-1] < x \le M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] }}
  i = i + 1;
}
```

```
int i = 0;
int j = n;
while (i != n) {
  {{ Inv: x ≤ M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] }}
  while (j > 0 \&\& x \le M[i, j-1])
    j = j - 1;
  {{ M[i,0], ..., M[i,j-1] < x \le M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] }}
  if (j < n \&\& x == M[i,j])
     return true;
  i = i + 1;
}
return false;
```



```
int i = 0;
int j = n;
{{ Inv: x not in M[k,I] for k < i and x ≤ M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] }} i
while (i != n) {
    {{ Inv: x not in M[k,I] for k < i and x ≤ M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] }}
    while (j > 0 && x <= M[i,j-1])
    j = j - 1;
```

```
{{ x not in M[k,I] for k < i and M[i,0], ..., M[i,j-1] < x ≤ M[i,j], ..., M[i,n-1] }}
if (j < n && x == M[i,j])
return true;
i = i + 1;
}
return false;</pre>
```

Reasoning Summary

Reasoning Summary

- Checking correctness can be a mechanical process
 - using forward or backward reasoning
- This requires that loop invariants are provided
 - those cannot be produced automatically
- As long as you document your loop invariants, it should not be too hard for someone else to review your code

- Write down loop invariants for all non-trivial code
- They are often best avoided for "for each" loops:

```
{{ Inv: printed all the strings seen so far }}
for (String s : L)
   System.out.println(s);
```

- Write down loop invariants for all non-trivial code
- They are often best avoided for "for each" loops:

```
// Print the strings in L, one per line.
for (String s : L)
   System.out.println(s);
```

- Write down loop invariants for all non-trivial code
- They are often best avoided for "for each" loops:

```
{{ Inv: B has 2*x + 1 for each element x removed so far }}
for (int x : A)
  B.add(2*x + 1);
```

- Write down loop invariants for all non-trivial code
- They are often best avoided for "for each" loops:

// Set B = 2*A + 1 (element-wise)
for (int x : A)
 B.add(2*x + 1);

- Write down loop invariants for all non-trivial code
- They are often best avoided for "for each" loops.
- Invariants are more helpful when a variable incorporates information from multiple iterations

- e.g., {{ s = A[0] + ... + A[i-1] }}

• Use your best judgement!

Reasoning Summary

- You can check correctness by reasoning alone
- Correctness: tools, inspection, testing
 - reasoning through your own code
 - do code reviews
- Practice!
 - essential skill for professional programmers

Reasoning Summary

- You will eventually do this in your head for most code
- Formalism remains useful
 - especially tricky problems
 - interview questions (often tricky)
 - see last example...

Next Topic...



"Complete this method such that it returns the location of the largest value in the first n elements of the array arr."

```
int maxLoc(int[] arr, int n) {
    ...
}
```

One Solution

```
int maxLoc(int[] arr, int n) {
  int maxIndex = 0;
  int maxValue = arr[0];
  // Inv: maxValue = max of arr[0] .. arr[i-1] and
  // maxValue = arr[maxIndex]
  for (int i = 1; i < n; i++) {</pre>
    if (arr[i] > maxValue) {
      maxIndex = i;
                                     Is this code correct?
      maxValue = arr[i];
                               What if n = 0?
  }
                               What if n > arr.length?
  return maxIndex;
                               What if there are two maximums?
}
```

A Problem

"Complete this method such that it returns the location of the largest value in the first n elements of the array arr."

```
int maxLoc(int[] arr, int n) {
    ...
}
```

Could we write a specification so that this is a correct solution?

- throw IllegalArgumentException if n <= 0
- throw ArrayOutOfBoundsException if n > arr.length
- return smallest index achieving maximum

Morals

- You can all write the code correctly
- Writing the specification was harder than the code
 - multiple choices for the "right" specification
 - must carefully think through corner cases
 - once the specification is chosen, code is straightforward
 - (both of those will be recurrent themes)
- Some math (e.g. "if n <= 0") often shows up in specifications
 - English ("if n is less or equal to than 0") is often worse

How to Check Correctness

- Step 1: need a **specification** for the function
 - can't argue correctness if we don't know what it should do
 - surprisingly difficult to write!
- Step 2: determine whether the code meets the specification
 - apply reasoning
 - usually easy with the tools we learned