

## Section #8

Shreya Jayaraman, Luxi Wang, Alex Tsun

1. Let  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  be iid samples from  $Exp(\Theta)$  where  $\Theta$  is a random variable (not fixed).
  - (a) Using the prior  $\Theta \sim Gamma(r, \lambda)$  (for some arbitrary but known parameters  $r, \lambda > 0$ ), show that the posterior distribution  $\Theta|\mathbf{x}$  also follows a Gamma distribution and identify its parameters (by computing  $\pi_{\Theta}(\theta|\mathbf{x})$ ). Then, explain this sentence: “The Gamma distribution is the conjugate prior for the rate parameter of the Exponential distribution”. Hint: This can be done in just a few lines!
  - (b) Now derive the MAP estimate for  $\Theta$ . The mode of a  $Gamma(s, \nu)$  distribution is  $\frac{s-1}{\nu}$ . Hint: This should be just one line using your answer to part (a).
  - (c) Explain how this MAP estimate differs from the MLE estimate (recall for the Exponential distribution it was just the inverse sample mean  $\frac{n}{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i}$ ), and provide an interpretation of  $r$  and  $\lambda$  as to how they affect the estimate.

2. Suppose the true fraction of the U.S. population who like broccoli is  $p$ . We wish to estimate  $p$  by taking a random sample: we randomly choose  $n$  individuals, ask each of them whether they like broccoli or not, and estimate  $p$  with the maximum-likelihood estimator  $\hat{p}$  (the number of people who liked broccoli divided by  $n$ ). We would like to quantify the accuracy of this estimate.

Suppose we interviewed 100 people and 20 of them liked broccoli ( $\hat{p} = 0.2$ ). Find an 86% confidence interval for the true  $p$ .

3. Suppose you live on a tree farm with a large field. You’ve always used Fertilizer Y, but your friend recently recommended you to use Fertilizer X. You plant 545 trees, and you give  $n = 254$  of them Fertilizer X and  $m = 291$  Fertilizer Y, and measure their height after three years.

Now you have iid samples (assume trees grow independently)  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  which measure the height of the  $n$  trees given fertilizer X, and iid samples  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$  which measure the height of the  $m$  trees given fertilizer Y.

The data you are given has the following statistics:

Fertilizer	Number of samples	Sample Mean	Sample Variance
X	$n = 254$	$\bar{x} = 6.99$	$s_x^2 = 28.56^2$
Y	$m = 291$	$\bar{y} = 4.21$	$s_y^2 = 23.97^2$

Perform a hypothesis test using the procedure in 8.4, and report the exact p-value for the observed difference in means. In other words: assuming that the heights of trees which had been given fertilizer X and fertilizer Y has the same mean  $\mu_X, \mu_Y$ , what is the probability that you could have sampled two groups of trees such that you could have observed that the difference of means between Fertilizer Y and Fertilizer X was as extreme, or more extreme, than the one observed (which is  $\bar{x} - \bar{y} = 2.78$ )?