

## Inclusion-Exclusion and Derangements

The goal here is to count the number **derangements**, i.e., the number of bijective (or one-to-one) functions<sup>1</sup>  $\pi : [n] \rightarrow [n]$  which have the property that  $\pi(i) \neq i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . We are after a formula which is a function of  $n$  – call  $D(n)$  the number of such derangements. For example,  $D(3) = 2$ . This is easy to see because the only two solutions are

$$(2, 3, 1)$$

and

$$(3, 1, 2) .$$

(Here,  $(a, b, c)$  is to be read as  $\pi(1) = a$ ,  $\pi(2) = b$ , and  $\pi(3) = c$ .) But what about  $D(n)$  for an arbitrary  $n$ ? We are going to show that  $D(n)$  is close to  $n!/e$ , where  $e = 2.71828\dots$  is Euler's number.

**Setting up the problem.** Towards using the inclusion-exclusion principle, we need to think of the set of derangements as being described by the set of  $\pi$ 's satisfying

$$\pi(1) \neq 1 \wedge \pi(2) \neq 2 \wedge \pi(3) \neq 3 \wedge \dots \wedge \pi(n) \neq n .$$

By DeMorgan's laws, this is equivalent to counting the number of  $\pi$ 's that do *not* satisfy

$$\pi(1) = 1 \vee \pi(2) = 2 \vee \pi(3) = 3 \vee \dots \vee \pi(n) = n .$$

We formalize this using *sets*. First of all, let  $S_n$  be the set of one-to-one  $\pi : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ . We know that  $|S_n| = n!$  already. Also, for any  $i \in [n]$ , let

$$A_i = \{\pi \in S_n : \pi(i) = i\} .$$

Note that we have defined  $n$  sets here,  $A_1, \dots, A_n$ . Now, by the above, we want the set of  $\pi$ 's which are in  $S_n$ , but not in  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n$ . In other words,

$$D(n) = |S_n \setminus (A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n)| = |S_n| - |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| = n! - |A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| , \quad (1)$$

where the second equality follows from the fact that  $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n \subseteq S_n$ , because the  $A_i$ 's are defined as subsets of  $S_n$ .

**Using inclusion-exclusion.** We now want to evaluate (1) above. By the inclusion-exclusion principle,

$$|A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|+1} \left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right| . \quad (2)$$

To continue, we need to know what  $|\bigcap_{i \in I} A_i|$  is. Note that for any non-empty  $I \subseteq [n]$ , having  $\pi \in \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i$  means that  $\pi(i) = i$  for all  $i \in I$ , but there is no restriction on  $\pi(j)$  for  $j \in [n] \setminus I$  –

---

<sup>1</sup>Recall that  $[n]$  is a shorthand for  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ .

therefore, there are  $(n - |I|)!$  ways of choosing  $\pi(j)$ 's for  $j \in [n] \setminus I$ . (Remember, we look at  $\pi$ 's which are one-to-one!) In other words,

$$\left| \bigcap_{i \in I} A_i \right| = (n - |I|)! \quad (3)$$

for all non-empty  $I \subseteq [n]$ .

Now, we plug (3) into (2), and obtain

$$|A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| = \sum_{\emptyset \neq I \subseteq [n]} (-1)^{|I|+1} (n - |I|)! . \quad (4)$$

Let us now simplify this. We are summing over all non-empty  $I \subseteq [n]$  – i.e., there are  $2^n - 1$  summands – and the summand is  $(-1)^{k+1} (n - k)!$  for any  $I$  with size  $k$ , regardless of how  $I$  exactly looks like. Moreover, there are  $\binom{n}{k}$  such  $I$ 's for each  $k \in [n]$ . Therefore, we can instead write

$$|A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_n| = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \binom{n}{k} (n - k)! = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \frac{n!}{k!(n - k)!} \cdot (n - k)! = \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \frac{n!}{k!} . \quad (5)$$

Note that the second equality follows from the definition of the binomial coefficient, and the last equality follows by simplifying  $(n - k)!$  away.

To wrap up, let us plug (5) into (1), and obtain

$$D(n) = n! - \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^{k+1} \frac{n!}{k!} = n! + \sum_{k=1}^n (-1)^k \frac{n!}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \frac{n!}{k!} = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} , \quad (6)$$

where the first equality uses that  $(-1)^{k+1} = (-1) \cdot (-1)^k$  (and this allows us to “flip” the sign!), and the second uses the fact that  $(-1)^k \frac{n!}{k!} = 1$  for  $k = 0$  – so we have taken-in the  $n!$  as the  $k = 0$  term into the sum.

**Interpreting this.** We are actually done – i.e.,

$$D(n) = n! \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}$$

is the best we can expect to prove as an *exact* formula. However, we can get a feel of what this means, by observing that for any  $x$ ,

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{x^k}{k!}$$

converges to  $e^x$  as  $n$  goes to infinity. Therefore, in our case,  $\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^k}{k!}$  goes towards  $e^{-1} = 1/e$  as  $n$  goes to infinity. Which explains why (as we claimed above)  $D(n) \approx n!/e$  as  $n$  grows large.

In fact, one can do even better, and show that  $D(n)$  is always the closest integer to  $n!/e$  (the latter is never an integer).

**Was I supposed to come up with it by myself?** This goes beyond the type of homework questions we are going to ask – but it is entirely solvable with the tools from class. The problem was first posed by Pierre Raymond de Montmort, a French mathematician, in 1708. He only solved it in 1713, and so did Nicholas Bernoulli, a Swiss mathematician, and one out of many Bernoullis who are famous mathematicians.