CSE 311 Section 2

Logic and Equivalences



Administrivia & Introductions



Announcements & Reminders

- Sections are Graded
 - \circ You will be graded on section participation, so please try to come \odot
 - If you cannot attend you will need to submit ALL the section problems to gradescope
- HW1 due YESTERDAY (4/9) @ 11:00 PM on Gradescope
 - Homework is usually due **Wednesdays** @ **11:00pm**, released Thursday evening
 - Remember, you only have 3 late days to use throughout the quarter
 - You can use only 1 late days on any 1 assignment
- Check the course website for OH times!
- Concept Checks!
 - Absolute deadline on Thursdays @ 11:59 pm

Task 1: Gates

In this problem, we will represent NAND using function syntax, meaning NAND $(p,q) \equiv \neg (p \land q)$.

p	q	NAND(p,q)
Т	Т	F
Т	F	Т
F	Т	Т
F	F	Т

We can construct any gate using only NAND gates ¹. For example, we can construct NOT by using the same input for both sides of a NAND.











b) Show we can express $p \wedge q$ with only NAND gates by a chain of equivalences.

 $(p \land q) \equiv only \text{ NAND gates}$ $NAND(p,q) \equiv \neg (p \land q).$

$$\mathsf{NAND}(p,q) \equiv \neg (p \land q).$$

b) Show we can express $p \wedge q$ with only NAND gates by a chain of equivalences.

$$(p \land q) \equiv \neg (\neg (p \land q) \land \neg (p \land q))$$

$$\mathsf{NAND}(p,q) \equiv \neg (p \land q).$$

b) Show we can express $p \wedge q$ with only NAND gates by a chain of equivalences.

$$(p \land q) \equiv \neg (\neg (p \land q) \land \neg (p \land q))$$

So our goal is: <u>NAND((NAND(p,q), NAND(p,q))</u>

b) Show we can express $p \wedge q$ with only NAND gates by a chain of equivalences.

 $p \land q \equiv \neg \neg (p \land q)$

Double Negation

 $\equiv \mathsf{NAND}(\mathsf{NAND}(p,q),\mathsf{NAND}(p,q))$

Definition of NAND

b) Show we can express $p \wedge q$ with only NAND gates by a chain of equivalences.

 $p \wedge q \equiv \neg \neg (p \wedge q)$ $\equiv \neg \mathsf{NAND}(p,q)$

Double Negation Definition of NAND

 $\equiv NAND(NAND(p,q), NAND(p,q))$ Definition of NAND

b) Show we can express $p \wedge q$ with only NAND gates by a chain of equivalences.

 $p \wedge q \equiv \neg \neg (p \wedge q)$ $\equiv \neg \mathsf{NAND}(p,q)$ $\equiv \neg \mathsf{NAND}(p,q) \lor \neg \mathsf{NAND}(p,q)$ Double Negation Definition of NAND Idempotency

 $\equiv \mathsf{NAND}(\mathsf{NAND}(p,q),\mathsf{NAND}(p,q))$

Definition of NAND

b) Show we can express $p \wedge q$ with only NAND gates by a chain of equivalences.

 $p \land q \equiv \neg \neg (p \land q)$ $\equiv \neg \mathsf{NAND}(p,q)$ $\equiv \neg \mathsf{NAND}(p,q) \lor \neg \mathsf{NAND}(p,q)$ $\equiv \neg (\mathsf{NAND}(p,q) \land \mathsf{NAND}(p,q))$ $\equiv \mathsf{NAND}(\mathsf{NAND}(p,q), \mathsf{NAND}(p,q))$ Double NegationDefinition of NANDIdempotencyDe MorganDefinition of NAND

$\neg p \equiv \mathsf{NAND}(p,p)$

Task 1

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

 $(p v q) \equiv only NAND gates?$

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

Remember:

Demorgan's: $\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$

 $\neg p \equiv \mathsf{NAND}(p,p)$

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

Remember:

Demorgan's:
$$\neg$$
(**p** \lor **q**) \equiv \neg **p** \land \neg **q**

$$\neg p \equiv \mathsf{NAND}(p,p)$$

(p ∨ q) ≡ intuitively, how would you use these rules?

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

Remember:

Demorgan's: $\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$

 $\neg p \equiv \mathsf{NAND}(p,p)$

 $(p \lor q) \equiv \neg(\neg p \land \neg q)$

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

Remember:

Demorgan's:
$$\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

 $\neg p \equiv \mathsf{NAND}(p,p)$

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

Remember:

Demorgan's:
$$\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

 $\neg p \equiv \mathsf{NAND}(p,p)$

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

Remember:

Demorgan's:
$$\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

$$\neg p \equiv \mathsf{NAND}(p,p)$$

 $(p \lor q) \equiv \neg(\neg p \land \neg q)$ What is this step called? $\equiv NAND(\neg p, \neg q)$ $\equiv NAND(NAND(p,p) \land NAND(q,q))$

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

Remember:

Demorgan's:
$$\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

$$\neg p \equiv \mathsf{NAND}(p,p)$$

 $\begin{array}{l} (p \lor q) \equiv \neg(\neg p \land \neg q) & \text{This is actually double negation and then} \\ \equiv NAND(\neg p, \neg q) & \text{Demorgan's!} \\ \equiv NAND(NAND(p,p) \land NAND(q,q)) \end{array}$

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

 $p \lor q \equiv \neg \neg (p \lor q)$

Double Negation

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

 $p \lor q \equiv \neg \neg (p \lor q)$ $\equiv \neg (\neg p \land \neg q)$

Double Negation De Morgan

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

 $p \lor q \equiv \neg \neg (p \lor q)$ $\equiv \neg (\neg p \land \neg q)$ $\equiv \mathsf{NAND}(\neg p, \neg q)$

Double Negation De Morgan Definition of NAND

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

 $p \lor q \equiv \neg \neg (p \lor q)$ $\equiv \neg (\neg p \land \neg q)$ $\equiv \mathsf{NAND}(\neg p, \neg q)$ $\equiv \mathsf{NAND}(\mathsf{NAND}(p, p), \neg q)$

Double Negation De Morgan Definition of NAND Part a

c) Use what we learned in (a) plus Double Negation to write an expression for $p \lor q$. Explain in English why your expression works.

 $p \lor q \equiv \neg \neg (p \lor q)$ $\equiv \neg (\neg p \land \neg q)$ $\equiv \mathsf{NAND}(\neg p, \neg q)$ $\equiv \mathsf{NAND}(\mathsf{NAND}(p, p), \neg q)$ $\equiv \mathsf{NAND}(\mathsf{NAND}(p, p), \mathsf{NAND}(q, q))$ Double Negation
De Morgan
Definition of NAND
Part a

Task 3: Symbolic Proofs



b) $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$

is this true?

b) $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$ Yes! Truth values match!



b)
$$\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$$

These identities hold for all propositions p, q, r

- Identity
 - $p \wedge T \equiv p$
- Domination

- Associative
 - $(p \lor q) \lor r \equiv p \lor (q \lor r)$
 - $(p \land q) \land r \equiv p \land (q \land r)$
- Distributive
 - $p \land (q \lor r) \equiv (p \land q) \lor (p \land r)$
 - $p \lor (q \land r) \equiv (p \lor q) \land (p \lor r)$
- Absorption
 - $p \lor (p \land q) \equiv p$
 - $p \land (p \lor q) \equiv p$
- Negation
- $p \lor \neg p \equiv T$

DeMorgan's Laws

•
$$\neg(p \lor q) \equiv \neg p \land \neg q$$

•
$$\neg (p \land q) \equiv \neg p \lor \neg q$$

- Double Negation
 - $\neg \neg p \equiv p$
- Law of Implication
 - $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg p \lor q$
- Contrapositive

• $p \rightarrow q \equiv \neg q \rightarrow \neg p$

Remember these identities!

- $p \lor F \equiv p$
 - $p \lor T \equiv T$
 - $p \wedge F \equiv F$
- Idempotent
- Commutative
 - $p \lor q \equiv q \lor p$
 - $p \land q \equiv q \land p$ $p \land \neg p \equiv F$

- - - $p \lor p \equiv p$
 - $p \land p \equiv p$

b) $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$

b) $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$

$$eg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv \neg \neg p \lor (q \rightarrow r)$$
 Law of Implication

$$= \neg q \lor (p \lor r) = q \to (p \lor r)$$

b) $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$

$$\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \qquad \equiv \ \neg \neg p \lor (q \rightarrow r) \\ \equiv \ p \lor (q \rightarrow r)$$

Law of Implication Double Negation

$$= \neg q \lor (p \lor r) \equiv q \to (p \lor r)$$

b) $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$

$$\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \qquad \equiv \ \neg \neg p \lor (q \rightarrow r) \\ \equiv \ p \lor (q \rightarrow r) \\ \equiv \ p \lor (\neg q \lor r)$$

Law of Implication Double Negation Law of Implication

$$= \neg q \lor (p \lor r)$$
$$= q \to (p \lor r)$$

b) $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$

$$\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv \neg \neg p \lor (q \rightarrow r)$$
$$\equiv p \lor (q \rightarrow r)$$
$$\equiv p \lor (\neg q \lor r)$$
$$\equiv (p \lor \neg q) \lor r$$
$$\equiv \neg q \lor (p \lor r)$$
$$\equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$$

Law of Implication Double Negation Law of Implication Associativity

b) $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$

$$\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$$

$$= \neg \neg p \lor (q \to r)$$

$$= p \lor (q \to r)$$

$$= p \lor (\neg q \lor r)$$

$$= (p \lor \neg q) \lor r$$

$$= (\neg q \lor p) \lor r$$

$$= \neg q \lor (p \lor r)$$

$$= q \to (p \lor r)$$

Law of Implication Double Negation Law of Implication Associativity Commutativity

b) $\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r) \equiv q \rightarrow (p \lor r)$

$$\neg p \rightarrow (q \rightarrow r)$$

$$= \neg \neg p \lor (q \to r)$$

$$= p \lor (q \to r)$$

$$= p \lor (\neg q \lor r)$$

$$= (p \lor \neg q) \lor r$$

$$= (\neg q \lor p) \lor r$$

$$= \neg q \lor (p \lor r)$$

$$= q \to (p \lor r)$$

Law of Implication Double Negation Law of Implication Associativity Commutativity Associativity Law of Implication

Task 5: Translate to English



Translate these system specifications into English where F(p) is "Printer p is out of service", B(p) is "Printer p is busy", L(j) is "Print job j is lost," and Q(j) is "Print job j is queued". Let the domain be all printers and all print jobs.

a) $\exists p \ (F(p) \land B(p)) \to \exists j \ L(j)$

b) $(\forall j \ B(j)) \rightarrow (\exists p \ Q(p))$

Translate these system specifications into English where F(p) is "Printer p is out of service", B(p) is "Printer p is busy", L(j) is "Print job j is lost," and Q(j) is "Print job j is queued". Let the domain be all printers and all print jobs.

a) $\exists p \ (F(p) \land B(p)) \rightarrow \exists j \ L(j)$

If at least one printer is busy and out of service, then at least one job is lost.

b) $(\forall j \ B(j)) \rightarrow (\exists p \ Q(p))$

Translate these system specifications into English where F(p) is "Printer p is out of service", B(p) is "Printer p is busy", L(j) is "Print job j is lost," and Q(j) is "Print job j is queued". Let the domain be all printers and all print jobs.

a) $\exists p \ (F(p) \land B(p)) \rightarrow \exists j \ L(j)$

If at least one printer is busy and out of service, then at least one job is lost.

b) $(\forall j \ B(j)) \rightarrow (\exists p \ Q(p))$

If all printers are busy, then there is a queued job.

Task 6: Translate to Logic





Express each of these system specifications using predicates, quantifiers, and logical connectives. For some of these problems, more than one translation will be reasonable depending on your choice of predicates.

a) Every user has access to an electronic mailbox.

b) The system mailbox can be accessed by everyone in the group if the file system is locked.

Express each of these system specifications using predicates, quantifiers, and logical connectives. For some of these problems, more than one translation will be reasonable depending on your choice of predicates.

a) Every user has access to an electronic mailbox.

Let the domain be users and mailboxes. Let User(x) be "x is a user", let Mailbox(y) be "y is a mailbox", and let Access(x, y) be "x has access to y".

Express each of these system specifications using predicates, quantifiers, and logical connectives. For some of these problems, more than one translation will be reasonable depending on your choice of predicates.

a) Every user has access to an electronic mailbox.

Let the domain be users and mailboxes. Let User(x) be "x is a user", let Mailbox(y) be "y is a mailbox", and let Access(x, y) be "x has access to y".

 $\forall x \; (\texttt{User}(x) \rightarrow (\exists y \; (\texttt{Mailbox}(y) \land \texttt{Access}(x, y))))$

Express each of these system specifications using predicates, quantifiers, and logical connectives. For some of these problems, more than one translation will be reasonable depending on your choice of predicates.

b) The system mailbox can be accessed by everyone in the group if the file system is locked.

Express each of these system specifications using predicates, quantifiers, and logical connectives. For some of these problems, more than one translation will be reasonable depending on your choice of predicates.

b) The system mailbox can be accessed by everyone in the group if the file system is locked.

Let the domain be people and mailboxes and use Access(x, y) as defined in the solution to part (a), and then also add InGroup(x) for "x is in the group", and let SystemMailBox be the name for the system mailbox. Then the translation becomes

FileSystemLocked $\rightarrow \forall x (\text{InGroup}(x) \rightarrow \text{Access}(x, \text{SystemMailBox})).$

Express each of these system specifications using predicates, quantifiers, and logical connectives. For some of these problems, more than one translation will be reasonable depending on your choice of predicates.

b) The system mailbox can be accessed by everyone in the group if the file system is locked.

Let the domain be people in the group. Let CanAccessSM(x) be "x has access to the system mailbox". Let p be the proposition "the file system is locked."

 $p \rightarrow \forall x \; \texttt{CanAccessSM}(x).$

That's All, Folks!

Thanks for coming to section this week! Any questions?

Task 4: CNF and Simplification



b) Write the **CNF** expressions for G(A,B,C)

Work on part (b) with the people around you, and then we'll go over it together!

Α	B	С	<i>G(A,B,C)</i>
1	1	1	1
1	1	0	1
1	0	1	1
1	0	0	0
0	1	1	1
0	1	0	0
0	0	1	1
0	0	0	0



(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

Identity	Domination
$A \wedge T \equiv A$	$A \lor T \equiv T$
$A \lor F \equiv A$	$A \wedge F \equiv F$

Idempotency	Commutativity
$A \lor A \equiv A$	$A \lor B \equiv B \lor A$
$A \wedge A \equiv A$	$A \wedge B \equiv B \wedge A$

Associativity	l ſ	D
$(A \lor B) \lor C \equiv A \lor (B \lor C)$		
$(A \land B) \land C \equiv A \land (B \land C)$		

Distributivity	
$A \wedge (B \vee C) \equiv (A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge C)$	
$A \vee (B \wedge C) \equiv (A \vee B) \wedge (A \vee C)$	

Absorption	Negation
$A \lor (A \land B) \equiv A$	$A \vee \neg A \equiv T$
$A \land (A \lor B) \equiv A$	$A \wedge \neg A \equiv F$

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)(A + B + C)

Idempotency

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

Idempotency Commutativity x 2

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)

Idempotency Commutativity x 2 Commutativity x 2

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)(A + B + C)

- = (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)
- = (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)

Idempotency Commutativity x 2 Commutativity x 2

= (B + C + A')(B + C + A)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)

Commutativity x 2

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)= (B + C + A')(B + C + A)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)= $[(B + C) + (A' \cdot A)][(A + C) + (B' \cdot B)]$

Idempotency Commutativity x 2 Commutativity x 2 Commutativity x 2 Distributivity x2

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)= (B + C + A')(B + C + A)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)= $[(B + C) + (A' \cdot A)][(A + C) + (B' \cdot B)]$ = [(B + C) + 0][(A + C) + 0]

Idempotency Commutativity x 2 Commutativity x 2 Commutativity x 2 Distributivity x2 Negation x 2

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= [B + C][A + C]

= (A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)= (B + C + A')(B + C + A)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)= $[(B + C) + (A' \cdot A)][(A + C) + (B' \cdot B)]$ = [(B + C) + 0][(A + C) + 0]

Commutativity × 2 Commutativity × 2 Commutativity × 2 Distributivity ×2 Negation × 2 Identity

Idempotency

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)= (B + C + A')(B + C + A)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)= $[(B + C) + (A' \cdot A)][(A + C) + (B' \cdot B)]$ = [(B + C) + 0][(A + C) + 0]

- = [B+C][A+C]
- = [C+B][C+A]

Idempotency Commutativity x 2 Commutativity x 2 Commutativity x 2 Distributivity x2 Negation x 2 Identity Commutativity

(A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)

= (A' + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + B' + C)(A + B + C)= (A' + B + C)(A + B + C)(A + C + B')(A + C + B)= (B + C + A')(B + C + A)(A + C + B')(A + C + B) $= [(B + C) + (A' \cdot A)][(A + C) + (B' \cdot B)]$ = [(B + C) + 0][(A + C) + 0]= [B + C][A + C]= [C + B][C + A] $= C + B \cdot A$

Idempotency Commutativity $\times 2$ Commutativity $\times 2$ Commutativity $\times 2$ Distributivity x2 Negation $\times 2$ Identity Commutativity Distributivity