
CSE 331

Software Design & Implementation

Kevin Zatloukal

Fall 2020

Lecture 3 – Reasoning about Loops

Reasoning So Far

- “Turn the crank” reasoning for assignment and if statements
- All code (essentially) can be written just using:
 - assignments
 - if statements
 - loops
- Only part we are missing is **loops**

Reasoning About Loops

- Loop reasoning is not as easy as with “=” and “if”
 - recall Rice’s Theorem (from 311): checking any non-trivial semantic property about programs is **undecidable**
- We need help (more information) before the reasoning again becomes a turn-the-crank process
- That help comes in the form of a “loop invariant”

Loop Invariant

A **loop invariant** is an assertion that holds at the top of the loop:

```
{ { Inv: I } }  
while (cond)  
  S
```

- It holds when we **first get to** the loop.
- It holds each time we execute *S* and **come back to** the top.

Notation: I'll use "**Inv:**" to indicate a loop invariant.



Lupin variants

Checking Correctness of a Loop

Consider a while-loop (other loop forms not too different) with a loop invariant I .

Let's try forward reasoning...

```
{ P }  
S1
```


```
{ Inv: I }  
while (cond)  
S2
```

```
S3  
{ Q }
```

Checking Correctness of a Loop

Consider a while-loop (other loop forms not too different) with a loop invariant I .

Let's try forward reasoning...



Checking Correctness of a Loop

Consider a while-loop (other loop forms not too different) with a loop invariant I .

Let's try forward reasoning...

```
  {{ P }}  
  S1  
  
  {{ Inv: I }}  
  while (cond)  
    {{ I and cond }}  
    S2  
  {{ P2 }}  
  S3  
  {{ Q }}
```

} Need to check that P2 implies I again
(i.e., that I is true each time around)

Checking Correctness of a Loop

Consider a while-loop (other loop forms not too different) with a loop invariant I .

Let's try forward reasoning...

$\{ \{ P \} \}$
S1

$\{ \{ \text{Inv: } I \} \}$
while (cond)
S2

↓
 $\{ \{ I \text{ and not cond } \} \}$
S3

$\{ \{ P3 \} \}$
 $\{ \{ Q \} \}$

} Need to check that P3 implies Q
(i.e., Q holds after the loop)

Checking Correctness of a Loop

Consider a while-loop (other loop forms not too different) with a loop invariant I .

$\{\{ P \}\}$

S1

$\{\{ \text{Inv: } I \}\}$

while (cond)

S2

S3

$\{\{ Q \}\}$

Informally, we need:

- I holds initially
- I holds each time around
- Q holds after we exit

Formally, we need validity of:

- $\{\{ P \}\} S1 \{\{ I \}\}$
- $\{\{ I \text{ and } \text{cond} \}\} S2 \{\{ I \}\}$
- $\{\{ I \text{ and not cond} \}\} S3 \{\{ Q \}\}$

(can check these with backward reasoning instead)

More on Loop Invariants

- Loop invariants are crucial information
 - needs to be provided before reasoning is “turn the crank”
- Pro Tip: always document your invariants for non-trivial loops
 - don’t make code reviewers guess the invariant
- Pro Tip: with a good loop invariant, the code is easy to write
 - all the creativity can be saved for finding the invariant

Example: sum of array

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{{ b.length >= n }}  
s = 0;  
i = 0;  
while (i != n) {  
    s = s + b[i];  
    i = i + 1;  
}  
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

Equivalent to this “for” loop:

```
s = 0;  
for (int i = 0; i != n; i++)  
    s = s + b[i];
```

Example: sum of array

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{{ b.length >= n }}
s = 0;
i = 0;
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
while (i != n) {
    s = s + b[i];
    i = i + 1;
}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

Example: sum of array

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
  {{ b.length >= n }}
  s = 0;
  i = 0;
  ↓ {{ s = 0 and i = 0 }}
  {{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
  while (i != n) {
    s = s + b[i];
    i = i + 1;
  }
  {{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

Example: sum of array

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```

{{ b.length >= n }}
s = 0;
i = 0;
{{ s = 0 and i = 0 }}
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }} ]
while (i != n) {
    s = s + b[i];
    i = i + 1;
}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

- $(s = 0 \text{ and } i = 0)$ implies $s = b[0] + \dots + b[i-1]$?

Yes. (An empty sum is zero.)

Example: sum of array

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```

{{ b.length >= n }}
s = 0;
i = 0;
{{ s = 0 and i = 0 }}
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
while (i != n) {
    s = s + b[i];
    i = i + 1;
}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}

```

- $(s = 0 \text{ and } i = 0)$ implies \mathbf{I}

Example: sum of array

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{{ b.length >= n }}
```

```
s = 0;
```

```
i = 0;
```

```
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
```

```
while (i != n) {
```

```
    {{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and i != n }}
```

```
    s = s + b[i];
```

```
    i = i + 1;
```

```
    {{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
```

```
}
```

```
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

- $(s = 0 \text{ and } i = 0)$ implies \mathbf{I}

- $\{\{ \mathbf{I} \text{ and } i \neq n \} \} \text{ S } \{\{ \mathbf{I} \} \}$?

Example: sum of array

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{{ b.length >= n }}
```

```
s = 0;
```

```
i = 0;
```

```
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
```

```
while (i != n) {
```

```
    {{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and i != n }}
```

```
    s = s + b[i];
```

```
    i = i + 1;
```

```
    {{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
```

```
}
```

```
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

- $(s = 0 \text{ and } i = 0)$ implies \mathbf{I}

- $\{\{ \mathbf{I} \text{ and } i \neq n \} \} \text{ S } \{\{ \mathbf{I} \} \} ?$

$\{\{ s + b[i] = b[0] + \dots + b[i] \} \}$
 $\{\{ s = b[0] + \dots + b[i] \} \}$

Example: sum of array

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{{ b.length >= n }}
```

```
s = 0;
```

```
i = 0;
```

```
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
```

```
while (i != n) {
```

```
    s = s + b[i];
```

```
    i = i + 1;
```

```
}
```

```
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and not (i != n) }}
```

```
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

- $(s = 0 \text{ and } i = 0)$ implies \mathbf{I}

- $\{\{\mathbf{I} \text{ and } i \neq n\}\} \text{ S } \{\{\mathbf{I}\}\}$

- $\{\{\mathbf{I} \text{ and not } (i \neq n)\}\}$ implies $s = b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$?

Example: sum of array

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```

{{ b.length >= n }}
s = 0;
i = 0;
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
while (i != n) {
    s = s + b[i];
    i = i + 1;
}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}

```

- $(s = 0 \text{ and } i = 0)$ implies \mathbf{I}
- $\{\{ \mathbf{I} \text{ and } i \neq n \} \} S \{\{ \mathbf{I} \} \}$
- $\{\{ \mathbf{I} \text{ and } i = n \} \}$ implies \mathbf{Q}

These three checks verify the postcondition holds (i.e., the code is correct)

Termination

- Technically, this analysis does not check that the code **terminates**
 - it shows that the postcondition holds if the loop exits
 - but we never showed that the loop actually exits
- However, that follows from an analysis of the running time
 - e.g., if the code runs in $O(n^2)$ time, then it terminates
 - an infinite loop would be $O(\text{infinity})$
 - any finite bound on the running time proves it terminates
- It is normal to also analyze the running time of code we write, so we get termination already from that analysis.

Reasoning So Far

- Forward and backward reasoning for...
 - assignments
 - if statements
 - loops
- (essentially) all code can be rewritten to use just these

Example HW problem

The following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{  
  s = 0;  
  {  
    i = 0;  
    {  
      {{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}  
      while (i != n) {  
        {  
          s = s + b[i];  
        }  
        {  
          i = i + 1;  
        }  
      }  
    }  
  }  
  {{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

Example HW problem

The following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{{ }}
s = 0;
{{ s = 0 }}
i = 0;
{{ s = 0 and i = 0 }}
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] }}
while (i != n) {
    {{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and i != n }}
    s = s + b[i];
    {{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] + b[i] and i != n }}
    i = i + 1;
    {{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i-2] + b[i-1] and i-1 != n }}
}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and not (i != n) }}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

Are we done?

Warning: not just filling in blanks

The following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{ { }  
s = 0;  
{ { s = 0 } }  
i = 0;  
{ { s = 0 and i = 0 } }  
{ { Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] } }  
while (i != n) {  
  { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and i != n } }  
  s = s + b[i];  
  { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] + b[i] and i != n } }  
  i = i + 1;  
  { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-2] + b[i-1] and i-1 != n } }  
}  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and not (i != n) } }  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] } }
```

Does invariant hold initially?

Are we done?
No, need to also check...

Warning: not just filling in blanks

The following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{  
  s = 0;  
  i = 0;  
  while (i != n) {  
    s = s + b[i];  
    i = i + 1;  
  }  
}
```

Initial state: $\{s = 0\}$
Invariant: $\{s = b[0] + \dots + b[i-1]\}$
Final state: $\{s = b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]\}$

Are we done?
No, need to also check...

Holds initially? Yes: $i = 0$ implies $s = b[0] + \dots + b[-1] = 0$

$i = 3: s = b[0] + b[1] + b[2]$
 $i = 2: s = b[0] + b[1]$
 $i = 1: s = b[0]$
 $i = 0: s = 0$

Warning: not just filling in blanks

The following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{ { }  
s = 0;  
{ { s = 0 } }  
i = 0;  
{ { s = 0 and i = 0 } }  
{ { Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] } }  
while (i != n) {  
    { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and i != n } }  
    s = s + b[i];  
    { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] + b[i] and i != n } }  
    i = i + 1;  
    { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-2] + b[i-1] and i-1 != n } }  
}  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and not (i != n) } }  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] } }
```

Are we done?
No, need to also check...

Does postcondition hold on termination?

Warning: not just filling in blanks

The following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{  
  s = 0;  
  i = 0;  
  while (i != n) {  
    s = s + b[i];  
    i = i + 1;  
  }  
}
```

Postcondition holds? Yes, since $i = n$.

```
{  
  s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1]  
}
```

Are we done?
No, need to also check...

Warning: not just filling in blanks

The following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{ { }  
s = 0;  
{ { s = 0 } }  
i = 0;  
{ { s = 0 and i = 0 } }  
{ { Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] } }  
while (i != n) {  
  { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and i != n } }  
  s = s + b[i];  
  { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] + b[i] and i != n } }  
  i = i + 1;  
  { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-2] + b[i-1] and i-1 != n } }  
}  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and not (i != n) } }  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] } }
```

Are we done?
No, need to also check...

Does loop body preserve invariant?

Yes. Weaken by dropping "i-1 != n"

Warning: not just filling in blanks

The following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{ { }  
s = 0;  
{ { s = 0 } }  
i = 0;  
{ { s = 0 and i = 0 } }  
{ { Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] } }  
while (i != n) {  
  { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and i != n } }  
  s = s + b[i];  
  { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] + b[i] and i != n } }  
  i = i + 1;  
  { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-2] + b[i-1] and i-1 != n } } ]  
}  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and not (i != n) } }  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] } }
```

Are we done?
No, need to also check...

Does loop body preserve invariant?

```
{ { s + b[i] = b[0] + ... + b[i] } } ]  
s = s + b[i];  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[i] } }  
i = i + 1  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] } }
```

Warning: not just filling in blanks

The following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{ { }  
s = 0;  
{ { s = 0 } }  
i = 0;  
{ { s = 0 and i = 0 } }  
{ { Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] } }  
while (i != n) {  
    { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and i != n } }  
    s = s + b[i];  
    { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] + b[i] and i != n } }  
    i = i + 1;  
    { { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-2] + b[i-1] and i-1 != n } } ]  
}  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] and not (i != n) } }  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] } }
```

Are we done?
No, need to also check...

Does loop body preserve invariant?

```
{ { s + b[i] = b[0] + ... + b[i] } } ]  
s = s + b[i];  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[i] } }  
i = i + 1  
{ { s = b[0] + ... + b[i-1] } }
```

Yes. If Inv holds, then so does this
(just add $b[i]$ to both sides of Inv)

Example: sum of array (attempt 2)

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{{ b.length >= n }}
s = 0;
i = -1;                                ] Changed from i = 0
while (i != n-1) {                      ] Changed from n
    i = i + 1;                           ] Reordered
    s = s + b[i];
}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

Example: sum of array (attempt 2)

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{{ b.length >= n }}  
s = 0;  
i = -1;  
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i] }} ] Changed  
while (i != n-1) {  
    i = i + 1;  
    s = s + b[i];  
}  
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```


Example: sum of array (attempt 2)

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```
{{ b.length >= n }}
```

```
s = 0;
```

```
i = -1;
```

```
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i] }}
```

```
while (i != n-1) {
```

```
    i = i + 1;
```

```
    s = s + b[i];
```

```
}
```

```
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

```
{{ s + b[i+1] = b[0] + ... + b[i+1] }}
```

```
{{ s + b[i] = b[0] + ... + b[i] }}
```

```
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[i] }}
```

Example: sum of array (attempt 2)

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```

{{ b.length >= n }}
s = 0;
i = -1;
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i] }}
while (i != n-1) {
  i = i + 1;
  s = s + b[i];
}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}

```

- $(s = 0 \text{ and } i = -1)$ implies \mathbf{I}
 - as before
- $\{\{ \mathbf{I} \text{ and } i \neq n-1 \} \} \text{ S } \{\{ \mathbf{I} \} \}$
 - reason backward:
 - $\{\{ s + b[i+1] = b[0] + \dots + b[i+1] \} \}$
 - $\{\{ s + b[i] = b[0] + \dots + b[i] \} \}$
- $(\mathbf{I} \text{ and } i = n-1)$ implies \mathbf{Q}
 - as before

Example: sum of array (attempt 3)

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```

{{ b.length >= n }}
s = 0;
i = -1;
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i] }}
while (i != n-1) {
    s = s + b[i];
    i = i + 1;
}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

Suppose we miss-order the assignments to i and s ...

Where does the correctness check fail?

Example: sum of array (attempt 3)

Consider the following code to compute $b[0] + \dots + b[n-1]$:

```

{{ b.length >= n }}
s = 0;
i = -1;
{{ Inv: s = b[0] + ... + b[i] }}
while (i != n-1) {
  s = s + b[i];
  i = i + 1;
}
{{ s = b[0] + ... + b[n-1] }}
```

Suppose we miss-order the assignments to i and s ...

We can spot this bug because the invariant does not hold:

← $\{ \{ s + b[i] = b[0] + \dots + b[i+1] \} \}$
← $\{ \{ s = b[0] + \dots + b[i+1] \} \}$
 $\{ \{ s = b[0] + \dots + b[i] \} \}$

First assertion is not Inv.