CSE 331 Software Design & Implementation

Kevin Zatloukal
Fall 2020
Identity, equals, and hashCode

Overview

- Using the libraries reduces bugs in most cases
 - take advantage of code already inspected & tested
- In Java, collection classes depend on equals and hashCode
 - EJ 47: "Know and use the libraries"
 - "every programmer should be familiar with the contents of java.lang and java.util"
 - e.g., List may not work properly if equals is wrong
 - e.g., HashSet may not work properly of hashCode is wrong

Object.equals method

```
public class Object {
   public boolean equals(Object o) {
     return this == o;
   }
   ...
}
```

- Implements reference equality
- Subclasses can override to implement a different equality
- But library includes a contract equals should satisfy
 - Reference equality satisfies it
 - So should any overriding implementation
 - Balances flexibility in notion-implemented and what-clientscan-assume even in presence of overriding

equals specification

public boolean equals(Object obj) should be:

- reflexive: for any reference value x, x.equals (x) == true
- symmetric: for any reference values x and y,
 x.equals(y) == y.equals(x)
- transitive: for any reference values x, y, and z, if x.equals (y) and y.equals (z) are true, then x.equals (z) is true
- consistent: for any reference values x and y, multiple invocations of x.equals (y) consistently return true or consistently return false (provided neither is mutated)
- For any non-null reference value x, x.equals (null) should return false

Overriding equals

```
public class Duration {
    @Override
    public boolean equals(Object o) {
        if (!(o instanceof Duration))
            return false;
        Duration d = (Duration) o;
        return this.min==d.min && this.sec==d.sec;
    }
}
```

- Correct and idiomatic Java
- Cast cannot fail
- Gets null case right too (null instanceof C always false)

Overloading vs Overriding

- Methods in Java are identified by the signature
 - name + argument types
- Classes can have only one method with a given signature
 - subclass method overrides superclass method with its own
- Classes can have many methods with the same name
 - e.g., List.add(Object) and List.add(int, Object)
 - this is called overloading

Java Method Calls

- Signature of the method to call is chosen at compile time
 - suppose class has equals(Object) and equals(Duration)
 - x.equals(d1) becomes a call to equals(Duration), best match
 - x.equals(o1) becomes a call to equals(0bject), only match
- Finding the method with that signature to call happens at run time
 - Java looks in the actual class of x (at run time)
 - if it has a method with that signature, that method is called
 - otherwise, it continues looking in the superclass (recursively)

DEMO

Equality, mutation, and time

If two objects are equal now, will they always be equal?

- in mathematics, "yes"
- in Java, "you choose"
- Object contract doesn't specify

For immutable objects:

- abstract value never changes
- equality should be forever (even if rep changes)

For mutable objects, either:

- use reference equality (never changes)
- not forever: mutation changes abstract value hence equals

Common source of bugs...

Examples

```
StringBuilder is mutable and sticks with reference-equality:
  StringBuilder s1 = new StringBuilder("hello");
  StringBuilder s2 = new StringBuilder("hello");
  s1.equals(s1); // true
  s1.equals(s2); // false
By contrast:
  Date d1 = new Date(0); // Jan 1, 1970 00:00:00 GMT
  Date d2 = new Date(0);
  d1.equals(d2); // true
  d2.setTime(1);
  d1.equals(d2); // false
```

Behavioral and observational equivalence

Two objects are "behaviorally equivalent" if there is no sequence of operations (excluding ==) that can distinguish them

Two objects are "observationally equivalent" if there is no sequence of <u>observer</u> operations that can distinguish them

– excludes mutators and ==

Equality and mutation

Date class implements (only) observational equality

Can violate rep invariant of a Set by mutating after insertion

Pitfalls of observational equivalence

Have to make do with caveats in specs:

"Note: Great care must be exercised if mutable objects are used as set elements. The behavior of a set is not specified if the value of an object is changed in a manner that affects equals comparisons while the object is an element in the set."

Same problem applies to keys in maps

Same problem applies to mutations that change hash codes when using HashSet or HashMap

Especially hard bugs to detect! (Be frightened!)

Easy to cause when modules don't list everything they mutate

- why we need @modifies

Summary

- Different notions of equality:
 - reference equality stronger than
 - behavioral equality stronger than
 - observational equality
- Java's equals has an elaborate specification, but does not require any one of the above notions
 - requires consistency with hashCode
 - concepts more general than Java
- Mutation and/or subtyping make things even murkier
 - good reason not to overuse/misuse either

hashCode

Another method in Object:

"Returns a hash code value for the object. This method is supported for the benefit of hash tables such as those provided by java.util.HashMap."

Contract (again essential for correct overriding):

- Self-consistent: o.hashCode() is fixed (unless o is mutated)
- Consistent with equality:
 - a.equals(b) implies a.hashCode() == b.hashCode()

Want !a.equals(b) implies a.hashCode() != b.hashCode()

but not actually in contract and (not true in most implementations)

Think of it as a pre-filter

- If two objects are equal, they *must* have the same hash code
 - up to implementers of equals and hashCode to satisfy this
 - <u>if</u> you override equals, you <u>must</u> override hashCode
- If objects have same hash code, they may or may not be equal
 - "usually not" leads to better performance
 - hashCode in Object tries to (but may not) give every object a different hash code
- Hash codes are usually cheap[er] to compute, so check first if you "usually expect not equal" – a pre-filter

Asides

- Hash codes are used for hash tables
 - common implementation of collection ADTs
 - see CSE332
 - libraries won't work if your classes break relevant contracts
- Cheaper pre-filtering is a more general idea
 - Example: Are two large video files the exact same video?
 - Quick pre-filter: Are the files the same size?

Recall: Duration example

```
public class Duration {
   private final int min; // RI: min>=0
   private final int sec; // RI: 0<=sec<60</pre>
   @Override
   public boolean equals(Object o) {
      if (!(o instanceof Duration))
        return false;
      Duration d = (Duration) o;
      return this.min==d.min && this.sec==d.sec;
```

Doing it

- So: we have to override hashCode in Duration
 - Must obey contract
 - Aim for non-equals objects usually having different results
- Correct but expect poor performance:

```
public int hashCode() { return 1; }
```

A bit better:

```
public int hashCode() { return min; }
```

Better:

```
public int hashCode() { return min ^ sec; }
```

Best

```
public int hashCode() { return 60*min+sec; }
```

Correctness depends on equals

Suppose we change the spec for **Duration**'s **equals**:

```
public boolean equals(Object o) {
    if (!(o instanceof Duration))
      return false:
    Duration d = (Duration) o;
    return min == d.min && sec/10 == d.sec/10;
Must update hashCode – why?
      public int hashCode() {
        return 6*min+sec/10;
```