

# Discrete Structures

## Logic

---

Chapter 1, Sections 1.1–1.4

*Dieter Fox*

# Outline

---

- ◇ Propositional Logic
- ◇ Propositional Equivalences
- ◇ First-order Logic

# Propositional Logic

---

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions.

- ◇ **Negation**  $\neg p$  The statement “It is not the case that  $p$ .” is true, whenever  $p$  is false and is false otherwise.
- ◇ **Conjunction**  $p \wedge q$  The statement “ $p$  and  $q$ ” is true when both  $p$  and  $q$  are true and is false otherwise.
- ◇ **Disjunction**  $p \vee q$  The statement “ $p$  or  $q$ ” is false when both  $p$  and  $q$  are false and is true otherwise.
- ◇ **Exclusive or**  $p \oplus q$  The *exclusive or* of  $p$  and  $q$  is true when exactly one of  $p$  and  $q$  is true and is false otherwise.

# Proposition?

---

- ◇ There is life on Mars.
- ◇ Today is Friday.
- ◇  $2 + 2 = 4$
- ◇ Bayern Munich is the best soccer team ever!
- ◇  $x + 2 = 5$
- ◇ Why are we taking this class?
- ◇ This statement is false.
- ◇ This statement is true.

# Propositional Logic

---

Let  $p$  and  $q$  be propositions.

- ◇ **Implication**  $p \rightarrow q$  The *implication*  $p \rightarrow q$  is false when  $p$  is true and  $q$  is false and is true otherwise.  $p$  is called the **hypothesis** (antecedent, premise) and  $q$  is called the **conclusion** (consequence).
  - “if  $p$ , then  $q$ ”                      “ $p$  implies  $q$ ”                      “ $p$  only if  $q$ ”  
“ $p$  is sufficient for  $q$ ”                      “ $q$  is necessary for  $p$ ”
  - $q \rightarrow p$  is called the **converse** of  $p \rightarrow q$
  - $\neg q \rightarrow \neg p$  is called the **contrapositive** of  $p \rightarrow q$
- ◇ **Biconditional**  $p \leftrightarrow q$  The *biconditional*  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is true whenever  $p$  and  $q$  have the same truth values and is false otherwise.

# Translating English Sentences

---

- ◇ You can access the Internet from campus only if you are a computer science major or you are not a freshman.
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
  
- ◇ You cannot ride the roller coaster if you are under 4 feet tall unless you are older than 16 years old.

# Logical Equivalences

---

- ◇ **Tautology** A compound statement that is always true.
- ◇ **Contradiction** A compound statement that is always false.
- ◇ **Contingency** A compound statement that is neither a tautology nor a contradiction.
- ◇ **Logical equivalence**  $p \equiv q$  Propositions  $p$  and  $q$  are called *logically equivalent* if  $p \leftrightarrow q$  is a tautology.

# Tautologies?

---

◇ I don't jump off the Empire State Building implies if I jump off the Empire State Building then I float safely to the ground.

◇  $((\text{Smoke} \wedge \text{Heat}) \rightarrow \text{Fire}) \equiv ((\text{Smoke} \rightarrow \text{Fire}) \vee (\text{Heat} \rightarrow \text{Fire}))$



# Logical Equivalences

$p \wedge \mathbf{T} \equiv p$ $p \vee \mathbf{F} \equiv p$	Identity laws
$p \vee \mathbf{T} \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \wedge \mathbf{F} \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Domination laws
$p \vee p \equiv p$ $p \wedge p \equiv p$	Idempotent laws
$\neg(\neg p) \equiv p$	Double negation law
$p \vee q \equiv q \vee p$ $p \wedge q \equiv q \wedge p$	Commutative laws
$(p \vee q) \vee r \equiv p \vee (q \vee r)$ $(p \wedge q) \wedge r \equiv p \wedge (q \wedge r)$	Associative laws
$p \vee (q \wedge r) \equiv (p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$ $p \wedge (q \vee r) \equiv (p \wedge q) \vee (p \wedge r)$	Distributive laws
$\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv \neg p \vee \neg q$ $\neg(p \vee q) \equiv \neg p \wedge \neg q$	De Morgan's laws
$p \vee (p \wedge q) \equiv p$ $p \wedge (p \vee q) \equiv p$	Absorption laws
$p \vee \neg p \equiv \mathbf{T}$ $p \wedge \neg p \equiv \mathbf{F}$	Negation laws

# First-order Logic

---

- ◇ **Universal quantifier  $\forall$ :** The *universal quantification* of  $P(x)$  is the proposition “ $P(x)$  is true for all values of  $x$  in the universe of discourse.”
  
- ◇ **Existential quantifier  $\exists$ :** The *existential quantification* of  $P(x)$  is the proposition “There exists an element  $x$  in the universe of discourse such that  $P(x)$  is true.”