

CSE 311 Section 2

Quantifiers and Proofs

Administrivia & Introductions



Announcements & Reminders

- HW1 out
 - If you think something was graded incorrectly, submit a regrade request!
 - Regrades generally will be open for a week
- HW2 is due Monday 1/26 on Gradescope
 - Use a late day if you need to!
 - Gradescope: Make sure you select the pages for each question correctly
 - **!! Selecting the pages after the deadline won't mark it as late**

Formal Proofs



Inference Rules to Remember

Direct Proof

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B}{\therefore A \rightarrow B}$$

Modus Ponens

$$\frac{A \quad A \rightarrow B}{\therefore B}$$

Tautology

$$\frac{A \equiv \top}{\therefore A}$$

Intro \wedge

$$\frac{A \quad B}{\therefore A \wedge B}$$

Elim \wedge

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{\therefore A \quad B}$$

Equivalent

$$\frac{A \equiv B \quad B}{\therefore A}$$

Intro \vee

$$\frac{A}{\therefore A \vee B \quad B \vee A}$$

Elim \vee

$$\frac{A \vee B \quad \neg A}{\therefore B}$$

Proof By Cases

$$\frac{A \vee B \quad A \rightarrow C \quad B \rightarrow C}{\therefore C}$$

Relevant Rules for Problem 1b

Elim \wedge

$$\frac{A \wedge B}{\therefore A \quad B}$$

Proof By Cases

$$\frac{A \vee B \quad A \rightarrow C \quad B \rightarrow C}{\therefore C}$$

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

Lets get setup:

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

Lets get setup:

1. $a \rightarrow b$ Given

2. $c \rightarrow b$ Given

3. $a \vee (c \wedge d)$ Given

b

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

Initial observation:

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------|-------|
| 1. | $a \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 2. | $c \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 3. | $a \vee (c \wedge d)$ | Given |

b

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

Initial observation:

if we get a or c ,

then we can get to b

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------|-------|
| 1. | $a \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 2. | $c \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 3. | $a \vee (c \wedge d)$ | Given |

b

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

We can work a step back!

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------|-------|
| 1. | $a \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 2. | $c \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 3. | $a \vee (c \wedge d)$ | Given |

$$(a \vee c)$$

$$b$$

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

What is this called?

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------|-------|
| 1. | $a \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 2. | $c \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 3. | $a \vee (c \wedge d)$ | Given |

$$(a \vee c)$$

$$b$$

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

What is this called?

1. $a \rightarrow b$

Given

2. $c \rightarrow b$

Given

3. $a \vee (c \wedge d)$

Given

$$(a \vee c)$$

$$b$$

Cases: \times 1, 2

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

How can we get

$(a \vee c)$

1. $a \rightarrow b$

Given

2. $c \rightarrow b$

Given

3. $a \vee (c \wedge d)$

Given

$(a \vee c)$

b

Cases: \times 1, 2

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

Distributivity!

1. $a \rightarrow b$

Given

2. $c \rightarrow b$

Given

3. $a \vee (c \wedge d)$

Given

4. $(a \vee c) \wedge (a \vee d)$

Distributivity: 3

5. $(a \vee c)$

6. b

Cases: \times 1, 2

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

What's missing?

1. $a \rightarrow b$

Given

2. $c \rightarrow b$

Given

3. $a \vee (c \wedge d)$

Given

4. $(a \vee c) \wedge (a \vee d)$

Distributivity: 3

5. $(a \vee c)$

6. b

Cases: \times 1, 2

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

We did it!

1. $a \rightarrow b$

Given

2. $c \rightarrow b$

Given

3. $a \vee (c \wedge d)$

Given

4. $(a \vee c) \wedge (a \vee d)$

Distributivity: 3

5. $(a \vee c)$

Elim \wedge : 4

6. b

Cases: 5, 1, 2

Problem 1b - Formal Proof

Given $(a \rightarrow b)$, $(c \rightarrow b)$, $a \vee (c \wedge d)$, show that b holds.

- | | | |
|----|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| 1. | $a \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 2. | $c \rightarrow b$ | Given |
| 3. | $a \vee (c \wedge d)$ | Given |
| 4. | $(a \vee c) \wedge (a \vee d)$ | Distributivity: 3 |
| 5. | $(a \vee c)$ | Elim \wedge : 4 |
| 6. | b | Cases: 5, 1, 2 |

Direct Proofs



Direct Proof

$$\frac{A \Rightarrow B}{\quad}$$

$$\therefore A \rightarrow B$$

Introduce an assumption like a new variable when you are conducting an experiment...

You will typically need this new assumption because your Givens alone are not sufficient



Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

— — —

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

Just the setup:

- | | | |
|----|-------------------------------------|---------|
| 1. | $p \vee \neg q$ | [Given] |
| 2. | $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ | [Given] |
| 3. | $\neg s$ | [Given] |

$$r \rightarrow p$$

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude
if r then p ?

r does not exist alone...

(2) contains r but we
cannot elim or here...

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]

$$r \rightarrow p$$

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude
if r then p ?

r does not exist alone...

Could we assume r ?

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]

$$r \rightarrow p$$

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude
if r then p ?

r does not exist alone...

Could we assume r ?

Yes! Let's use **direct proof rule**!

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]

$$r \rightarrow p$$

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude p ?

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]
- 4.1. r [Assumption]

$$\frac{4 \quad \boxed{p}}{\boxed{r} \rightarrow \boxed{p}}$$

[Direct Proof Rule]

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude p?

Since we have r, can we use line 2?

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]
- 4.1. r [Assumption]

$$\begin{array}{c} 4 \\ \hline \text{r} \rightarrow \text{p} \end{array}$$

[Direct Proof Rule]

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude p ?

Since we have r , can we use line 2?
Almost! Let's create the left hand side of line 2

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]

- 4.1. r [Assumption]
- 4.2. $r \vee s$ [\vee intro, 4.1]

$$\frac{4 \quad \boxed{p}}{\boxed{r} \rightarrow \boxed{p}}$$

[Direct Proof Rule]

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude p ?

Next: Modus Ponens!

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]

2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]

3. $\neg s$ [Given]

4.1. r [Assumption]

4.2. $r \vee s$ [\vee intro, 4.1]

4. p

$r \rightarrow p$

[Direct Proof Rule]

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude p ?

Next: Modus Ponens!

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]

- 4.1. r [Assumption]
- 4.2. $r \vee s$ [\vee intro, 4.1]
- 4.3. $q \vee s$ [MP 4.2, 2]

4. p

$r \rightarrow p$

[Direct Proof Rule]

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude p?

We should use **q** to get to **p**...
How can we get **q** alone?

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]

- 4.1. r [Assumption]
- 4.2. $r \vee s$ [\vee intro, 4.1]
- 4.3. $q \vee s$ [MP 4.2, 2]

4. p [\vee elim, 4.5, 1]

$r \rightarrow p$ [Direct Proof Rule]

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude p?

We should use q to get to p...

use elim or!

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]

- 4.1. r [Assumption]
- 4.2. $r \vee s$ [\vee intro, 4.1]
- 4.3. $q \vee s$ [MP 4.2, 2]
- 4.4. q [\vee elim, 4.3, 3]

4. p

$r \rightarrow p$

[Direct Proof Rule]

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude p?

We should use **q** to get to p...

use double negation!

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]
- 4.1. r [Assumption]
- 4.2. $r \vee s$ [\vee intro, 4.1]
- 4.3. $q \vee s$ [MP 4.2, 2]
- 4.4. q [\vee elim, 4.3, 3]
- 4.5. $\neg \neg q$ [double negation, 4.4]
4. p
- $r \rightarrow p$ [Direct Proof Rule]

Problem 2b

Show that $r \rightarrow p$ follows from $p \vee \neg q$, $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$, and $\neg s$.

How do we conclude p?

We should use **q** to get to p...

now we can use line 1!

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]

4.1. r [Assumption]

4.2. $r \vee s$ [\vee intro, 4.1]

4.3. $q \vee s$ [MP 4.2, 2]

4.4. q [\vee elim, 4.3, 3]

4.5. $\neg \neg q$ [double negation, 4.4]

4.6. p [\vee elim, 4.5, 1]

$r \rightarrow p$

[Direct Proof Rule]

Problem 2b

1. $p \vee \neg q$ [Given]
2. $(r \vee s) \rightarrow (q \vee s)$ [Given]
3. $\neg s$ [Given]
 - 4.1. r [Assumption]
 - 4.2. $r \vee s$ [\vee intro, 4.1]
 - 4.3. $q \vee s$ [MP 4.2, 2]
 - 4.4. q [\vee elim, 4.3, 3]
 - 4.5. $\neg \neg q$ [double negation, 4.4]
 - 4.6. p [\vee elim, 4.5, 1]
4. $r \rightarrow p$ [Direct Proof Rule]

Predicate Logic Proofs



Predicate Logic Inference Rules

Elim \forall

$$\frac{\forall x, P(x)}{\therefore P(a) \text{ for any object } a}$$

Intro \forall

$$\frac{\text{Let } a \text{ be arbitrary } \Rightarrow P(a)}{\therefore \forall x, P(x)}$$

Elim \exists

$$\frac{\exists x, P(x)}{\therefore P(c) \text{ for a new name } c}$$

Intro \exists

$$\frac{P(c) \text{ for some } c}{\therefore \exists x, P(x)}$$

Problem 3a

Given $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$, we wish to prove $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$.

The following formal proof does this, but it is missing explanations for each line. Fill in the blanks with inference rules or equivalences to apply (as well as the line numbers) to complete the proof.

- | | |
|--|-------|
| 1. $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$ | _____ |
| 2.1. $\exists x T(x)$ | _____ |
| 2.2. $T(c)$ | _____ |
| 2.3. $T(c) \rightarrow M(c)$ | _____ |
| 2.4. $M(c)$ | _____ |
| 2.5. $\exists y M(y)$ | _____ |
| 2. $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$ | _____ |

Problem 3a

Given $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$, we wish to prove $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$.

The following formal proof does this, but it is missing explanations for each line. Fill in the blanks with inference rules or equivalences to apply (as well as the line numbers) to complete the proof.

1. $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$

Given

2.1. $\exists x T(x)$

2.2. $T(c)$

2.3. $T(c) \rightarrow M(c)$

2.4. $M(c)$

2.5. $\exists y M(y)$

2. $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$

Problem 3a

Given $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$, we wish to prove $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$.

The following formal proof does this, but it is missing explanations for each line. Fill in the blanks with inference rules or equivalences to apply (as well as the line numbers) to complete the proof.

1. $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$

Given

2.1. $\exists x T(x)$

Assumption

2.2. $T(c)$

2.3. $T(c) \rightarrow M(c)$

2.4. $M(c)$

2.5. $\exists y M(y)$

2. $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$

Direct Proof: 2.1-2.5

Problem 3a

Given $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$, we wish to prove $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$.

The following formal proof does this, but it is missing explanations for each line. Fill in the blanks with inference rules or equivalences to apply (as well as the line numbers) to complete the proof.

1. $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$

Given

2.1. $\exists x T(x)$

Assumption

2.2. $T(c)$

Elim \exists : 2.1 (c: specific)

2.3. $T(c) \rightarrow M(c)$

2.4. $M(c)$

2.5. $\exists y M(y)$

2. $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$

Direct Proof: 2.1-2.5

Problem 3a

Given $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$, we wish to prove $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$.

The following formal proof does this, but it is missing explanations for each line. Fill in the blanks with inference rules or equivalences to apply (as well as the line numbers) to complete the proof.

1. $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$	Given
2.1. $\exists x T(x)$	Assumption
2.2. $T(c)$	Elim \exists : 2.1 (c: specific)
2.3. $T(c) \rightarrow M(c)$	Elim \forall : 1
2.4. $M(c)$	
2.5. $\exists y M(y)$	
2. $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$	Direct Proof: 2.1-2.5

Problem 3a

Given $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$, we wish to prove $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$.

The following formal proof does this, but it is missing explanations for each line. Fill in the blanks with inference rules or equivalences to apply (as well as the line numbers) to complete the proof.

1. $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$	Given
2.1. $\exists x T(x)$	Assumption
2.2. $T(c)$	Elim \exists : 2.1 (c: specific)
2.3. $T(c) \rightarrow M(c)$	Elim \forall : 1
2.4. $M(c)$	Modus Ponens: 2.2, 2.3
2.5. $\exists y M(y)$	
2. $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$	Direct Proof: 2.1-2.5

Problem 3a

Given $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$, we wish to prove $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$.

The following formal proof does this, but it is missing explanations for each line. Fill in the blanks with inference rules or equivalences to apply (as well as the line numbers) to complete the proof.

1. $\forall x (T(x) \rightarrow M(x))$	Given
2.1. $\exists x T(x)$	Assumption
2.2. $T(c)$	Elim \exists : 2.1 (c: specific)
2.3. $T(c) \rightarrow M(c)$	Elim \forall : 1
2.4. $M(c)$	Modus Ponens: 2.2, 2.3
2.5. $\exists y M(y)$	Intro \exists : 2.4
2. $(\exists x T(x)) \rightarrow (\exists y M(y))$	Direct Proof: 2.1-2.5

English Proofs



Writing a Proof (symbolically or in English)

- Don't just jump right in!
1. Look at the **claim**, and make sure you know:
 - What every word in the claim means
 - What the claim as a whole means
 2. Translate the claim in predicate logic.
 3. Next, write down the **Proof Skeleton**:
 - Where to **start**
 - What your **target** is
 -
 4. Then once you know what claim you are proving and your starting point and ending point, you can finally write the proof!

Helpful Tips for English Proofs

- Start by introducing your assumptions
 - Introduce variables with “let”
 - “Let x be an arbitrary prime number...”
 - Introduce assumptions with “suppose”
 - “Suppose that $y \in A \wedge y \notin B...$ ”
- When you supply a value for an existence proof, use “Consider”
 - “Consider $x = 2...$ ”
- **ALWAYS** state what type your variable is (integer, set, etc.)
- Universal Quantifier means variable must be arbitrary
- Existential Quantifier means variable can be specific

Problem 4

Let domain of discourse be the integers. Consider the following claim:

$$\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$$

In English, this says that, for any even integer x and odd integer y , the integer $x + y$ is odd.

- a) Write a **formal proof** that the claim holds.
- b) Translate your formal proof to an **English proof**.

Keep in mind that your proof will be read by a *human*, not a computer, so you should explain the algebra steps in more detail, whereas some of the predicate logic steps (e.g., Elim \exists) can be skipped.

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

Def of Even: 1.1.2

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

Def of Even: 1.1.2

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

Def of Even: 1.1.2

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

Def of Even: 1.1.2

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

Def of Even: 1.1.2

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

Def of Even: 1.1.2

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

Def of Even: 1.1.2

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4a

a) Write a formal proof that $\forall x \forall y ((\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y))$

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

Assumption

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

Def of Even: 1.1.2

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Direct Proof

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Intro \forall

Problem 4b

b) Translate the formal proof into an English proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Assumption

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Def of Even: 1.1.2

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

Direct Proof

Intro \forall

Let x and y be arbitrary integers

Since x and y were arbitrary, the claim holds

Problem 4b

b) Translate the formal proof into an English proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Assumption

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Def of Even: 1.1.2

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

Direct Proof

Intro \forall

Let x and y be arbitrary integers

Suppose x is even and y is odd

Since x and y were arbitrary, the claim holds

Problem 4b

b) Translate the formal proof into an English proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Assumption

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Def of Even: 1.1.2

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

Direct Proof

Intro \forall

Let x and y be arbitrary integers

Suppose x is even and y is odd

Since x and y were arbitrary, the claim holds

Problem 4b

b) Translate the formal proof into an English proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Assumption

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Def of Even: 1.1.2

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

Direct Proof

Intro \forall

Let x and y be arbitrary integers

Suppose x is even and y is odd

By def of even, $x = 2a$ for some integer a

Since x and y were arbitrary, the claim holds

Problem 4b

b) Translate the formal proof into an English proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Assumption

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Def of Even: 1.1.2

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

Direct Proof

Intro \forall

Let x and y be arbitrary integers

Suppose x is even and y is odd

By def of even, $x = 2a$ for some integer a

By def of odd, $y = 2b + 1$ for some integer b

Since x and y were arbitrary, the claim holds

Problem 4b

b) Translate the formal proof into an English proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Assumption

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Def of Even: 1.1.2

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

Direct Proof

Intro \forall

Let x and y be arbitrary integers

Suppose x is even and y is odd

By def of even, $x = 2a$ for some integer a

By def of odd, $y = 2b + 1$ for some integer b

Thus, $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

Since x and y were arbitrary, the claim holds

Problem 4b

b) Translate the formal proof into an English proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Assumption

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Def of Even: 1.1.2

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

Direct Proof

Intro \forall

Let x and y be arbitrary integers

Suppose x is even and y is odd

By def of even, $x = 2a$ for some integer a

By def of odd, $y = 2b + 1$ for some integer b

Thus, $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

Since integers are closed under addition, $a + b$ is an integer

Since x and y were arbitrary, the claim holds

Problem 4b

b) Translate the formal proof into an English proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary.

1.1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.2. $\text{Even}(x)$

1.1.3. $\text{Odd}(y)$

1.1.4. $\exists m, x = 2m$

1.1.5. $x = 2a$

1.1.6. $\exists n, y = 2n + 1$

1.1.7. $y = 2b + 1$

1.1.8. $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

1.1.9. $\exists k, x + y = 2k + 1$

1.1.10. $\text{Odd}(x + y)$

1.1. $\text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

1. $\forall x, \forall y, \text{Even}(x) \wedge \text{Odd}(y) \rightarrow \text{Odd}(x + y)$

Assumption

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Elim \wedge : 1.1.1

Def of Even: 1.1.2

Elim \exists : 1.1.4

Def of Odd: 1.1.3

Elim \exists : 1.1.6

Algebra: 1.1.5 1.1.7

Intro \exists : 1.1.8

Undef Odd: 1.1.9

Direct Proof

Intro \forall

Let x and y be arbitrary integers

Suppose x is even and y is odd

By def of even, $x = 2a$ for some integer a

By def of odd, $y = 2b + 1$ for some integer b

Thus, $x + y = 2(a + b) + 1$

Since integers are closed under addition, $a + b$ is an integer

By def of odd, $x + y$ is odd

Since x and y were arbitrary, the claim holds

Problem 4b

b) Translate the formal proof into an English proof.

Let x and y be arbitrary integers. Suppose x is even and y is odd.

By the definition of even, $x = 2a$ for some integer a , and by the definition of odd, $y = 2b + 1$ for some integer b . Thus, $x + y = 2a + 2b + 1 = 2(a + b) + 1$. As integers are closed under addition, $a + b$ is an integer such that $x + y$ is odd, by definition of odd.

Since x and y were arbitrary, we have proven the desired result.

That's All, Folks!

Thanks for coming to section this week!
Any questions?