# **PROBABILITY** The NAIVE BAYES CLASSIFIER

LUXI WANG, PEMI NGUYEN, MITCHELL ESTBERG AND SHREYA JAYARAMAN Alex Tsun

# AGENDA

- WHAT IS MACHINE LEARNING?
- FEATURIZING EMAILS
- NAIVE BAYES

# MACHINE LEARNING IN THE REAL WORLD



	Jobs you may be interested in		
_	::	🙈 appthority	COMCAST
	Engineering Manager - Data Infrastructure Twillo Inc. — San Francisco, CA, US	Chief Architect Appthority — San Francisco Bay Area	Sr. Engineering Manager Comcast Silicon Valley Innovation Center — San
	View Job	View Job	View Job
			See more jobs >



# ML PIPELINE



From **Wikipedia**: "Machine learning is the study of computer algorithms that improve automatically through experience."

# YOU ARE A MACHINE!

Number	Shape	"Label"
3		12
5		15
-2		-8
7		21
-4		???

Given examples with correct "labels", make predictions!

# YOU ARE A MACHINE!

Number	Shape	"Label"
3		12
5		15
-2		-8
7		21
-4		-16

Given examples with correct "labels", make predictions!

# REGRESSION: IDEA



# CLASSIFICATION: IDEA





"Green" class

"Red" class

# CLASSIFICATION: IDEA



"Green" class

"Red" class

# SPAM FILTER

- In real life, you may have seen a lot of spam emails like this.
- Building a good spam filter helps protect users from potential scams, unnecessary advertising, or malware links.







# EVALUATING PERFORMANCE

#### Training Set

Test Set

Email	Label	Email	Label
Buy Valium!	Spam	You buy valium!	Spam
You good?	Ham	You need valium sir.	Spam
Valium help you.	Spam	I hope you are healthy.	Ham
Good Valium help.	Spam		
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham		

We "**train**" our spam filter on the training set, and **evaluate** performance using a test set (data that is unseen by the spam filter initially). This gives an unbiased estimate of performance.

# SPAM FILTER TASK

#### **Training Set**

Email	Label
Buy Valium!	Spam
You good?	Ham
Valium help you.	Spam
Good Valium help.	Spam
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham



**Predict** whether this email is spam or ham:

You buy Valium!

#### EMAILS AS WORD COLLECTIONS

Email	Set of Words in the Email
SUBJECT: Top Secret Business Venture	{top, secret, business, venture, dear, sir, first, I, must, solicit, your, confidence, in,
Dear Sir. First, I must solicit your confidence in this transaction, this is by virtue of its nature as being utterly confidential and top secret	this, transaction, is, by, virtue, of, its, nature, as, being, utterly, confidencial, and}

For simplicity, we will

- Ignore Duplicate Words
- Ignore Punctuation
- Ignore Casing

#### EMAILS AS WORD COLLECTIONS

Email	Set of Words in the Email
SUBJECT: Top Secret Business Venture	{top, secret, business, venture, dear, sir, first, I, must, solicit, your, confidence, in,
Dear Sir. First, I must solicit your confidence in this transaction, this is by virtue of its nature as being utterly confidential and top secret	this, transaction, is, by, virtue, of, its, nature, as, being, utterly, confidencial, and}
Hello hello there.	{hello, there}

For simplicity, we will

- Ignore Duplicate Words
- Ignore Punctuation
- Ignore Casing

#### EMAILS AS WORD COLLECTIONS

Email	Set of Words in the Email
SUBJECT: Top Secret Business Venture Dear Sir. First, I must solicit your confidence in this transaction, this is by virtue of its nature as being utterly confidential and top secret	{top, secret, business, venture, dear, sir, first, I, must, solicit, your, confidence, in, this, transaction, is, by, virtue, of, its, nature, as, being, utterly, confidencial, and}
Hello hello there.	{hello, there}
You buy Valium!	{you, buy, valium}

For simplicity, we will

- Ignore Duplicate Words
- Ignore Punctuation
- Ignore Casing

#### OUR APPROACH

Compute and Compare:

#### ℙ(spam | "You buy Valium!")

P(ham | "You buy Valium!")

Then predict whichever is larger! Can we get away with just computing one of them?

#### OUR APPROACH

Compute and Compare:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid "\text{You buy Valium!"})$ 

#### ℙ(ham | "You buy Valium!")

Then predict whichever is larger! Can we get away with just computing one of them?

Equivalently, note that these add to 1, so we can just compute  $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid "You buy Valium!")$ 

and if it is greater than 0.5, then we predict **spam**.

Otherwise, we predict **ham**.

Note: We resolve the tie in favor of **ham**.

Bayes Theorem: 
$$\mathbb{P}(A \mid B) = rac{\mathbb{P}(B \mid A) \mathbb{P}(A)}{\mathbb{P}(B)}$$



Apply it to our example:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid "\text{You buy Valium!"}) = \frac{\mathbb{P}("\text{You buy Valium!"} \mid \text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam})}{\mathbb{P}("\text{You buy Valium!"})}$ 

#### NAIVE BAYES CLASSIFIER - WHAT WE CALCULATE

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid "\text{You buy Valium!"}) = \frac{\mathbb{P}("\text{You buy Valium!"} \mid \text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam})}{\mathbb{P}("\text{You buy Valium!"})}$ 

#### NAIVE BAYES CLASSIFIER - WHAT WE CALCULATE

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid "\text{You buy Valium!"}) = \frac{\mathbb{P}("\text{You buy Valium!"} \mid \text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam})}{\mathbb{P}("\text{You buy Valium!"})}$ 

$$= \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\}| spam) \mathbb{P}(spam)}{\mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\}| spam) \mathbb{P}(spam) + \mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\}| ham) \mathbb{P}(ham)}$$
[LTP]

#### NAIVE BAYES CLASSIFIER - WHAT WE CALCULATE

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid "\text{You buy Valium!"}) = \frac{\mathbb{P}("\text{You buy Valium!"} \mid \text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam})}{\mathbb{P}("\text{You buy Valium!"})}$ 

 $= \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\}| spam) \mathbb{P}(spam)}{\mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\}| spam) \mathbb{P}(spam) + \mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\}| ham) \mathbb{P}(ham)} \quad [LTP]$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{\text{total spam emails (in training set)}}{\text{total emails (in training set)}} \qquad \mathbb{P}(\text{ham}) = \frac{\text{total ham emails (in training set)}}{\text{total emails (in training set)}}$ 

(our approximation for these probabilities, based on the training set)

It is somewhat unlikely that we have the email "You buy Valium!" in our training data. (In this case we don't!)

It is somewhat unlikely that we have the email "You buy Valium!" in our training data. (In this case we don't!)

We <u>naively</u> assume that words are conditionally independent from each other, given the label (In reality, they aren't):

It is somewhat unlikely that we have the email "You buy Valium!" in our training data. (In this case we don't!)

We <u>naively</u> assume that words are conditionally independent
from each other, given the label (In reality, they aren't):
P({"you", "buy", "valium"}| spam)
≈ P("you" | spam)P("buy" | spam)P("valium"| spam)

It is somewhat unlikely that we have the email "You buy Valium!" in our training data. (In this case we don't!)

We naively assume that words are conditionally independent
from each other, given the label (In reality, they aren't):
P({"you", "buy", "valium"}| spam)
≈ P("you" | spam)P("buy" | spam)P("valium"| spam)

Then we estimate for example that  $\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"} \mid \text{spam}) = \frac{\text{number of spam emails containing "you" (in training set)}}{\text{number of spam emails (in training set)}}$ 

# WHY IS THIS NAIVE?

Consider for example the following two emails: "!!!Lunch free for You!!!!!"  $S_{Pam}$ 

Ham

"You free for lunch?"

### WHY IS THIS NAIVE?

Consider for example the following two emails: "!!!Lunch free for You!!!!!"  $S_{Pam}$ 

"You free for lunch?"

Ham

One shortfall of our model is that it will make the same prediction for these since they have the same set of words!

P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam) + \mathbb{P}("you"|ham)\mathbb{P}("buy"|ham)\mathbb{P}("valium"|ham)\mathbb{P}(ham)}$ 

Email	Label
Buy Valium!	Spam
You good?	Ham
Valium help you.	Spam
Good Valium help.	Spam
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{ham}) =$$

 $\mathbb{P}("you" \mid spam) = \mathbb{P}("you" \mid ham) = \mathbb{P}("buy" \mid spam) = \mathbb{P}("buy" \mid ham) = \mathbb{$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"} | \text{spam}) = \mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"} | \text{ham}) =$ 



P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

Email	Label
Buy Valium!	Spam
You good?	Ham
Valium help you.	Spam
Good Valium help.	Spam
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5}$$
  $\mathbb{P}(\text{ham}) = \frac{2}{5}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you" \mid spam) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}("you" \mid ham) = \frac{1}{2}$  $\mathbb{P}("buy" \mid spam) = \quad \mathbb{P}("buy" \mid ham) =$  $\mathbb{P}("valium" \mid spam) = \quad \mathbb{P}("valium" \mid ham) =$ 



P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

Email	Label
Buy Valium!	Spam
You good?	Ham
Valium help you.	Spam
Good Valium help.	Spam
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5}$$
  $\mathbb{P}(\text{ham}) = \frac{2}{5}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}("you" \mid spam) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}("you" \mid ham) = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\mathbb{P}("buy" \mid spam) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}("buy" \mid ham) = 0$$
$$\mathbb{P}("valium" \mid spam) = 1 \quad \mathbb{P}("valium" \mid ham) = \frac{1}{2}$$



 $\mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\}| spam) \mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

Email	Label
Buy Valium!	Spam
You good?	Ham
Valium help you.	Spam
Good Valium help.	Spam
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham

$$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5} \qquad \mathbb{P}(\text{ham}) = \frac{2}{5}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"} | \text{spam}) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}(\text{"you"} | \text{ham}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\mathbb{P}("buy" \mid spam) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}("buy" \mid ham) = 0$$
$$\mathbb{P}("valium" \mid spam) = 1 \quad \mathbb{P}("valium" \mid ham) = \frac{1}{3}$$



=

 $\mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\}| spam) \mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\} | spam) \mathbb{P}(spam) + \mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\} | ham) \mathbb{P}(ham)$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

$= \overline{\mathbb{P}("you" spam)\mathbb{P}("buy})$	" spam)ℙ(	"valium" spam)P(spam)+P("yo		(" <u>ti inanur(n</u> am)
Email	Label	$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(ham) =$	$=\frac{2}{2}$
Buy Valium!	Spam	(1) 5		5
You good?	Ham			
Valium help you.	Spam			
Good Valium help.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}("you" \mid spam) = \frac{1}{3}$		
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham	$\mathbb{P}("buy" \mid spam) = \frac{1}{3}$ $\mathbb{P}("valium" \mid spam) = 1$		

P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\} | spam) \mathbb{P}(spam) + \mathbb{P}(\{"you","buy","valium"\} | ham) \mathbb{P}(ham)$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

<sup>=</sup> ℙ("you"|spam)ℙ("buy"|spam)ℙ("valium"|spam)ℙ(spam)+ℙ("you man-selle "blow)ℙ("buy"|spam)ℙ("valium"|spam)ℙ(spam)+ℙ("you man-selle "blow)ℙ("buy"|spam)ℙ("valium"|spam)ℙ(spam)+ℙ("you man-selle "blow)ℙ("blow)

Email	Label
Buy Valium!	Spam
You good?	Ham
Valium help you.	Spam
Good Valium help.	Spam
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5}$   $\mathbb{P}(\text{ham}) = \frac{2}{5}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}("you" \mid spam) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}("you" \mid ham) = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\mathbb{P}("buy" \mid spam) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}("buy" \mid ham) = 0$$
$$\mathbb{P}("valium" \mid spam) = 1 \quad \mathbb{P}("valium" \mid ham) = \frac{1}{2}$$



# $[XAMPLE \quad \mathbb{P}(spam \mid "You buy Valium!")$

TT / II

P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}\}|\text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}\}|\text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

= 1	L	(Marked	as	spam	since	no	ham	email	contained	"buy"	)
-----	---	---------	----	------	-------	----	-----	-------	-----------	-------	---

Email	Label	
Buy Valium!	Spam	
You good?	Ham	
Valium help you.	Spam	
Good Valium help.	Spam	
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham	$\mathbb{P}$

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5} \qquad \mathbb{P}(\text{ham}) = \frac{2}{5}$ 

$$\mathbb{P}("you" | spam) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}("you" | nam) = \frac{1}{2}$$
$$\mathbb{P}("buy" | spam) = \frac{1}{3} \quad \mathbb{P}("buy" | ham) = 0$$
$$("valium" | spam) = 1 \quad \mathbb{P}("valium" | ham) = \frac{1}{3}$$



# WHAT HAPPENS IF WE GOT A 0?

P("You buy Valium!" | ham) = 0 since P("buy"| ham) = 0, since no ham email in our training data contained the word '**buy**'.

But does that mean we will never encounter a ham email with word 'buy'?



# LAPLACE SMOOTHING

Pretend in spam emails (training set):

- We saw one extra spam email with word  $w_i$
- We saw one extra spam email **without** word  $w_i$


## LAPLACE SMOOTHING

Pretend in spam emails (training set):

- We saw one extra spam email with word  $w_i$
- We saw one extra spam email **without** word  $w_i$





## LAPLACE SMOOTHING

Pretend in spam emails (training set):

- We saw one extra spam email with word  $w_i$
- We saw one extra spam email **without** word  $w_i$

Same for ham emails.

$$\mathbb{P}(w_i \mid ext{spam}) = rac{| ext{total spam emails (training set) containing } w_i|+1}{| ext{total spam emails (training set)}|+2} \ \mathbb{P}(w_i \mid ext{ham}) = rac{| ext{total ham emails (training set) containing } w_i|+1}{| ext{total ham emails (training set)}|+2}$$



## LAPLACE SMOOTHING

Pretend in spam emails (training set):

- We saw one extra spam email with word  $w_i$
- We saw one extra spam email **without** word  $w_i$

Same for ham emails.

$$\mathbb{P}(w_i \mid \text{spam}) = \frac{|\text{total spam emails (training set) containing } w_i|+1}{|\text{total spam emails (training set)}|+2}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(w_i \mid \text{ham}) = \frac{|\text{total ham emails (training set) containing } w_i|+1}{|\text{total ham emails (training set)}|+2}$$
$$\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"} \mid \text{ham}) = \frac{0+1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{4}$$



P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam) + \mathbb{P}("you"|ham)\mathbb{P}("buy"|ham)\mathbb{P}("valium"|ham)\mathbb{P}(ham)}$ 

Email	Label		
Buy Valium!	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(ham) = \frac{2}{5}$
You good?	Ham	- (°P****) 5	0
Valium help you.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"} \mid \text{spam}) =$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"} \mid \text{ham}) =$
Good Valium help.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"} \mid \text{spam}) =$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``buy''} \mid \text{ham}) = \frac{0+1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{4}$
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham	₽("valium"   spam) =	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}   \text{ham}) =$

P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

Email	Label		
Buy Valium!	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(ham) = \frac{2}{5}$
You good?	Ham		0
Valium help you.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}   \text{spam}) = \frac{1+1}{3+2} = \frac{2}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``you''   ham}) = \frac{1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$
Good Valium help.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"} \mid \text{spam}) =$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``buy''} \mid \text{ham}) = \frac{0+1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{4}$
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham	₽("valium"   spam) =	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}   \text{ham}) =$

P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $= \overline{\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam) + \mathbb{P}("you"|ham)\mathbb{P}("buy"|ham)\mathbb{P}("valium"|ham)\mathbb{P}(ham)}$ 

Email	Label		
Buy Valium!	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(ham) = \frac{2}{5}$
You good?	Ham	0	0
Valium help you.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}   \text{spam}) = \frac{1+1}{3+2} = \frac{2}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``you''   ham}) = \frac{1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$
Good Valium help.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``buy''   spam}) = \frac{1+1}{3+2} = \frac{2}{5}$	
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}   \text{spam}) = \frac{3+2}{3+2} = \frac{4}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}   \text{ham}) = \frac{1+1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$

P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $= \overline{\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{ham})} \\ = \frac{2}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{2} \cdot \frac{4}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{3}$ 

Email	Label	$= \frac{5}{\frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{5} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{5}} \approx 0.7544$	
Buy Valium!	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(ham) = \frac{2}{5}$
You good?	Ham		、 <i>、</i> )
Valium help you.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``you''} \mid \text{spam}) = \frac{1+1}{3+2} = \frac{2}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``you''   ham}) = \frac{1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$
Good Valium help.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``buy''   spam}) = \frac{1+1}{3+2} = \frac{2}{5}$	
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham	$\square \mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}   \text{spam}) = \frac{3+1}{3+2} = \frac{4}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"} \mid \text{ham}) = \frac{1+1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$

P({"you","buy","valium"}| spam) ℙ(spam)

 $\overline{\mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{\text{"you","buy","valium"}| \text{ ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}("you"|spam)\mathbb{P}("buy"|spam)\mathbb{P}("valium"|spam)\mathbb{P}(spam)$ 

 $= \overline{\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{spam})\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"buy"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}|\text{ham})\mathbb{P}(\text{ham})}{\underline{2} \cdot \underline{2} \cdot \underline{4} \cdot \underline{3}}$ 

Email	Label	$= \frac{\frac{5}{5} \cdot \frac{5}{5} \cdot \frac{5}{5}}{\frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{2}{5} \cdot \frac{4}{5} \cdot \frac{3}{5} + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{4} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{5}} \approx 0.7544$	
Buy Valium!	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{3}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(ham) = \frac{2}{5}$
You good?	Ham	0	、 <i>/</i> J
Valium help you.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"you"}   \text{spam}) = \frac{1+1}{3+2} = \frac{2}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``you''   ham}) = \frac{1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$
Good Valium help.	Spam	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``buy''   spam}) = \frac{1+1}{3+2} = \frac{2}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{``buy''} \mid \text{ham}) = \frac{0+1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{4}$
I need Valium for my health condition.	Ham	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"}   \text{spam}) = \frac{3+1}{3+2} = \frac{4}{5}$	$\mathbb{P}(\text{"valium"} \mid \text{ham}) = \frac{1+1}{2+2} = \frac{1}{2}$

## UNDERFLOW PREVENTION

- Multiplication of many probabilities, each of which will be between 0 and 1, can result in floating-point underflow. The product will be too small and will result in arithmetic underflow.

## UNDERFLOW PREVENTION

- Multiplication of many probabilities, each of which will be between 0 and 1, can result in floating-point underflow. The product will be too small and will result in arithmetic underflow.
- Reminder: Log property:

 $\log(xy) = \log(x) + \log(y)$ 

## UNDERFLOW PREVENTION

- Multiplication of many probabilities, each of which will be between 0 and 1, can result in floating-point underflow. The product will be too small and will result in arithmetic underflow.
- Reminder: Log property:

$$\log(xy) = \log(x) + \log(y)$$

- Summing logs of probabilities is better than multiplying probabilities

$$egin{aligned} \log\left(\prod_{i=1}^n p_i
ight) &= \log(p_1 p_2 \dots p_n) = \log(p_1) + \log(p_2) + \dots + \log(p_n) \ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \log(p_i) \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam})}{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\} \mid \mathsf{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham})}{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\} \mid \mathsf{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\} \mid \mathsf{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham})}$ 

### We will output spam iff:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) > \mathbb{P}(\text{ham} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\})$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam})}{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\} \mid \mathsf{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham})}{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\} \mid \mathsf{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\} \mid \mathsf{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham})}$ 

### We will output spam iff:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) > \mathbb{P}(\text{ham} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\})$ 

 $\iff \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \text{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) > \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \text{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})$ 

Denominators are equal and cancel when comparing

 $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam})}{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\} \mid \mathsf{ham}) \ \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham})}{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\} \mid \mathsf{spam}) \ \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, ..., w_n\} \mid \mathsf{ham}) \ \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham})}$ 

#### We will output spam iff:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) > \mathbb{P}(\text{ham} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\})$ 

 $\iff \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \text{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) > \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \text{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})$ 

 $\iff \mathbb{P}(w_1 \mid \operatorname{spam})\mathbb{P}(w_2 \mid \operatorname{spam}) \cdots \mathbb{P}(w_n \mid \operatorname{spam})\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam}) > \mathbb{P}(w_1 \mid \operatorname{ham})\mathbb{P}(w_2 \mid \operatorname{ham}) \cdots \mathbb{P}(w_n \mid \operatorname{ham})\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ham})$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam})}{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \operatorname{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ham})}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) \approx \frac{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \mathsf{ham}) \ \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham})}{\mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \mathsf{spam}) \ \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{spam}) + \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \mathsf{ham}) \ \mathbb{P}(\mathsf{ham})}$ 

#### We will output **spam** iff:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\}) > \mathbb{P}(\text{ham} \mid \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\})$ 

 $\iff \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \text{spam}) \mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) > \mathbb{P}(\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n\} \mid \text{ham}) \mathbb{P}(\text{ham})$ 

 $\iff \mathbb{P}(w_1 \mid \operatorname{spam})\mathbb{P}(w_2 \mid \operatorname{spam}) \cdots \mathbb{P}(w_n \mid \operatorname{spam})\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam}) > \mathbb{P}(w_1 \mid \operatorname{ham})\mathbb{P}(w_2 \mid \operatorname{ham}) \cdots \mathbb{P}(w_n \mid \operatorname{ham})\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ham})$ 

Taking the log of two sides:

$$\iff \log(\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{spam})) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(\mathbb{P}(w_i \mid \operatorname{spam})) > \log(\mathbb{P}(\operatorname{ham})) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(\mathbb{P}(w_i \mid \operatorname{ham}))$$

### SUMMARY: NAIVE BAYES ALGORITHM STEPS

### 1. TRAINING

1.1. Compute the proportion of emails in the training set that is spam or ham:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = \frac{\text{total spam emails (in training set)}}{\text{total emails (in training set)}}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(ham) = \frac{\text{total ham emails (in training set)}}{\text{total emails (in training set)}}$ 

- 1.2. Iterate over the **training set**, for each unique word **x**, count:
- How many **spam emails** in the training set contain **x**
- How many ham emails in the training set contain x

### SUMMARY: NAIVE BAYES ALGORITHM STEPS

### . TRAINING

1.1. Compute the proportion of emails in the training set that is spam or ham:

 $\mathbb{P}(\text{spam}) = rac{\text{total spam emails (in training set)}}{\text{total emails (in training set)}}$ 

 $\mathbb{P}(ham) =$ 

total ham emails (in training set) total emails (in training set)

- 1.2. Iterate over the **training set**, for each unique word **x**, count:
- How many spam emails in the training set contain x
- How many ham emails in the training set contain x

### 2.) TESTING

Iterate over the test set, for each unlabelled email D:

- Create a set **S** of **n** unique words appearing in **D**:  $\{w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n\}$
- For each word  $w_i$  in set **S**, calculate:

$$\mathbb{P}(w_i \mid \text{spam}) = \frac{|\text{total spam emails (training set) containing } w_i|+1}{|\text{total spam emails (training set)}|+2} \qquad \mathbb{P}(w_i \mid \text{ham}) = \frac{|\text{total ham emails (training set) containing } w_i|+1}{|\text{total ham emails (training set)}|+2}$$

- Note: If word  $w_i$  doesn't appear in the training set, we still calculate the above probabilities, with:  $|\text{total spam emails (training set) containing } w_i| = |\text{total ham emails (training set) containing } w_i| = 0$
- If  $\log(\mathbb{P}(\text{spam})) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\mathbb{P}(w_i \mid \text{spam})) > \log(\mathbb{P}(\text{ham})) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log(\mathbb{P}(w_i \mid \text{ham}))$

Predict email **D** as **spam** 

Otherwise, predict email **D** as ham



