CSE 326: Data Structures

Priority Queues
Leftist Heaps & Skew Heaps

Hal Perkins
Spring 2007
Lectures 6 & 7

Outline

- Announcements
- Leftist Heaps & Skew Heaps
  – Reading: Weiss, Ch. 6

Announcements

- Written HW #1 – due NOW
- Written HW #2 – out today, due next Friday
- Project #2 coming – Part A on Monday
  – Can work in pairs; start figuring out who you'd like to work with or whether you want to go alone
- Final exam – Thur. June 7. 8:30(!) am

New Heap Operation: Merge

Given two heaps, merge them into one heap

– first attempt: insert each element of the smaller heap into the larger.

runtime:

– second attempt: concatenate binary heaps' arrays and run buildHeap.

runtime:

Leftist Heaps

Idea:

Focus all heap maintenance work in one small part of the heap

Leftist heaps:

1. Most nodes are on the left
2. All the merging work is done on the right

Definition: Null Path Length

null path length (npl) of a node *x* = the number of nodes between *x* and a null in its subtree

OR

npl(x) = min distance to a descendant with 0 or 1 children

- *npl*(null) = -1
- *npl*(leaf) = 0
- *npl*(single-child node) = 0



Equivalent definitions:

1. *npl(x)* is the height of largest complete subtree rooted at *x*
2. *npl(x)* = 1 + min{*npl*(left(x)), *npl*(right(x))}

Leftist Heap Properties

- Heap-order property
  - parent's priority value is $\leq$ to childrens' priority values
  - result: minimum element is at the root
- Leftist property
  - For every node *x*, *npl*(left(*x*)) $\geq$ *npl*(right(*x*))
  - result: tree is at least as "heavy" on the left as the right

Are leftist trees…
complete?
balanced?

Are These Leftist?



Every subtree of a leftist tree is leftist!

Right Path in a Leftist Tree is Short (#1)

Claim: The right path is as short as *any* in the tree.

Proof: (By contradiction)

Pick a shorter path: $D_1 < D_2$

Say it diverges from right path at *x*

npl(L) ≤ D_1-1 because of the path of length D_1-1 to null

npl(R) ≥ D_2-1 because every node on right path is leftist



Leftist property at *x* violated!

Right Path in a Leftist Tree is Short (#2)

Claim: If the right path has `r` nodes, then the tree has at least

$2^r - 1$ nodes.

Proof: (By induction)

Base case : `r=1`. Tree has at least $2^1 - 1 = 1$ node

Inductive step : assume true for `r' < r`. Prove for tree with right path at least `r`.

1. Right subtree: right path of `r-1` nodes
   ⇒ $2^{r-1}-1$ right subtree nodes (by induction)
2. Left subtree: also right path of length at least `r-1` (by previous slide) ⇒ $2^{r-1}-1$ left subtree nodes (by induction)

Total tree size: $(2^{r-1}-1) + (2^{r-1}-1) + 1 = 2^r-1$

Why do we have the leftist property?

Because it guarantees that:

- the *right path is really short* compared to the number of nodes in the tree
- A leftist tree of N nodes, has a right path of at most log (N+1) nodes

Idea – perform all work on the right path

Merge two heaps (basic idea)

- Put the smaller root as the new root,
- Hang its left subtree on the left.
- Recursively merge its right subtree and the other tree.

Merging Two Leftist Heaps

- merge(T_1,T_2) returns one leftist heap containing all elements of the two (distinct) leftist heaps T_1 and T_2



Merge Continued



runtime:

Let's do an example, but first… Other Heap Operations

- insert ?
- deleteMin ?

Operations on Leftist Heaps

- merge with two trees of total size n: O(log n)
- insert with heap size n: O(log n)
  - pretend node is a size 1 leftist heap
  - insert by merging original heap with one node heap



- deleteMin with heap size n: O(log n)
  - remove and return root
  - merge left and right subtrees



Leftest Merge Example



Sewing Up the Example



Finally…



Leftist Heaps: Summary

<u>Good</u>

-
-

<u>Bad</u>

-
-

Random Definition: Amortized Time

am·or·tized time:

Running time limit resulting from "writing off" expensive runs of an algorithm over multiple cheap runs of the algorithm, usually resulting in a lower <u>overall</u> running time than indicated by the worst possible case.

If M operations take total O(M log N) time, *amortized* time per operation is O(log N)

Difference from **average time:**

Skew Heaps

Problems with <u>leftist</u> heaps

- extra storage for npl
- extra complexity/logic to maintain and check npl
- right side is "often" heavy and requires a switch

Solution: <u>skew</u> heaps

- "blindly" adjusting version of leftist heaps
- merge *always* switches children when fixing right path
- <u>amortized time</u> for: merge, insert, deleteMin = O(log *n*)
- however, <u>worst case time</u> for all three = O(*n*)

Merging Two <u>Skew</u> Heaps



Only one step per iteration, with children *always* switched

Example



Skew Heap Code

```
void merge(heap1, heap2) {
  case {
     heap1 == NULL: return heap2;
     heap2 == NULL: return heap1;
     heap1.findMin() < heap2.findMin():
          temp = heap1.right;
          heap1.right = heap1.left;
          heap1.left = merge(heap2, temp);
          return heap1;
     otherwise:
          return merge(heap2, heap1);
  }
}
```


Runtime Analysis: Worst-case and Amortized

- No worst case guarantee on right path length!
- All operations rely on merge

  $\Rightarrow$ worst case complexity of all ops =
- Will do amortized analysis later in the course (see chapter 11 if curious)
- Result: M merges take time $M \log n$

  $\Rightarrow$ amortized complexity of all ops =

Comparing Heaps

- Binary Heaps
- Leftist Heaps
- d-Heaps
- Skew Heaps

Still scope for improvement!