

CSE 326: Data Structures

Java Generics & JUnit

Section notes, 4/10/08

slides by Hal Perkins

Type-Safe Containers

- Idea – a class or interface can have a type parameter:

```
public class Bag<E> {  
    private E item;  
    public void setItem(E x) { item = x; }  
    public E getItem( )      { return item; }  
}
```

- Given such a type, we can create & use instances:

```
Bag<String> b = new Bag<String>();  
b.setItem("How about that?");  
String contents = b.getItem();
```

Why?

- Main advantage is compile-time type checking:
 - Ensure at compile time that items put in a generic container have the right type
 - No need for a cast to check the types of items returned; guaranteed by type system
- Underneath, everything is a raw object, but we don't have to write the casts explicitly or worry about type failures

Type Erasure

- Type parameters are a compile-time-only artifact. At runtime, only the raw types are present
- So, at runtime, the compile-time class `Bag<E>` is just a `Bag` (only one instance of class `Bag`), and everything added or removed is just an `Object`, not a particular `E`
 - Casts, etc. are inserted by compiler as needed, but guaranteed to succeed if generics rules are obeyed
 - Underlying code and JVM is pre-generics Java
- Ugly, but necessary design decision
 - Makes it possible for new code that uses generics to interoperate with old code that doesn't
 - Not how you would do it if you could start over

Type Erasure Consequences

- Code in a class cannot depend on the actual value of a type parameter at runtime. Examples of problems:

```
public class Bag<E> {  
    private E item;        // OK  
    private E[ ] array;    // also OK  
    public Bag() {  
        item = new E();    // error – new E() not allowed  
        array = new E[10 ]; // error – new E[] also not allowed  
    }  
}
```

But I Need to Make an E[]!!!!

- Various solutions. For simple case, we can use an unchecked cast of an Object array (which is what it really is underneath anyway)
 - E[] stuff = (E[])new Object[size];
 - All the other code that uses stuff[] and its elements will work and typecheck just fine
- Be sure you understand the cause of *all* unchecked cast warnings, & limit to “safe” situations like this
- More complex solutions if you want more type safety or have more general requirements – see references for detailed discussions

Example with “Generic” Array

```
public class Bag<E> {  
    // instance variable  
    E[ ] items;  
  
    // constructor  
    public Bag() {  
        items = (E[ ]) new  
            Object[10];  
    }  
}
```

```
// methods  
public void store(E item)  
    { items[0] = item; }  
  
public E get( )  
    { return items[0]; }  
|}
```

References

- Textbook (Weiss), sec. 1.5.3
- Sun online Java tutorial
java.sun.com/docs/books/tutorial/extra/generics/index.html
- For the truly hard-core:
Java Generics and Collections, Maurice Naftalin & Philip Wadler, O'Reilly, 2006
The Java Programming Language, 4th ed., Arnold, Gosling & Holmes, A-W, 2006
- And for the Language Lawyers in the crowd:
The Java Language Specification, 3rd ed., Gosling, Joy, Steele & Bracha, A-W, 2005

Testing & Debugging

- Testing Goals
 - Verify that software behaves as expected
 - Be able to recheck this as the software evolves
- Debugging
 - A controlled experiment to discover what is wrong
 - Strategies and questions:
 - What's wrong?
 - What do we know is working? How far do we get before something isn't right?
 - What changed?
 - (Even if the changed code didn't produce the bug, it's fairly likely that some interaction between the changed code and other code did.)

Unit Tests

- Idea: create *small* tests that verify individual properties or operations of objects
 - Do constructors and methods do what they are supposed to?
 - Do variables and value-returning methods have the expected values?
 - Is the right output produced?
- Lots of small unit tests, each of which test something specific; not big, complicated tests
 - If something breaks, the broken test should be a great clue about where the problem is

JUnit

- Test framework for Java Unit tests
- Idea: implement classes that extend the JUnit TestCase class
- Each test in the class is named testXX (name starting with “test” is the key)
- Each test performs some computation and then checks the result
- Optional: setUp() method to initialize instance variables or otherwise prepare before each test
- Optional: tearDown() to clean up after each test
 - Less commonly used than setUp()

Example

- Tests for a simple calculator object

```
import junit.framework.TestCase;
public class CalculatorTest extends TestCase {

    public void testAddition() {
        Calculator calc = new Calculator();
        int expected = 7;
        int actual = calc.add(3, 4);
        assertEquals("adding 3 and 4", expected, actual);
    }
    ...
}
```

Another Calculator Test

```
public void testDivisionByZero() {  
    Calculator calc = new Calculator();  
    try { // verify exception thrown  
        calc.divide(2, 0);  
        fail("should have thrown an exception");  
    } catch (ArithmeticException e) {  
        // do nothing – this is what we expect  
    }  
}
```

What Kinds of Checks are Available

- Look in `junit.framework.Assert` (JavaDocs on www.junit.org)
- Examples
 - `assertEquals(expected, actual);` // works on any type except
// double; uses `.equals()` for objects
 - `assertEquals(message, expected, actual);`
// all have variations with messages
 - `assertEquals(expected, actual, delta);`
// for doubles to test “close enough”
 - `assertFalse(condition);`
 - `assertTrue(condition);`
 - `assertNotNull(object);`
 - `assertNull(object);`
 - `fail();`
 - // and some others

setUp

- If the tests require some common initial setup, we can write this once and it is automatically executed before each test (i.e., each test starts with a fresh setUp)

```
import junit.framework.TestCase;
public class CalculatorTest extends TestCase {
    private Calculator calc; // calculator object for tests
    /** initialize: repeated before each test */
    protected void setUp() {
        calc = new Calculator();
    }

    // tests as before, but without local declaration/initialization of calc
```