CSE 331
Software Design and Implementation

Lecture 16
Checker Framework

Zach Tatlock / Winter 2016

Motivation

java.lang.NullPointerException

Problem: Your code has bugs

- Who discovers the problems?
  - If you are very lucky, testing discovers some
  - If you are unlucky, your customer discovers them
  - If you are very unlucky, criminals discover them

    not "hackers" !

  - If you are smart, the compiler discovers them

- It's better to be smart than lucky

Java's type checking is too weak

- Type checking prevents many bugs

```
int i = "hello";      // type mismatch
myString.getDate(); // method not found
```

- Type checking doesn't prevent all bugs

```
System.console().readLine();
    ⇒ NullPointerException

Collections.emptyList().add("One");
    ⇒ UnsupportedOperationException
```

Some errors are silent

```
Date date = new Date(0);
myMap.put(date, "Java epoch");
date.setYear(70);
myMap.put(date, "Linux epoch");
```
⇒ Corrupted map

```
dbStatement.executeQuery(userInput);
```
⇒ SQL injection attack

Initialization, data formatting, equality tests, …

Type indicates legal operations

- Type checking prevents many bugs

  ```
  int i = "hello";

  myString.getDate();
  ```

- Goal: avoid NullPointerException

- Idea: use types to indicate legality

- Consider references (pointers) as an ADT

  - Operation: dereferencing

    ```
    x.field, x.method()
    ```

Types for null pointer prevention

Replace `Object` by two new types
- **`NonNullObject`**
  Dereference is permitted
  ```
  NonNullObject nn;
  nn.field
  nn.method()
  ```
- **`PossiblyNullObject`**
  Dereference is forbidden
  ```
  PossiblyNullObject pn;
  pn.field        // compile-time error
  pn.method()     // compile-time error
  ```
Problems:
- Can you use PossiblyNullObject for anything?
- Must rewrite all your Java applications and libraries

Type qualifiers

- **Java 8**: annotations on types

```
@Untainted String query;

List<@NonNull String> strings;

myGraph = (@Immutable Graph) tmpGraph;
class UnmodifiableList<T>
  implements @Readonly List<@Readonly T> {}
```

- **Backward-compatible**: compile with any Java compiler

```
List</*@NonNull*/ String> strings;
```

Compile-time checking

1. Write type qualifiers in code

```
@NonNull Date date1 = new Date();

@Nullable Date date2 = null;
```

2. Type checker warns about violations (bugs)

```
date1.setTime(70);      // OK
date2.setTime(70);      // compile-time error
```

Benefits of type qualifiers

- **Find bugs** in programs
- Guarantee the **absence of errors**
- **Improve documentation**
- Improve code structure & maintainability
- Aid compilers, optimizers, and analysis tools
- Reduce number of assertions and run-time checks

- Possible negatives:
  - Must write the types (or use type inference)
  - False positives are possible (can be suppressed)

Types for null-pointer-prevention

- Which type hierarchy is best?

- A subtype has fewer values
- A subtype has more operations
- A subtype is substitutable
- A subtype preserves supertype properties

Mutability subtyping relationship

- Which type hierarchy is best?

@Immutable: no one can do mutation

@Mutable: anyone can do mutation

@ReadOnly
- I can't do mutation
- No guarantee about mutation from elsewhere

What bugs can you find & prevent?

The annotation you write:

- Null dereferences
- Mutation and side-effects
- Concurrency: locking
- Security: encryption, tainting
- Aliasing
- Equality tests
- Strings: localization, regular expression syntax
- Typestate (e.g., open/closed files
- You can write your own checker!

`@NonNull`

`@Immutable`

`@GuardedBy`

`@Encrypted`
`@Untainted`

`@Linear`

`@Interned`

`@Localized`
`@Regex`

`@State`

Using a checker

- Run in IDE or on command line
- Works as a compiler plug-in (annotation processor)
- Uses familiar error messages

```
% javac -processor NullnessChecker MyFile.java

MyFile.java:9: incompatible types.
      nonNullVar = nullableValue;
                   ^
   found    : @Nullable String
   required: @NonNull String
```

Using a checker

- Run in IDE or on command line
- Wo...
  pro...
- Use...

```
% jav...                                    e.java

MyFil...
        found   :  @Nullable String
        required: @NonNull String
```


Console console = System.*console*();
console.printf("Password: ");
char[] password = console.readPassword();

⚠ Problems ✕   @ Javadoc   ⓔ Declaration

0 errors, 1 warning, 0 others

Description   ▲

▼ ⚠ Warnings (1 item)
    ⚠ dereference of possibly-null reference console

What is checked

- Proper use of the type hierarchy
  - assignments
  - method calls and returns
  - overriding

- Proper use of methods and operations
  - No dereferences of possibly-null values

What the checker guarantees

- Program satisfies type property
  - no bugs (of particular varieties)
  - no wrong annotations
- Caveat 1: only for <u>code that is checked</u>
  - Native methods
  - Reflection
  - Code compiled without the pluggable type checker
  - Suppressed warnings
    - Indicates what code a human should analyze
  - Checking <u>part of a program</u> is still useful
- Caveat 2: The checker itself may contain an error

Static and dynamic typing

- ## Static typing
  - Compiler guarantees some errors cannot happen
    - The set of errors depends on the language
    - Other errors are still possible!
  - Examples: C, C++, Java, C#, ML, Haskell

- ## Dynamic typing
  - Run-time system tracks types, and throws errors
  - Examples: Racket, Perl, PHP, Python, Ruby, JS

- ## No type system
  - Example: Assembly

Why we ♥ static typing

- Documentation
- Correctness/reliability
- Refactoring
- Speed

Why we ♥ dynamic typing (= Why we 🤢 static typing)

- More concise code
  - Type inference is possible
- No false positive warnings

  Every static type system rejects some correct programs

  ```
  @NonNull String lineSep
        = System.getProperty("line.separator");
  ```

- More flexible code
  - Add fields at run time
  - Change class of an object
- Ability to run tests at any time
  - Feedback is important for quality code
  - Programmer knows whether static or dynamic feedback is best

Ductile

Advanced features

Avoiding the limitations of the conservative, static type-checker

Flow sensitivity

- Control <u>flow</u> determines the type

```
if (x==null) {
    ... // treat as nullable
} else {
    ... // treat as non-null
}
```

- Can refine the type to a subtype

More flow sensitivity

- Which calls type-check? Which calls ought to?

```
Object name;              @Nullable String name;
name = new Object();      name = null;
name.toLowerCase();       name.toLowerCase();
name = "HELLO";           name = "HELLO";
name.toLowerCase();       name.toLowerCase();
name = new Object();      name = null;
name.toLowerCase();       name.toLowerCase();
```

Flow sensitivity: permit changes

Legal changes: change to a subtype

`@Nullable String name;`
`name = "hello";`
`...` // treat **name** as non-null
	`@Nullable String name;`
	`name = otherNullable;`
	`...` // treat **name** as nullable

Illegal changes: change to a supertype

Violates the declaration

`String name;`
`name = new Object();`
`...` // treat **name** as `Object`
	`@NonNull String name;`
	`name = null;`
	`...` // treat **name** as nullable

Local type inference

Bottom line:

Rarely write annotations on local variables

Default for nullness checker:

Non-null except locals

Locals default to nullable (top of hierarchy)

Flow-sensitivity changes this as needed

Receiver is just another parameter

How many arguments does `Object.equals` take?

```
class MyClass {
  @Override
  public boolean equals(Object other) { … }
}
```

Two! Their names are **this** and **other**

Neither one is mutated by the method

- Java 8 syntax:

Optional syntax, for annotations

```
public boolean
equals(@Readonly MyClass this, @ReadOnly Object other) {…}
```

- For backwards compatibility:

```
public boolean equals(/*>>>@Readonly MyClass this,*/
   @ReadOnly Object other) {…}
```

Find potential null pointer error

```java
class C {
  @Nullable Object currentObj;

  // If currentObj is non-null,
  // prints it and a timestamp
  void printCurrent() {
    if (currentObj != null) {
      System.out.println(this.getTimeStamp());
      System.out.println(currentObj.toString());
    }
  }

  Object getTimeStamp() { … }
}
```

Lack of side effects

```java
class C {
  @Nullable Object currentObj;

  // If currentObj is non-null,
  // prints it and a timestamp
  void printCurrent() {
    if (currentObj != null) {
      System.out.println(this.getTimeStamp());
      System.out.println(currentObj.toString());
    }
  @Pure
  Object getTimeStamp() { … }
}
```

Lazy initialization

```java
class C {
  @LazyNonNull Object currentObj;

  // If currentObj is non-null,
  // prints it and a timestamp
  void printCurrent() {
    if (currentObj != null) {
      System.out.println(this.getTimeStamp());
      System.out.println(currentObj.toString());
    }
  }

  Object getTimeStamp() { … }
}
```

Why doesn't this typecheck?

```
class C {
  @Nullable Object f;

  void m1() {
    setF();
    f.hashCode();
  }
  @AssertNonNullAfter("this.f")
  void setF() {
    this.f = new Object();
  }
}
```

Possible NullPointerException

Postcondition

Type-checking is modular – reason from specs, not from implementation
Libraries you call must be annotated (much of the JDK is provided)

Why doesn't this typecheck?

```
// Default: @NonNull
class C {
  Map<String, Date> m;
  String getDateString(String k) {
    return m.get(k).toString();
  }
}
```

Non-null map from non-null String to non-null Date

Non-null String

Possible NullPointerException

Map keys

```
// Default: @NonNull
class C {
  Map<String, Date> m;
  String getDateString(@KeyFor("m") String k) {
    return m.get(k).toString();
  }
}
```

`Map.get` returns null if the key is not in the map

Map is a formal parameter

```
class C {
  Date getDate(Map<String, Date> m,
               String k) {
    return m.get(k);
  }

  void useDate(Map<String, Date> m) {
    String s = "now",
    Date d = new Date();
    m.put(s, d);
    getDate(s);
  }
}
```

Naming a formal parameter

```
class C {
  Date getDate(Map<String, Date> m,
               @KeyFor("#1") String key) {
    return m.get(k);
  }

  void useDate(Map<String, Date> m) {
    String s = "now",
    Date d = new Date();
    m.put(s, d);
    getDate(s);
  }
}
```

Use number, not name, for formal parameters. ☹ Start counting at 1.

How to annotate identity?

```
String identity(String arg) {
  return arg;
}


void client() {                        // desired result:
  identity("hello").hashCode(); // OK; no warning
  identity(null).hashCode();    // compiler warning
}
```

How should identity be *written*?

These types are too specific:
```
String identity(String arg) {
    return arg;
}
```
We want to say:
```
ThatSameType identity(AnyType arg) {
    return arg;
}
```
In Java, this is expressed as:
```
<T> T identity(T arg) {
    return arg;
}
```

identity has many types:
- String → String
- Integer → Integer
- List<Date> → List<Date>

Java automatically chooses the best type at each call site

We also write this as: ∀T. T → T

Java calls this a *generic method*

The standard term is *polymorphism*

Polymorphism over nullness

```
@PolyNull String identity(@PolyNull String arg) {
  return arg;
}


void client() {
  identity("hello").hashCode(); // OK; no warning
  identity(null).hashCode();    // compiler warning
}
```

@PolyNull is a hack is necessary for non-generic methods
It is not necessary for generic methods:

```
// No annotations, but type-checks just like identity().
<T> T identity2(T arg) {
  return arg;
}
```

Safe but un-annotatable code

```
class Point {
  // rep invariant:  either rep1 or rep2 is non-null
  XAndY rep1;
  RhoAndTheta rep2;

  float magnitude() {
    if (rep1 != null) {
      return Math.sqrt(rep1.x * rep1.x
                         + rep1.y * rep1.y);
    } else {
      // We know rep2 is non-null at this point.
      return rep2.rho;
    }
  }
}
```

How to run the Nullness Checker

- **`ant check-nullness`**
- Run ant from within Eclipse
- Eclipse plug-in

More resources:

- Checker Framework manual

   `http://types.cs.uw.edu/checker-framework/`

Why run the Nullness Checker?

- In Winter 2011:
  - *Every* student discovered null pointer bugs
  - Students wished they had been using the Nullness Checker from the beginning of the quarter

Nullness annotation summary

`@Nullable`

`@NonNull`   (rarely used)

   `@LazyNonNull`

Preconditions: `@NonNullOnEntry`

Postconditions:

   `@Pure`

   `@AssertNonNullAfter`

   `@AssertNonNullIfTrue`

   `@AssertNonNullIfFalse`

Initialization: `@Raw`   (rarely used)

Maps: `@KeyFor`

Polymorphism: `@PolyNull`   (rarely used)

Key ideas

- Many "run-time errors" can actually be prevented at compile time

- A type system is a simple way of doing so

- A stronger type system more expressive
  - This can be good or bad

- More practice understanding subtyping