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- Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm


## Graph Representation 1:

 Adjacency MatrixA $|v| \times|v|$ array in which an element ( $u, v$ ) is true if and only if there is an edge from $u$ to $v$


Runtime:
iterate over vertices iterate ever edges iterate edges adj. to vertex edge exists?


Space required:

## Graph Representation 2: Adjacency List

A $|\mathrm{V}|$-ary list (array) in which each entry stores a list (linked list) of all adjacent vertices


Runtime:
iterate over vertices
iterate ever edges
iterate edges adj. to vertex edge exists?
Space required:

## Graph Representation 2: Adjacency List

A $|\mathrm{V}|$-ary list (array) in which each entry stores a list (linked list) of all adjacent vertices


Runtime:
iterate over vertices $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{v})$
iterate ever edges $\mathrm{O}(|\mathrm{e}|)$
iterate edges adj. to vertex $\mathrm{O}(\mathrm{d})$ ( d is number of adj. vertices) edge exists? O(d)

Space required: $\mathrm{O}(|\mathrm{v}|+|\mathrm{e}|)$

## Weighted Graphs

Each edge has an associated weight or cost.



## Terminology

In directed graphs, edges have a specific direction
II undirected graphs, edges are two-way
$\square$ Vertices $\mathbf{u}$ and $\mathbf{v}$ are adjacent if (u, v) $\in \mathbf{E}$
$\square \mathrm{A}$ sparse graph has $\mathrm{O}(|\mathrm{V}|)$ edges (upper bound)
$\square \mathrm{A}$ dense graph has $\Omega\left(|\mathrm{V}|^{2}\right)$ edges (lower bound)
-A complete graph has an edge between every pair of vertices
An undirected graph is connected if there is a path between any two vertices

| Trees as Graphs |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Every tree is a graph with some restrictions: <br> - the tree is directed <br> - there are no cycles (directed or undirected) <br> - there is a directed path from the root to every node |  |



| Topological Sort |
| :--- |
|  |
| Label each vertex's in-degree |
| Initialize a queue to contain all in-degree zero vertices |
| While there are vertices remaining in the queue |
| Remove a vertex $v$ with in-degree of zero and output it |
| Reduce the in-degree of all vertices adjacent to $v$ |
| Put any of these with new in-degree zero on the queue |
| Runtime: |
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## Graph Search

Many problems in computer science correspond to searching for a path in a
graph, given a start node and goal criteria

- Route planning - Mapquest
- Packet-switching
- VLSI layout
- 6-degrees of Kevin Bacon
- Program synthesis
- Speech recognition
- We'll discuss these last two later...

| Graph Search |
| :---: |
| Many problems in computer science |
| correspond to searching for a path in a |
| graph, given a start node and goal criteria |
| • Route planning - Mapquest |
| • Packet-switching |
| • VLSI layout |
| - 6-degrees of Kevin Bacon |
| • Spegram synthesis |
| - We'll discuss these last two later... |


| General Graph Search Algorithm |
| :--- |
| Open - some data structure (e.g., stack, queue, heap) |
| Criteria - some method for removing an element from Open |
| Search( Start, Goal_test, Criteria) <br> insert(Start, Open); <br> repeat <br> if (empty(Open)) then return fail; <br> select Node from Open using Criteria; <br> if (Goal_test(Node)) then return Node; <br> for each Child of node do <br> if (Child not already visited) then Insert( Child, Open ); <br> Mark Node as visited; <br> end |


| Breadthefirst Graph Search |
| :--- |
| Open - Queue |
| Criteria - Dequeue (FIFO) |
| BFS( Start, Goal_test) |
| enqueue(Start, Open); |
| repeat |
| if (empty(Open)) then return fail; |
| Node := dequeue(Open); |
| if (Goal_test(Node)) then return Node; |
| for each Child of node do |
| if (Child not already visited) then enqueue(Child, Open); |
| Mark Node as visited; |
| end |


| DFS Space Requirements |
| :---: |
| Assume: |
| • Longest path in graph is length $d$ |
| • Highest number of out-edges is $k$ |
| DFS stack grows at most to size $d k$ |
| • For $k=10, d=15$, size is 150 |
|  |

## Depth-First Graph Search

```
Open - Stack
Criteria - Pop
DFS( Start, Goal test)
        push(Start, Open);
    repeat
        if (empty(Open)) then return fail;
        Node := pop(Open);
        if (Goal_test(Node)) then return Node;
            for each Child of node do
                    if (Child not already visited) then push(Child, Open);
        Mark Node as visited;
    end
```

Comparison: DFS versus BFS
Depth-first search

- Does not always find shortest paths
- Must be careful to mark visited vertices, or you could go into an infinite loop if there is a cycle
Breadth-first search
- Always finds shortest paths - optimal solutions
- Marking visited nodes can improve efficiency, but even without doing so search is guaranteed to terminate

Is BFS always preferable?

## BFS Space Requirements

## Assume

- Distance from start to a goal is $d$
- Highest number of out edges is $k$ BFS

Queue could grow to size $k^{d}$

- For $k=10, d=15$, size is

1,000,000,000,000,000


| Iterative-Deepening DFS (III) |
| :--- |
| IDFS_Search(Start, Goal_test) <br> i := 1 ; <br> repeat <br> answer := Bounded_DFS(Start, Goal_test, i); <br> if (answer != fail) then return answer; <br> i := i+1; <br> end |
|  |


| Analysis of IDFS |
| :---: | :---: |
| Work performed with limit < actual <br> distance to $G$ is wasted - but the wasted <br> work is usually small compared to <br> amount of work done during the last <br> iteration |
| $\sum_{i=1}^{d} k^{i}=O\left(k^{d}\right) \quad$Ignore low order <br> terms! |
| Same time complexity as BFS <br> Same space complexity as (bounded) DFS |


| Saving the Path |
| :---: |
| Our pseudocode returns the goal node <br> found, but not the path to it <br> How can we remember the path? <br> - Add a field to each node, that points <br> to the previous node along the path <br> - Follow pointers from goal back to start <br> to recover path |




| Graph Search, Saving Path |
| :--- |
| Search( Start, Goal_test, Criteria) <br> insert(Start, Open); <br> repeat <br> if (empty(Open)) then return fail; <br> select Node from Open using Criteria; <br> if (Goal_test(Node)) then return Node; <br> for each Child of node do <br> if (Child not already visited) then <br> Child.previous := Node; <br> Insert( Child, Open ); <br> Mark Node as visited; <br> end |
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## Shortest Path for Weighted

 GraphsGiven a graph $G=(\mathbf{V}, E)$ with edge costs $\mathrm{c}(\mathrm{e})$, and a vertex $\mathbf{s} \in \mathrm{v}$, find the shortest (lowest cost) path from s to every vertex in v

Assume: only positive edge costs

## Dijkstra's Algorithm for Single Source Shortest Path

Similar to breadth-first search, but uses a heap instead of a queue:

- Always select (expand) the vertex that has a lowest-cost path to the start vertex
Correctly handles the case where the lowest-cost (shortest) path to a vertex is not the one with fewest edges


| Important Features |
| :--- |
|  |
| Once a vertex is removed from the |
| head, the cost of the shortest path to |
| that node is known |
| While a vertex is still in the heap, |
| another shorter path to it might still |
| be found |
| The shortest path itself can found by |
| following the backward pointers |
| stored in node.previous |



## Dijkstra's Algorithm in Action



42


## Topic Outline

Graph Data Structures
Graph Properties
Topological Sort
Graph Search
-

- Heuristic Best-First Search
- A* Search

All-Pairs Shortest Paths

- Floyd-Warshall Algorithm
[. Connected Component Algorithms
- Union/Find Algorithm using Up-trees
- Kruskal's Minimum Spanning Tree Algorithm


## Problem: Large Graphs

It is expensive to find optimal paths in large graphs, using BFS, IDFS, or Dijkstra's algorithm (for weighted graphs)
$\square$ How can we search large graphs efficiently by using "commonsense" about which direction looks most promising?


| Best-First Search |
| :--- |
| Open - Heap (priority queue) <br> Criteria - Smallest key (highest priority) <br> h(n) - heuristic estimate of distance from $n$ to closest goal <br> Best_First_Search( Start, Goal_test) <br> insert(Start, h(Start), heap); <br> repeat <br> if (empty(heap)) then return fail; <br> Node := deleteMin(heap); <br> if (Goal_test(Node)) then return Node; <br> for each Child of node do <br> if (Child not already visited) then <br> insert(Child, h(Child),heap); <br> end <br> Mark Node as visited; <br> end |



| A* |
| :---: |
| Exactly like Best-first search, but using a different <br> criteria for the priority queue: <br> minimize (distance from start) + <br> (estimated distance to goal) |
| priority $f(n)=g(n)+h(n)$ <br> $f(n)=$ priority of a node <br> $g(n)=$ true distance from start <br> $h(n)=$ heuristic distance to goal |


| Optimality of $\mathbf{A}^{*}$ |
| :--- |
| Suppose the estimated distance is always <br> less than or equal to the true distance to <br> the goal <br> • heuristic is a lower bound <br> Then: when the goal is removed from the <br> priority queue, we are guaranteed to <br> have found a shortest path! |



## Applications of $A^{*}$ : Planning

A huge graph may be implicitly specified by rules for generating it on-the-fly
Blocks world:

- vertex = relative positions of all blocks


묘묘

| Blocks World |
| :---: |

## Blocks world:

- distance = number of stacks to perform
- heuristic lower bound = number of blocks out of place

\# out of place = 2, true distance to goal = 3


## (Simplified) Problem:

- System hears a sequence of 3 words
- It is unsure about what it heard
-For each word, it has a set of possible "guesses"
-E.g.: Word 1 is one of $\{$ "hi", "high", "l" \}
- What is the most likely sentence it heard?



## Summary: Graph Search

## Depth First

- Little memory required
- Might find non-optimal path


## Breadth First

- Much memory required
- Always finds optimal path

Dijskstra's Short Path Algorithm

- Like BFS for weighted graphs

Best First

- Can visit fewer nodes
- Might find non-optimal path

A*

- Can visit fewer nodes than BFS or Dijkstra
- Optimal if heuristic estimate is a lower-bound

