

CSE 312: Foundations of Computing II

Section 9: MAP, Hypothesis Testing, Confidence Intervals

1. Posterior

Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be iid samples from $Exp(\Theta)$ where Θ is a random variable (not fixed).

- (a) Using the prior $\Theta \sim Gamma(r, \lambda)$ (for some arbitrary but known parameters $r, \lambda > 0$), show that the posterior distribution $\Theta|\mathbf{x}$ also follows a Gamma distribution and identify its parameters (by computing $\pi_{\Theta}(\theta|\mathbf{x})$). Then, explain this sentence: "The Gamma distribution is the conjugate prior for the rate parameter of the Exponential distribution". Hint: This can be done in just a few lines!
- (b) Now derive the MAP estimate for Θ . The mode of a $Gamma(s, \nu)$ distribution is $\frac{s-1}{\nu}$. Hint: This should be just one line using your answer to part (a).
- (c) Explain how this MAP estimate differs from the MLE estimate (recall for the Exponential distribution it was just the inverse sample mean $\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$, and provide an interpretation of r and λ as to how they affect the estimate.

2. Do you have the confidence?

Imagine you are polling a population to estimate the true proportion p of individuals that support putting pineapple on pizza. You do this by sampling n people from the population with replacement and asking whether they do or don't (these are the only two choices) and using the sample mean $\bar{X} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ as your estimate for p (where each X_i is 1 if person i supports putting pineapple on pizza, and 0 otherwise). At least how many samples n do you need to perform such that 98% of the time, the estimate \bar{X} is within 5% of the true p ?

3. Tree Hypothesis

Suppose you live on a tree farm with a large field. You've always used Fertilizer Y, but your friend recently recommended you to use Fertilizer X. You plant 545 trees, and you give $n = 254$ of them Fertilizer X and $m = 291$ Fertilizer Y, and measure their height after three years.

Now you have iid samples (assume trees grow independently) x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n which measure the height of the n trees given fertilizer X, and iid samples y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m which measure the height of the m trees given fertilizer Y. The data you are given has the following statistics:

Fertilizer	Number of samples	Sample Mean	Sample Variance
X	$n = 254$	$\bar{x} = 6.99$	$s_x^2 = 28.56^2$
Y	$m = 291$	$\bar{y} = 4.21$	$s_y^2 = 23.97^2$

Perform a hypothesis test using the procedure in 8.4, and report the exact p-value for the observed difference in means. In other words: assuming that the heights of trees which had been given fertilizer X and fertilizer Y has the same mean μ_X, μ_Y , what is the probability that you could have sampled two groups of trees such that you could have observed that the difference of means between Fertilizer Y and Fertilizer X was as extreme, or more extreme, than the one observed (which is $\bar{x} - \bar{y} = 2.78$)?