Notes for Monday, May 17th

(Notes supplementary to slides) Contstruction of a CFG to PDA follows this construction:



In PDA to CFG proof, we can get the PDA into the required form by splitting things of the form



(diverging from the slide discussion now)

Consider the example $L = \{0^n 1^n 0^n | n \ge 0\}$. Can we make a CFG or PDA for L? If we had two stacks it would be easy. The attempted grammar

$$\begin{split} S &\to 0A1B0|\varepsilon\\ A &\to 0A1|\varepsilon\\ B &\to 1B0|\varepsilon \end{split}$$

doesn't work. It turns out that L is not a CFL. To prove this, we'd need a pumping lemma for CFLs!

An example of how this pumping lemma would work: $L = \{0^n 1^n | n \ge 0\}$. We have already discussed the grammar for this in class:

$$S \rightarrow 0S1|\varepsilon$$

It has one variable, S. So, |V| = 1. A parse tree of height 2 would have the longest path have three nodes (with a leaf as a terminal), so there are two variables in the path. Since there is only one variable on V, the path will repeat a variable due to pigeonhole. Hence, we can change the size of the tree!

For the string w = 01, the tree is



We may replace the bottom S by the top S and "pump up" to get the string $0^2 1^2$...



... or again for $0^3 1^3$:



and so on. We may also "pump down" by replacing the upper S by the lower S to get the string $0^0 1^0 = \varepsilon$: S ε

This outlines how the pumping lemma for CFLs works. Next time, a formal proof is given.