CSE 331 Software Design & Implementation

Hal Perkins Winter 2012 Design Patterns Part 1 (Slides by David Notkin and Mike Ernst)

Outline

- Introduction to design patterns
- Creational patterns (constructing objects)
- Structural patterns (controlling heap layout)
- Behavioral patterns (affecting object semantics)

What is a design pattern?

- A standard solution to a common programming problem
 - a design or implementation structure that achieves a particular purpose
 - a high-level programming idiom
- A technique for making code more flexible
 - reduce coupling among program components
- Shorthand for describing program design
 - a description of connections among program components (static structure)
 - the shape of a heap snapshot or object model (dynamic structure)

A few simple examples....

Example 1: Encapsulation (data hiding)

- Problem: Exposed fields can be directly manipulated
 - Violations of the representation invariant
 - Dependences prevent changing the implementation
- Solution: Hide some components
 - Permit only stylized access to the object
- Disadvantages:
 - Interface may not (efficiently) provide all desired operations
 - Indirection may reduce performance

Example 2: Subclassing (inheritance)

- Problem: Repetition in implementations
 - Similar abstractions have similar components (fields, methods)
- Solution: Inherit default members from a superclass
 - Select an implementation via run-time dispatching
- Disadvantages:
 - Code for a class is spread out, and thus less understandable
 - Run-time dispatching introduces overhead

Example 3: Iteration

- Problem: To access all members of a collection, must perform a specialized traversal for each data structure
 - Introduces undesirable dependences
 - Does not generalize to other collections
- Solution:
 - The implementation performs traversals, does bookkeeping
 - The implementation has knowledge about the representation
 - Results are communicated to clients via a standard interface (e.g., hasNext(), next())
- Disadvantages:
 - Iteration order is fixed by the implementation and not under the control of the client

Example 4: Exceptions

- Problem:
 - Errors in one part of the code should be handled elsewhere.
 - Code should not be cluttered with error-handling code.
 - Return values should not be preempted by error codes.
- Solution: Language structures for throwing and catching exceptions
- Disadvantages:
 - Code may still be cluttered.
 - It may be hard to know where an exception will be handled.
 - Use of exceptions for normal control flow may be confusing and inefficient.

Example 5: Generics

- Problem:
 - Well-designed data structures hold one type of object
- Solution:
 - Programming language checks for errors in contents
 - List<Date> instead of just List
- Disadvantages:
 - More verbose types

Why design patterns?

- Advanced programming languages like Java provide lots of powerful constructs – subtyping, interfaces, rich types and libraries, etc.
- By the nature of programming languages, they can't make everything easy to solve
- To the first order, design patterns are intended to overcome common problems that arise in even advanced object-oriented programming languages
- They increase your vocabulary and your intellectual toolset

When (not) to use design patterns

- Rule 1: delay
 - Get something basic working first
 - Improve it once you understand it
- Design patterns can increase or decrease understandability
 - Add indirection, increase code size
 - Improve modularity, separate concerns, ease description
- If your design or implementation has a problem, consider design patterns that address that problem

Why should you care?

- You could come up with these solutions on your own
 You shouldn't have to!
- A design pattern is a known solution to a known
 problem

Whence design patterns?

- The Gang of Four (GoF) Gamma, Helm, Johnson, Vlissides
- Each an aggressive and thoughtful programmer
- Empiricists, not theoreticians
- Found they shared a number of "tricks" and decided to codify them – a key rule was that nothing could become a pattern unless they could identify at least three real examples



Patterns vs. patterns

- The phrase "pattern" has been wildly overused since the GoF patterns have been introduced
- "pattern" has become a synonym for "[somebody says] X is a good way to write programs."
 - And "anti-pattern" has become a synonym for "[somebody says] Y is a bad way to write programs."
- A graduate student recently studied so-called "security patterns" and found that very few of them were really GoF-style patterns
- GoF-style patterns have richness, history, languageindependence, documentation and thus (most likely) far more staying power

An example of a GoF pattern

- Given a class C, what if you want to guarantee that there is precisely one instance of C in your program? And you want that instance globally available?
- First, why might you want this?
- Second, how might you achieve this?

Possible reasons for Singleton

- One RandomNumber generator
- One graph model object
- One KeyboardReader, etc...
- Make it easier to ensure some key invariants
- Make it easier to control when that single instance is created – can be important for large objects

• .

Several solutions



```
public class Singleton {
    private static Singleton instance;
    private Singleton() { }
    public static synchronized Singleton getInstance() {
        if (null == instance) {
            instance = new Singleton();
        }
        return instance;
    }
}
```

GoF patterns: three categories

- Creational Patterns these abstract the objectinstantiation process
 - Factory Method, Abstract Factory, Singleton, Builder, Prototype
- Structural Patterns these abstract how objects/classes can be combined
 - Adapter, Bridge, Composite, Decorator, Façade, Flyweight, Proxy
- Behavioral Patterns these abstract communication between objects
 - Command, Interpreter, Iterator, Mediator, Observer, State, Strategy, Chain of Responsibility, Visitor, Template Method
- Blue = ones we've seen already

Creational patterns

- Constructors in Java are inflexible
 - Can't return a subtype of the class they belong to
 - Always return a fresh new object, never re-use one
- Problem: client desires control over object creation
- Factory method
 - Hides decisions about object creation
 - Implementation: put code in methods in client
- Factory object
 - Bundles factory methods for a family of types
 - Implementation: put code in a separate object
- Prototype
 - Every object is a factory, can create more objects like itself
 - Implementation: put code in **clone** methods

Motivation for factories: Changing implementations

- Supertypes support multiple implementations
 - interface Matrix { ... }
 - class SparseMatrix implements Matrix { ... }
 - class DenseMatrix implements Matrix { ... }
- Clients use the supertype (Matrix)
 - Still need to use a SparseMatrix or DenseMatrix constructor
 - Switching implementations requires code changes

Use of factories

• Factory

```
class MatrixFactory {
  public static Matrix createMatrix() {
    return new SparseMatrix();
  }
}
```

- Clients call createMatrix, not a particular constructor
- Advantages
 - To switch the implementation, only change one place
 - Can decide what type of matrix to create

Example: bicycle race

```
class Race {
```

}

```
// factory method for bicycle race
Race createRace() {
   Bicycle bike1 = new Bicycle();
   Bicycle bike2 = new Bicycle();
   ...
}
```

Example: Tour de France

}

class TourDeFrance extends Race {

```
// factory method
Race createRace() {
   Bicycle bike1 = new RoadBicycle();
   Bicycle bike2 = new RoadBicycle();
   ....
}
```

Example: Cyclocross

}

class Cyclocross extends Race {

```
// factory method
Race createRace() {
   Bicycle bike1 = new MountainBicycle();
   Bicycle bike2 = new MountainBicycle();
   ...
}
```

Factory method for Bicycle

```
class Race {
  Bicycle createBicycle() { ... }
  Race createRace() {
    Bicycle bike1 = createBicycle();
    Bicycle bike2 = createBicycle();
    ...
  }
}
```

• Use a factory method to avoid dependence on specific new kind of bicycle in createRace()

Code using Bicycle factory methods

```
class Race {
  Bicycle createBicycle() { ... }
  Race createRace() {
    Bicycle bike1 = createBicycle();
    Bicycle bike2 = createBicycle();
    . . .
  }
}
class TourDeFrance extends Race {
  Bicycle createBicycle() {
    return new RoadBicycle();
  }
}
class Cyclocross extends Race {
  Bicycle createBicycle(Frame) {
    return new MountainBicycle();
}
```

Factory objects/classes encapsulate factory methods

```
class BicycleFactory {
  Bicycle createBicycle() { ... }
  Frame createFrame() { ... }
 Wheel createWheel() { ... }
  . . .
}
class RoadBicycleFactory extends BicycleFactory {
  Bicycle createBicycle() {
    return new RoadBicycle();
  }
}
class MountainBicycleFactory extends BicycleFactory {
  Bicycle createBicycle() {
    return new MountainBicycle();
  }
}
```

Using a factory object

```
class Race {
  BicycleFactory bfactory;
  // constructor
  Race() { bfactory = new BicycleFactory(); }
 Race createRace() {
    Bicycle bike1 = bfactory.createBicycle();
    Bicycle bike2 = bfactory.createBicycle();
    . . .
  }
}
class TourDeFrance extends Race {
  // constructor
  TourDeFrance() { bfactory = new RoadBicycleFactory(); }
}
class Cyclocross extends Race {
 // constructor
 Cyclocross() { bfactory = new MountainBicycleFactory(); }
}
```

Separate control over bicycles and races

Now we can specify the race and the bicycle separately:

```
new TourDeFrance(new TricycleFactory())
```

Prototype pattern

- Every object is itself a factory
- Each class contains a **clone** method that creates a copy of the receiver object

```
class Bicyle {
   Bicycle clone() { ... }
}
```

- Often, Object is the return type of clone
 - clone is declared in Object
 - Design flaw in Java 1.4 and earlier: the return type may not change covariantly in an overridden method
 - i.e., return type could not be made more restrictive
 - This is a problem for achieving true subtyping

Sharing

Recall the second weakness of Java constructors

- Java constructors always return a new object, never a pre-existing object
- Singleton: only one object exists at runtime
 - Factory method returns the same object every time (we've seen this already)
- Interning: only one object with a particular (abstract) value exists at runtime
 - Factory method returns an existing object, not a new one
- Flyweight: separate intrinsic and extrinsic state, represent them separately, and intern the intrinsic state
 - Implicit representation uses no space

Interning pattern

- Reuse existing objects instead of creating new ones
 - Less space
 - May compare with == instead of equals()
- Permitted only for immutable objects



Interning mechanism

- Maintain a collection of all objects
- If an object already appears, return that instead
 HashMap<String, String> segnames; // why not Set<String>?
 String canonicalName(String n) {
 if (segnames.containsKey(n)) {
 return segnames.get(n);
 } else {
 segnames.put(n, n);
 return n;
 }
 }
 }
- Java builds this in for strings: String.intern()
- Two approaches:
 - create the object, but perhaps discard it and return another
 - check against the arguments before creating the new object

java.lang.Boolean does not use the Interning pattern

```
public class Boolean {
 private final boolean value;
  // construct a new Boolean value
  public Boolean(boolean value) {
    this.value = value;
  }
 public static Boolean FALSE = new Boolean(false);
 public static Boolean TRUE = new Boolean(true);
  // factory method that uses interning
  public static valueOf(boolean value) {
    if (value) {
      return TRUE;
    } else {
      return FALSE;
```

Recognition of the problem

- Javadoc for **Boolean** constructor:
 - Allocates a Boolean object representing the value argument.
 - Note: It is rarely appropriate to use this constructor. Unless a new instance is required, the static factory
 valueOf (boolean) is generally a better choice. It is likely to yield significantly better space and time performance.
- Josh Bloch (JavaWorld, January 4, 2004):
 - The Boolean type should not have had public constructors. There's really no great advantage to allow multiple trues or multiple falses, and I've seen programs that produce millions of trues and millions of falses, creating needless work for the garbage collector.
 - So, in the case of immutables, I think factory methods are great.

Flyweight pattern (look up if you need it)

- Good when many objects are mostly the same
 - Interning works only if objects are entirely the same (and immutable!)
- Intrinsic state: same across all objects
 - Technique: intern it (interning requires immutability)
- Extrinsic state: different for different objects
 - Represent it explicitly
 - Advanced technique: make it implicit (don't even represent it!)
 - Making it implicit requires immutability (or other properties)