

## CSE 326: Data Structures Sorting in (kind of) linear time

Luke McDowell  
Summer Quarter 2003

### BinSort (aka BucketSort)

- If all keys are between 1 and  $K$
- Have array of size  $K$
- Put keys into correct bin (cell) of array

**Example**  $K=5$ . Values = (5,1,3,4,3,2,1,1,5,4,5)

Bins in array	
key = 1	
key = 2	
key = 3	
key = 4	
key = 5	



**Running time?**

### BinSort Running Time:

- Case 1:  $K$  is a constant
  - BinSort is linear time
- Case 2:  $K$  is variable
  - Not simply linear time
- Case 3:  $K$  is large (e.g.  $2^{32}$ )
  - ???

### Digression: Stable Sorting

- Stable Sorting algorithm.
  - Items in input with the same key end up in the same order as when they began.
  - Important if keys have associated values
- Are these stable?
  - RadixSort?
  - MergeSort?
  - QuickSort?

### RadixSort

- Radix = “The base of a number system” (Webster’s dictionary)
  - We’ll use 10 for convenience, but could be anything
- Random Trivia?
- Idea: BinSort on each digit, bottom up.

### RadixSort – magic! It works.

- Input: 126, 328, 636, 341, 416, 131, 328

BinSort on lowest digit:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

BinSort on next-higher digit:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

BinSort on highest digit:

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9

## Not magic. It provably works.

- Keys
  - n-digit numbers
  - base  $K$
- Claim: after  $i^{\text{th}}$  BinSort, least significant  $i$  digits are sorted.
  - e.g.  $K=10$ ,  $i=3$ , keys are 1776 and 8234. 8234 comes before 1776 for last 3 digits.

## Induction to the rescue...

- Base case
  - $i=0$ . 0 digits are sorted
- Induction step
  - assume for  $i$ , prove for  $i+1$ .
  - consider two numbers:  $X, Y$ . Say  $X_i$  is  $i^{\text{th}}$  digit of  $X$  (from the right)
    - $X_{i+1} < Y_{i+1}$  then  $i+1^{\text{th}}$  BinSort will put them in order
    - $X_{i+1} > Y_{i+1}$ , same thing
    - $X_{i+1} = Y_{i+1}$ , order depends on last  $i$  digits. Induction hypothesis says already sorted for these digits. (Careful about ensuring that your BinSort preserves order aka "stable" ...)

## Time to play at home...

- RadixSort the following values using  $K=10$ :  
95, 3, 927, 187, 604, 823, 805, 422, 159, 98, 123, 3, 987, 125.  
(space on next slide)
- Given arbitrary numbers  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$ , and a base  $K$ , what is the overall running time of radix sort?
- How should you choose the value of  $K$ ?

(extra space)

## Running time of Radixsort

- How many passes?
- How much work per pass?
- Total time?
- Conclusion?
- In practice
  - RadixSort only good for large number of items, relatively small keys
  - Hard on the cache, vs. MergeSort/QuickSort

## What data types can you RadixSort?

- Any type  $T$  that can be BinSorted
- Any type  $T$  that can be broken into parts  $A$  and  $B$ ,
  - You can reconstruct  $T$  from  $A$  and  $B$
  - $A$  can be RadixSorted
  - $B$  can be RadixSorted
  - $A$  is always more significant than  $B$ , in ordering

### Example:

- 1-digit numbers can be BinSorted
- 2 to 5-digit numbers can be BinSorted without using too much memory
- 6-digit numbers, broken up into A=first 3 digits, B=last 3 digits.
  - A and B can reconstruct original 6-digits
  - A and B each RadixSortable as above
  - A more significant than B

### RadixSorting Strings

- 1 Character can be BinSorted
- Break strings into characters
- Need to know length of biggest string (or calculate this on the fly).

### RadixSorting Strings example

	5 <sup>th</sup> pass	4 <sup>th</sup> pass	3 <sup>rd</sup> pass	2 <sup>nd</sup> pass	1 <sup>st</sup> pass
String 1	z	i	p	p	y
String 2	z	a	p		
String 3	a	n	t	s	
String 4	f	l	a	p	s

NULLs are just like fake characters

### RadixSorting Strings running time

- N is number of strings
- L is length of longest string
- Total Running time:
  - L ~ 20. Is this better than Quicksort?