CSE 311 Section 07

Strong & Structural Induction

Announcements & Reminders

- Midterm
 - Good job!
 - \circ Please don't talk about the midterm!! Not everyone has taken it yet \odot
- HW6
 - Due Wednesday 5/15 @ 11:59pm

Strong Induction



Suppose that a dog walker takes care of $n \ge 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove that the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

Suppose that a dog walker takes care of $n \ge 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove that the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

Let P(n) be "a group with n dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs." We will prove P(n) for all natural numbers $n \ge 12$ by strong induction.

Suppose that a dog walker takes care of $n \ge 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove that the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

Let P(n) be "a group with n dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs." We will prove P(n) for all natural numbers $n \ge 12$ by strong induction.

Base Cases n = 12, 13, 14, or 15: 12 = 3 + 3 + 3 + 3, 13 = 3 + 7 + 3, 14 = 7 + 7, So P(12), P(13), and P(14) hold.

Suppose that a dog walker takes care of $n \ge 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove that the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

Let P(n) be "a group with n dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs." We will prove P(n) for all natural numbers $n \ge 12$ by strong induction.

Base Cases n = 12, 13, 14, or 15: 12 = 3 + 3 + 3 + 3, 13 = 3 + 7 + 3, 14 = 7 + 7, So P(12), P(13), and P(14) hold.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that $P(12), \ldots, P(k)$ hold for some arbitrary $k \ge 14$.

Suppose that a dog walker takes care of $n \ge 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove that the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

Let P(n) be "a group with n dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs." We will prove P(n) for all natural numbers $n \ge 12$ by strong induction.

Base Cases n = 12, 13, 14, or 15: 12 = 3 + 3 + 3 + 3, 13 = 3 + 7 + 3, 14 = 7 + 7, So P(12), P(13), and P(14) hold.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that P(12), . . . , P(k) hold for some arbitrary $k \ge 14$.

Inductive Step: Goal: Show k + 1 dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

Suppose that a dog walker takes care of $n \ge 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove that the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

Let P(n) be "a group with n dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs." We will prove P(n) for all natural numbers $n \ge 12$ by strong induction.

Base Cases n = 12, 13, 14, or 15: 12 = 3 + 3 + 3 + 3, 13 = 3 + 7 + 3, 14 = 7 + 7, So P(12), P(13), and P(14) hold.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that P(12), . . . , P(k) hold for some arbitrary $k \ge 14$.

Inductive Step: Goal: Show k + 1 dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

We first form one group of 3 dogs out of the k + 1 dogs.

Suppose that a dog walker takes care of $n \ge 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove that the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

Let P(n) be "a group with n dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs." We will prove P(n) for all natural numbers $n \ge 12$ by strong induction.

Base Cases n = 12, 13, 14, or 15: 12 = 3 + 3 + 3 + 3, 13 = 3 + 7 + 3, 14 = 7 + 7, So P(12), P(13), and P(14) hold.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that $P(12), \ldots, P(k)$ hold for some arbitrary $k \ge 14$.

Inductive Step: Goal: Show k + 1 dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

We first form one group of 3 dogs out of the k + 1 dogs. Then we can divide the remaining k - 2 dogs into groups of 3 or 7 by the assumption P(k - 2). (Note that $k \ge 14$ and so $k - 2 \ge 12$; thus, P(k - 2) is among our assumptions $P(12), \ldots, P(k)$.)

Suppose that a dog walker takes care of $n \ge 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove that the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

Let P(n) be "a group with n dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs." We will prove P(n) for all natural numbers $n \ge 12$ by strong induction.

Base Cases n = 12, 13, 14, or 15: 12 = 3 + 3 + 3 + 3, 13 = 3 + 7 + 3, 14 = 7 + 7, So P(12), P(13), and P(14) hold.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that $P(12), \ldots, P(k)$ hold for some arbitrary $k \ge 14$.

Inductive Step: Goal: Show k + 1 dogs can be split into groups of 3 dogs or 7 dogs.

We first form one group of 3 dogs out of the k + 1 dogs. Then we can divide the remaining k - 2 dogs into groups of 3 or 7 by the assumption P(k - 2). (Note that $k \ge 14$ and so $k - 2 \ge 12$; thus, P(k - 2) is among our assumptions $P(12), \ldots, P(k)$.)

Conclusion: P(n) holds for all integers $n \ge 12$ by by principle of strong induction.

Structural Induction



Idea of Structural Induction

Every element is built up recursively...

```
So to show P(s) for all s \in S...
```

Show P(b) for all base case elements b.

Show for an arbitrary element not in the base case, if P() holds for every named element in the recursive rule, then P() holds for the new element (each recursive rule will be a case of this proof).

Structural Induction Template

Let P(x) be "<predicate>". We show P(x) holds for all $x \in S$ by structural induction.

```
Base Case: Show P(x)
[Do that for every base cases x in S.]
```

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(x) for an arbitrary x [Do that for every x listed as in S in the recursive rules.]

Inductive Step: Show *P*() holds for *y*. [You will need a separate case/step for every recursive rule.]

Therefore P(x) holds for all $x \in S$ by the principle of induction.

Problem 2 - Structural Induction on Strings

Definition of string: <u>Basis Step:</u> "" is a string. <u>Recursive Step:</u> If X is a string and c is a character then append(c, X) is a string.

Definition of len(): len("") = 0 len(append(c, X)) = 1 + len(X)

```
Definition of double():
double("") = ""
double(append(c, X)) = append(c, append(c,
double(X)))
```

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X).

Problem 2 - Structural Induction on Strings For $x \in S$, let P(x) be "".

We show P(x) holds for all $x \in S$ by structural induction on x.

<u>Base Case</u>: Show P(x) (for all x in the basis rules)

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(x) (for all x in the recursive rules), i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case</u>: Show P(x) (for all x in the basis rules)

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(x) (for all x in the recursive rules), i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case</u>: Show P(x) (for all x in the basis rules)

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(x) (for all x in the recursive rules), i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, $len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2len("")$, so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(x) (for all x in the recursive rules), i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, $len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2len("")$, so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, $len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2len("")$, so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2 len(""), so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(append(c, X)) for any c: len(double(append(c, X))) = 2(len(append(c, X)))

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, $len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2len("")$, so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(append(c, X)) for any c: len(double(append(c, X))) = 2(len(append(c, X)))

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, $len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2len("")$, so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(append(c, X)) for any c: len(double(append(c, X))) = 2(len(append(c, X))) len(double(append(c, X))) =

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, $len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2len("")$, so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(append(c, X)) for any c: len(double(append(c, X))) = 2(len(append(c, X))) len(double(append(c, X))) = len(append(c, append(c, double(X)))) definition of double

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, $len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2len("")$, so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

Inductive Step:Goal: Show P(append(c, X)) for any c: len(double(append(c, X))) =2(len(append(c, X)))len(double(append(c, X))) = len(append(c, append(c, double(X))))definition of double= 1 + len(append(c, double(X)))definition of len

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, $len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2len("")$, so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2 len(""), so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, $len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2len("")$, so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(append(c, X)) for any c: len(double(append(c, X))) = 2(len(append(c, X)))

len(double(append(c, X))) = len(append(c, append(c, double(X)))) defin= 1 + len(append(c, double(X))) defin= 1 + 1 + len(double(X)) defin= 2 + 2len(X) by l.

 $= 2 + 2 \ln(x)$ = 2(1 + len(X)) definition of double definition of len definition of len by I.H.

Problem 2 - Structural Induction on Strings

For a string X, let P(X) be "len(double(X)) = 2len(X)". We prove P(X) for all strings X by structural induction on X Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2 len(""), so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(append(c, X)) for any c: len(double(append(c, X))) = 2(len(append(c, X)))

- = 1 + 1 + len(double(X))
- = 2 + 2 len(X)
- = 2(1 + len(X))
- = 2(len(append(c, X)))

definition of double definition of len definition of len by I.H.

definition of len

Problem 2 - Structural Induction on Strings

For a string X, let P(X) be "len(double(X)) = 2len(X)". We prove P(X) for all strings X by structural induction on X

<u>Base Case:</u> P(""): By definition, len(double("")) = len("") = 0 = 2 \cdot 0 = 2 len(""), so P("") holds

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(X) holds for some arbitrary string X, i.e. len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

<u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(append(c, X)) for any c: len(double(append(c, X))) = 2(len(append(c, X)))

len(double(append(c, X))) = len(append(c, append(c, double(X)))) definition= 1 + len(append(c, double(X))) definition= 1 + 1 + len(double(X)) definition= 2 + 2len(X) by I.H.= 2(1 + len(X))= 2(len(append(c, X))) definition

definition of double definition of len definition of len by I.H.

definition of len

So, P(append(c, X)) holds!

Conclusion: Therefore P(X) holds for all strings X by structural induction.

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X)

Problem 3 – Structural Induction on Trees

Definition of Tree: Basis Step: • is a Tree. Recursive Step: If L is a Tree and R is a Tree then Tree(•, L, R) is a Tree

Definition of leaves():Definition of size():leaves(\bullet) = 1size(\bullet) = 1leaves(Tree(\bullet , L, R)) = leaves(L) + leaves(R)size(Tree(\bullet , L, R)) = 1 + size(L) + size(R)

Prove that $leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2$ for all Trees T

Work on this problem with the people around you.

Problem 3 - Structural Induction on Treesove that leaves(T) ≥ size(T)/2 +

1/2 for all Trees T

For $x \in S$, let P(x) be "". We show P(x) holds for all $x \in S$ by structural induction on x.

<u>Base Case</u>: Show P(x) (for all x in the basis rules)

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(x) (for all x in the recursive rules), i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

Problem 3 - Structural Induction on Treesove that leaves(T) ≥ size(T)/2 +

1/2 for all Trees T

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(x) holds for all $x \in S$ by structural induction on x.

<u>Base Case</u>: Show P(x) (for all x in the basis rules)

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(x) (for all x in the recursive rules), i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

Problem 3 - Structural Induction on Treesove that leaves(T) ≥ size(T)/2 +

1/2 for all Trees T

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T.

<u>Base Case</u>: Show P(x) (for all x in the basis rules)

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(x) (for all x in the recursive rules), i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \geq size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

<u>Base Case</u>: $P(\bullet)$: By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1. So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(x) (for all x in the recursive rules), i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \geq size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

```
<u>Base Case</u>: P(\bullet): By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1.
So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.
```

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e. (IH in terms of P(x))

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \geq size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

```
<u>Base Case</u>: P(\bullet): By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1.
So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.
```

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

Inductive Step: Goal: Show that P(?) holds. (IS goal in terms of P(?))

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \geq size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

<u>Base Case</u>: $P(\bullet)$: By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1. So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

<u>Inductive Step</u>: Goal: Show $P(Tree(\bullet, L, R))$: leaves(Tree(•, L, R)) \ge size(Tree(•, L, R))/2 + 1/2

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) ≥ size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

<u>Base Case</u>: $P(\bullet)$: By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1. So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

<u>Inductive Step</u>: Goal: Show P(Tree(•, L, R)): leaves(Tree(•, L, R)) \geq size(Tree(•, L, R))/2 + 1/2

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

<u>Base Case</u>: $P(\bullet)$: By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1. So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

<u>Inductive Step</u>: Goal: Show P(Tree(•, L, R)): leaves(Tree(•, L, R)) \geq size(Tree(•, L, R))/2 + 1/2

Again, as long as you can get this far, you will get the majority of points on the problem! Go for this skeleton first, and then think about what you need to do to complete the proof.

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

```
<u>Base Case</u>: P(\bullet): By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1.
So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.
```

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

<u>Inductive Step:</u> Goal: Show P(**Tree(•, L, R)**): leaves(**Tree(•, L, R**)) ≥ size(**Tree(•, L, R**))/2 + 1/2 leaves(**Tree(•, L, R**)) =

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) ≥ size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

<u>Base Case</u>: $P(\bullet)$: By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1. So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) ≥ size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

```
<u>Base Case</u>: P(\bullet): By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1.
So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.
```

<u>Inductive Hypothesis</u>: Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) ≥ size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

```
<u>Base Case</u>: P(\bullet): By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1.
So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.
```

<u>Inductive Hypothesis</u>: Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

```
<u>Base Case</u>: P(\bullet): By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1.
So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.
```

<u>Inductive Hypothesis</u>: Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \geq size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

```
<u>Base Case</u>: P(\bullet): By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1.
So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.
```

<u>Inductive Hypothesis:</u> Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

For a tree T, let P(T) be "leaves(T) \geq size(T)/2 + 1/2". We show P(T) holds for all trees T by structural induction on T. leaves(T) ≥ size(T)/2 + 1/2 for all Trees T

```
<u>Base Case</u>: P(\bullet): By definition of leaves(\bullet), leaves(\bullet) = 1 and size(\bullet) = 1.
So, leaves(\bullet) = 1 ≥ 1/2 + 1/2 = size(\bullet)/2 + 1/2, so P(\bullet) holds.
```

<u>Inductive Hypothesis</u>: Suppose P(L) and P(R) hold for some arbitrary trees L and R, i.e., $leaves(L) \ge size(L)/2 + 1/2$, $leaves(R) \ge size(R)/2 + 1/2$

So, P(**Tree(•, L, R)**) holds!

That's All, Folks!

Thanks for coming to section this week! Any questions?