Section 07: Induction

1. Induction with Inequality

Prove that $6n + 6 < 2^n$ for all integers $n \geq 6$.

2. Induction with Formulas

These problems are a little more difficult and abstract. Try making sure you can do all the other problems before trying these ones.

(a) (i) Show that given two sets A and B that $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$. (Don't use induction.)

(ii) Show using induction that for an integer $n \geq 2$, given n sets $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{n-1}, A_n$ that

$$\overline{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \cdots \cup A_{n-1} \cup A_n} = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2} \cap \cdots \cap \overline{A_{n-1}} \cap \overline{A_n}$$

(b) (i) Show that given any integers a, b, and c, if $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, then $c \mid (a + b)$. (Don't use induction.)

(ii) Show using induction that for any integer $n \geq 2$, given n numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1}, a_n$, for any integer c such that $c \mid a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, that

$$c \mid (a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_{n-1} + a_n).$$

In other words, if a number divides each term in a sum then that number divides the sum.

3. Cantelli's Rabbits

Xavier Cantelli owns some rabbits. The number of rabbits he has in any given year is described by the function f:

$$f(0) = 0$$
$$f(1) = 1$$
$$f(n) = 2f(n-1) - f(n-2) \text{ for } n \geq 2$$

Determine, with proof, the number, $f(n)$, of rabbits that Cantelli owns in year n. That is, construct a formula for $f(n)$ and prove its correctness.

4. A Horse of a Different Color

Did you know that all dogs are named Dubs? It's true. Maybe. Let's prove it by induction. The key is talking about groups of dogs, where every dog has the same name.

Let $P(i)$ mean "all groups of i dogs have the same name." We prove $\forall n\ P(n)$ by induction on n.

Base Case: $P(1)$ Take an arbitrary group of one dog, all dogs in that group all have the same name (there's only the one, so it has the same name as itself).

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(k)$ holds for some arbitrary k.

Inductive Step: Consider an arbitrary group of $k+1$ dogs. Arbitrarily select a dog, D, and remove it from the group. What remains is a group of k dogs. By inductive hypothesis, all k of those dogs have the same name. Add D back to the group, and remove some other dog D'. We have a (different) group of k dogs, so the inductive hypothesis applies again, and every dog in that group also shares the same name. All $k+1$ dogs appeared in at least one of the two groups, and our groups overlapped, so all of our $k+1$ dogs have the same name, as required.

Conclusion: We conclude $P(n)$ holds for all n by the principle of induction.

Recalling that Dubs is a dog, we have that every dog must have the same name as him, so every dog is named Dubs.

This proof cannot be correct (the proposed claim is false). Where is the bug?

5. Structural Induction

(a) Consider the following recursive definition of strings.

Basis Step: "" is a string

Recursive Step: If X is a string and c is a character then $\mathsf{append}(c, X)$ is a string.

Recall the following recursive definition of the function len:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{len}(\texttt{""}) &= 0 \\ \mathsf{len}(\mathsf{append}(c, X)) &= 1 + \mathsf{len}(X) \end{aligned}$$

Now, consider the following recursive definition:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{double}(\texttt{""}) &= \texttt{""} \\ \mathsf{double}(\mathsf{append}(c, X)) &= \mathsf{append}(c, \mathsf{append}(c, \mathsf{double}(X))). \end{aligned}$$

Prove that for any string X, $\mathsf{len}(\mathsf{double}(X)) = 2\mathsf{len}(X)$.

(b) Consider the following definition of a (binary) **Tree**:

Basis Step: $\bullet$ is a **Tree**.

Recursive Step: If L is a **Tree** and R is a **Tree** then $\texttt{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)$ is a **Tree**.

The function leaves returns the number of leaves of a **Tree**. It is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{leaves}(\bullet) &= 1 \\ \mathsf{leaves}(\texttt{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)) &= \mathsf{leaves}(L) + \mathsf{leaves}(R) \end{aligned}$$

Also, recall the definition of size on trees:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{size}(\bullet) &= 1 \\ \mathsf{size}(\texttt{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)) &= 1 + \mathsf{size}(L) + \mathsf{size}(R) \end{aligned}$$

Prove that $\mathsf{leaves}(T) \geq \mathsf{size}(T)/2 + 1/2$ for all Trees T.

(c) Prove the previous claim using strong induction. Define $P(n)$ as "all trees T of size n satisfy $\mathsf{leaves}(T) \geq \mathsf{size}(T)/2 + 1/2$". You may use the following facts:

- For any tree T we have $\mathsf{size}(T) \geq 1$.
- For any tree T, $\mathsf{size}(T) = 1$ if and only if $T = \bullet$.

If we wanted to prove these claims, we could do so by structural induction.

Note, in the inductive step you should start by letting T be an arbitrary tree of size $k+1$.

6. Recursively Defined Sets of Strings

For each of the following, write a recursive definition of the sets satisfying the following properties. Briefly justify that your solution is correct.

(a) Binary strings of even length.

(b) Binary strings not containing 10.

(c) Binary strings not containing 10 as a substring and having at least as many 1s as 0s.

(d) Binary strings containing at most two 0s and at most two 1s.

7. Walk the Dawgs

Suppose a dog walker takes care of $n \geq 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 or 7.

8. For All

For this problem, we'll see an incorrect use of induction. For this problem, we'll think of all of the following as binary trees:

- A single node.
- A root node, with a left child that is the root of a binary tree (and no right child)
- A root node, with a right child that is the root of a binary tree (and no left child)
- A root node, with both left and right children that are roots of binary trees.

Let $P(n)$ be "for all trees of height n, the tree has an odd number of nodes"

Take a moment to realize this claim is false.

Now let's see an incorrect proof:

We'll prove $P(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on n.

Base Case ($n = 0$): There is only one tree of height 0, a single node. It has one node, and $1 = 2 \cdot 0 + 1$, which is an odd number of nodes.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose $P(i)$ holds for $i = 0, ..., k$, for some arbitrary $k \geq 0$.

Inductive Step: Let T be an arbitrary tree of height k. All trees with nodes (and since $k \geq 0$, T has at least one node) have a leaf node. Add a left child and right child to a leaf (pick arbitrarily if there's more than one), This tree now has height $k + 1$ (since T was height k and we added children below). By IH, T had an odd number of nodes, call it $2j + 1$ for some integer j. Now we have added two more, so our new tree has $2j + 1 + 2 = 2(j + 1) + 1$ nodes. Since j was an integer, so is $j + 1$, and our new tree has an odd number of nodes, as required, so $P(k + 1)$ holds.

By the principle of induction, $P(n)$ holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since every tree has an (integer) height of 0 or more, every tree is included in some $P()$, so the claim holds for all trees.

(a) What is the bug in the proof?

(b) What should the starting point and target of the IS be (you can't write a full proof, as the claim is false).