

## CSE 326: Data Structures

### Java Generics & Containers

Hal Perkins  
Winter 2008  
Lecture86

## References

- Textbook (Weiss), sec. 1.5.3
- Sun online Java tutorial  
[java.sun.com/docs/books/tutorial/extra/generics/index.html](http://java.sun.com/docs/books/tutorial/extra/generics/index.html)
- For the truly hard-core:  
*Java Generics and Collections*, Maurice Naftalin & Philip Wadler, O'Reilly, 2006  
*The Java Programming Language*, 4<sup>th</sup> ed., Arnold, Gosling & Holmes, A-W, 2006
- And for the Language Lawyers in the crowd:  
*The Java Language Specification*, 3<sup>rd</sup> ed., Gosling, Joy, Steele & Bracha, A-W, 2005

1/25/2008

2

## Type-Safe Containers

- Idea – a class or interface can have a type parameter:

```
public class Bag<E> {
    private E item;
    public void setItem(E x) { item = x; }
    public E getItem()      { return item; }
}
```
- Given such a type, we can create & use instances:

```
Bag<String> b = new Bag<String>();
b.setItem("How about that?");
String contents = b.getItem();
```

1/25/2008

06b-3

## Why?

- Main advantage is compile-time type checking:
  - Ensure at compile time that items put in a generic container have the right type
  - No need for a cast to check the types of items returned; guaranteed by type system
- Underneath, everything is a raw object, but we don't have to write the casts explicitly or worry about type failures

1/25/2008

4

## Specialized Containers

- Suppose we have a bunch of objects that can be compared to each other, i.e. that implement this interface:

```
public interface Comparable<T> {  
    public int compareTo(T other);  
}
```

- Example class of Comparable objects:

```
class OrderedBlob implements Comparable<OrderedBlob> {  
    ...  
    public int compareTo(OrderedBlob b) { return 0, <0, >0 }  
}
```

1/25/2008

5

## Container for Comparable Things

- Suppose we want a container that only holds objects that are Comparable. Here's how:

```
interface SortedCollection <E extends Comparable<E>>
```

- E must be some type that “extends” (i.e., implements) Comparable<E>
- ∴ can use compareTo(E) in implementation
- This isn't quite general enough, but it's in the right direction

1/25/2008

6

## Generics & Inheritance

- Next, suppose we have a small class hierarchy

```
interface Animal {  
    // return the name of this animal  
    public String getName();  
}  
public class Cow implements Animal { ... }  
public class Pig implements Animal { ... }
```

1/25/2008

7

## Animals as Parameters

- Task: Write a method that prints the names of all animals in a list. Easy, right?  

```
public void printNames(List<Animal> zoo) { ... }
```
- Works fine if called with a List<Animal> object
- Type error if called with List<Cow> or List<Pig>!
- Why???
  - Issue: List<Cow> is *not* a subtype of List<Animal> even though Cow *is* a subtype of Animal
  - So printNames can *only* accept a list of Animal objects (not what we want)

1/25/2008

8

## Aside: Java Arrays

- The rules for generics and subtyping are different from arrays:
  - Cow[ ] *is* a subtype of Animal[ ]
- Historical accident, leads to some type errors that can't be detected until runtime
- Example: Is this always safe?

```
public void haveACow(Animal[ ] barnyard) {  
    barnyard[0] = new Cow();  
}
```

1/25/2008

9

## Bounded Wildcards

- Idea: specify that the parameter can be a list of either Animals or any of Animal's subtypes

```
public void printNames (List<? extends Animal> zoo) {  
    for (Animal a: zoo) System.out.println(a.getName());  
}
```
- Works great. This is a *bounded wildcard*. Any List<t> works provided that t is Animal or some subtype of Animal
- Animal is an *upper bound* for the wildcard
- Almost always what you want if a method argument that you read from has a parameterized type

1/25/2008

10

## Lower Bounds

- There is corresponding syntax for lower bounds:

```
public void haveACow(List<? super Cow> barnyard) {  
    barnyard.add(new Cow()); // OK  
}
```
- This is also a wildcard type where Cow is a *lower bound*. Actual argument can be List<Cow>, List<Animal>, List<Object> or any other List whose elements are supertypes of Cow.
  - But *not* List<Pig>
- Almost always what you want if a method stores into an argument that has a parameterized type

1/25/2008

11

## Constraints Revisited

- Recall the type declaration for collection of Comparable objects:

```
interface SortedCollection <E extends Comparable<E>>
```
- Works, but is too restrictive. It requires that E directly implement Comparable<E>, but that's not the only way two E objects can be Comparable.
- Solution:

```
interface SortedCollection  
    <E extends Comparable<? super E>>
```

  - Can compare two elements of type E as long as E extends Comparable<T> where T is any supertype of E

1/25/2008

12

## Type Erasure

- Type parameters are a compile-time-only artifact. At runtime, only the raw types are present
- So, at runtime, the compile-time class `Bag<E>` is just a `Bag` (only one instance of class `Bag`), and everything added or removed is just an `Object`, not a particular `E`
  - Casts, etc. are inserted by compiler as needed, but guaranteed to succeed if generics rules are obeyed
  - Underlying code and JVM is pre-generics Java
- Ugly, but necessary design decision
  - Makes it possible for new code that uses generics to interoperate with old code that doesn't
  - Not how you would do it if you could start over

1/25/2008

13

## Type Erasure Consequences

- Code in a class cannot depend on the actual value of a type parameter at runtime. Examples of problems:

```
public class Bag<E> {  
    public static E makeE() { ... } // error – what is E?  
    private E oneE;           // OK  
    private E[] arrayE;       // also OK  
    public void makeStuff() {  
        oneE = new E();       // error – new E() not allowed  
        arrayE = new E[];     // error – new E[] also not allowed  
    }  
}
```

1/25/2008

14

## But I Need to Make an `E[]`!!!!

- Various solutions. For simple case, we can use an unchecked cast of an `Object` array (which is what it really is underneath anyway)

```
E[] stuff = (E[])new Object[size];
```

  - All the other code that uses `stuff[]` and its elements will work and typecheck just fine
- Be sure you understand the cause of *all* unchecked cast warnings, & limit to “safe” situations like this
- More complex solutions if you want more type safety or have more general requirements – see references for detailed discussions

1/25/2008

15

## Example with “Generic” Array

```
public class Bag<E> {  
    // instance variable  
    E[] items;  
  
    // constructor  
    public Bag() {  
        items = (E[]) new  
            Object[10];  
    }  
  
    // methods  
    public void store(E item)  
        { items[0] = item; }  
  
    public E get()  
        { return items[0]; }  
}
```

1/25/2008

16