

# CSE 311: Foundations of Computing I

## Midterm Practice Questions Solutions

### Logic

(a) Show that the expression  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$  is a contingency.

**Solution:**

Under the assignment  $p = T, q = T, r = T$ ,  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$  evaluates to T. but under the assignment  $p = T, q = T, r = F$ , it evaluates to F (since  $p \rightarrow q$  evaluates to T and  $p \rightarrow r$  evaluates to F). Therefore, it is a contingency.

(b) Give an expression that is logically equivalent to  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow (p \rightarrow r)$  using the logical operators  $\neg, \vee$ , and  $\wedge$  (but not  $\rightarrow$ ).

**Solution:**

$\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee (\neg p \vee r)$  and  $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg p \vee r$  are two natural choices here.

(c) Determine whether the following compound proposition is a tautology, a contradiction, or a contingency:  
 $((s \vee p) \wedge (s \vee \neg p)) \rightarrow ((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r)$ .

**Solution:**

This is a contingency: Under the truth assignment  $s = T, p = F, q = T$  and  $r = F$ , it evaluates to F because we have  $((s \vee p) \wedge (s \vee \neg p)) = T$  and  $((p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r) = F$  because  $(p \rightarrow q) = T$  and  $r = F$ . On the other hand if all of  $p, q, r, s$  are F, the whole formula evaluates to T.

(d) Show that the following is a tautology:  $((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$ .

**Solution:**

Solution 1: Truth table:

$p$	$q$	$r$	$\neg p$	$\neg p \vee q$	$p \vee r$	$(\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)$	$q \vee r$	$((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$
F	F	F	T	T	F	F	F	T
F	F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
F	T	F	T	T	F	F	T	T
F	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T
T	F	F	F	F	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	F	F	T	F	T	T
T	T	F	F	T	T	T	T	T
T	T	T	F	T	T	T	T	T

Solution 2: Derivation:

1. $\neg(q \vee r)$	Assumption
2. $\neg q \wedge \neg r$	De Morgan's Law from 1
3. $p \vee \neg p$	Excluded Middle
4. $(p \vee \neg p) \wedge (\neg q \wedge \neg r)$	Intro $\wedge$ from 2 and 3
5. $(p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r)$	Distributive Law from 4
6. $((p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r)) \wedge (r \wedge (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r))$	Distributive Law from 5
7. $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg q \wedge \neg r)$	Elim $\wedge$ from 6
8. $((p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r)) \wedge ((p \wedge \neg q) \vee \neg q)$	Distributive Law from 7
9. $(p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r)$	Elim $\wedge$ from 8
10. $(\neg\neg p \wedge \neg q) \vee (\neg p \wedge \neg r)$	Double Negation from 9
11. $\neg(\neg p \vee q) \vee \neg(p \vee r)$	De Morgan's Law (twice) from 9
12. $\neg((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r))$	De Morgan's Law from 10
13. $\neg(q \vee r) \rightarrow \neg((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r))$	Direct Proof Rule
14. $((\neg p \vee q) \wedge (p \vee r)) \rightarrow (q \vee r)$	Contrapositive

## Boolean Algebra

Write a boolean algebra expression equivalent to  $(p \rightarrow q) \rightarrow r$  that is:

(i) A sum of products

**Solution:**

$$pq' + r.$$

(ii) A product of sums

**Solution:**

$$(p + r)(q' + r).$$

## Predicate Logic

(a) Using the predicates:

Likes( $p, f$ ): "Person  $p$  likes to eat the food  $f$ ."

Serves( $r, f$ ): "Restaurant  $r$  serves the food  $f$ ."

translate the following statements into logical expressions.

(i) Every restaurant serves a food that no one likes.

**Solution:**

$$\forall r \exists f (\text{Serves}(r, f) \wedge \forall p \neg \text{Likes}(p, f)) \text{ or}$$

$$\forall r \exists f \forall p (\text{Serves}(r, f) \wedge \neg \text{Likes}(p, f)).$$

(ii) Every restaurant that serves TOFU also serves a food which RANDY does not like.

**Solution:**

$$\forall r (\text{Serves}(r, \text{TOFU}) \rightarrow \exists f (\text{Serves}(r, f) \wedge \neg \text{Likes}(\text{RANDY}, f)) \text{ or}$$

$$\forall r \exists f (\text{Serves}(r, \text{TOFU}) \rightarrow (\text{Serves}(r, f) \wedge \neg \text{Likes}(\text{RANDY}, f)).$$

(b) Let  $P(x, y)$  be the predicate " $x < y$ " and let the universe for all variables be the real numbers. Express each of the following statements as predicate logic formulas using  $P$ :

(i) For every number there is a smaller one.

**Solution:**

$$\forall x \exists y P(y, x).$$

- (ii) 7 is smaller than any other number.

**Solution:**

$$\forall y ((y \neq 7) \rightarrow P(7, y)).$$

- (iii) 7 is between  $a$  and  $b$ . (Don't forget to handle both the possibility that  $b$  is smaller than  $a$  as well as the possibility that  $a$  is smaller than  $b$ .)

**Solution:**

$$(P(a, 7) \wedge P(7, b)) \vee (P(b, 7) \wedge P(7, a))$$

- (iv) Between any two different numbers there is another number.

**Solution:**

$$\forall x \forall y ((x \neq y) \rightarrow \exists z ((P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)) \vee (P(y, z) \wedge P(z, x))) \text{ or}$$

$$\forall x \forall y \exists z ((x \neq y) \rightarrow ((P(x, z) \wedge P(z, y)) \vee (P(y, z) \wedge P(z, x))).$$

- (v) For any two numbers, if they are different then one is less than the other.

**Solution:**

$$\forall x \forall y ((x \neq y) \rightarrow (P(x, y) \vee P(y, x))).$$

- (c) Let  $V(x, y)$  be the predicate " $x$  voted for  $y$ ", let  $M(x, y)$  be the predicate " $x$  received more votes than  $y$ ", and let the universe for all variables be the set of all people. Express each of the following statements as predicate logic formulas using  $V$  and  $M$ :

- (i) Everybody received at least one vote.

**Solution:**

$$\forall x \exists y V(y, x).$$

- (ii) Jane and John voted for the same person.

**Solution:**

$$\exists x (V(\text{Jane}, x) \wedge V(\text{John}, x)).$$

- (iii) Ross won the election. (The winner is the person who received the most votes.)

**Solution:**

$$\forall x ((x \neq \text{Ross}) \rightarrow M(\text{Ross}, x)).$$

- (iv) Nobody who votes for him/herself can win the election.

**Solution:**

Lots of good answers here; two possible answers:  $\neg \exists x (V(x, x) \wedge \forall y ((y \neq x) \rightarrow M(x, y)))$  or  $\forall x (V(x, x) \rightarrow \exists y M(y, x))$ .

- (v) Everybody can vote for at most one person.

**Solution:**

$$\forall x \forall y \forall z ((V(x, y) \wedge V(x, z)) \rightarrow (y = z)) \text{ or } \forall x \forall y \forall z ((y \neq z) \rightarrow (\neg V(x, y) \vee \neg V(x, z))).$$

- (d) Find predicates  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$  such that  $\forall x (P(x) \oplus Q(x))$  is true, but  $\forall x P(x) \oplus \forall x Q(x)$  is false.

### Solution:

Let  $P(x)$  be “ $x$  is even” and let  $Q(x)$  be “ $x$  is odd” and let the universe be the set of all integers. Every integer is either even or odd but not both so  $\forall x(P(x) \oplus Q(x))$  is true, but not all integers are even and not all integers are odd, so  $\forall xP(x)$  and  $\forall xQ(x)$  are both false and hence  $\forall xP(x) \oplus \forall xQ(x)$  is false.

## Formal Proofs

- (a) Use rules of inference to show that if the premises  $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$ ,  $\forall x(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))$ , and  $\neg R(i)$ , where  $a$  is in the domain, are true, then the conclusion  $\neg P(i)$  is true. (Note: You do not need to give the names for the rules of inference.)

### Solution:

1.  $\forall x(P(x) \rightarrow Q(x))$     Given
2.  $\forall x(Q(x) \rightarrow R(x))$     Given
3.  $\neg R(i)$     Given
4.  $Q(i) \rightarrow R(i)$     Elim  $\forall$  from 2
5.  $\neg R(i) \rightarrow \neg Q(i)$     Contrapositive from 4
6.  $\neg Q(i)$     Modus Ponens from 3 and 5
7.  $P(i) \rightarrow Q(i)$     Elim  $\forall$  from 1
8.  $\neg Q(i) \rightarrow \neg P(i)$     Contrapositive from 7
9.  $\neg P(i)$     Modus Ponens from 6 and 8

## English Proofs

- (a) Prove that if  $n$  is even and  $m$  is odd, then  $(n + 1)(m + 1)$  is even.

### Solution:

Suppose that  $n$  is even and  $m$  is odd.

Since  $m$  is odd there is some integer  $\ell$  such that  $m = 2\ell + 1$ .

It follows that  $m + 1 = 2\ell + 2 = 2(\ell + 1)$ .

Therefore  $(n + 1)(m + 1) = 2(n + 1)(\ell + 1)$ .

Since  $n$  and  $\ell$  are integers,  $(n + 1)(\ell + 1)$  is an integer.

Therefore  $(n + 1)(m + 1)$  is 2 times an integer  $(n + 1)(\ell + 1)$  and therefore  $(n + 1)(m + 1)$  is even.

- (b) Prove or disprove:

- (i) For positive integers  $x$ ,  $p$ , and  $q$ ,  $(x \bmod p) \bmod q = x \bmod pq$ .

### Solution:

This is false. For a counterexample you can choose  $p = 2$ ,  $q = 3$  and  $x = 3$ . In this case  $x \bmod p = 1$  and so  $(x \bmod p) \bmod q = 1$ . On the other hand  $x \bmod pq = 3 \bmod 6 = 3$  so they are not equal.

- (ii) For positive integers  $x$ ,  $p$ , and  $q$ ,  $(x \bmod p) \bmod q = (x \bmod q) \bmod p$ .

### Solution:

This is also false. We can take the same values  $p = 2$ ,  $q = 3$  and  $x = 3$  from part (i). As we have seen,  $(x \bmod p) \bmod q = 1$ . On the other hand,  $x \bmod q = 0$  so  $(x \bmod q) \bmod p = 0$  so they are not equal.

- (c) Prove that the sum of an odd number and an even number is an odd number.

### Solution:

Suppose that  $n$  is odd and  $m$  is even. Then there exist integers  $k$  and  $\ell$  such that  $n = 2k + 1$  and  $m = 2\ell$ . Therefore  $n + m = 2k + 1 + 2\ell = 2(k + \ell) + 1$ . Since  $k + \ell$  is an integer,  $n + m$  is 1 more than twice an integer and thus  $n + m$  is odd.

### Induction

- (a) Prove the following for all natural numbers  $n$  by induction,  $\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - \frac{n+2}{2^n}$ .

### Solution:

Proof:

(a) Let  $P(n)$  be " $\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (n+2)/2^n$ ". We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 0$ .

(b) Base Case:  $\sum_{i=0}^0 \frac{i}{2^i} = 0 \cdot 2^0 = 0$ . On the other hand  $2 - (0+2)/2^0 = 2 - 2/1 = 0$ . Therefore  $\sum_{i=0}^0 \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (0+2)/2^0$  and thus  $P(0)$  is true.

(c) Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that  $\sum_{i=0}^k \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (k+2)/2^k$  for some arbitrary integer  $k \geq 0$ .

(d) Inductive Step: Goal: Show  $\sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (k+3)/2^{k+1}$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k+1} \frac{i}{2^i} &= \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{i}{2^i} + (k+1)/2^{k+1} && \text{by definition} \\ &= 2 - (k+2)/2^k + (k+1)/2^{k+1} && \text{by the Inductive Hypothesis} \\ &= 2 - [2(k+2) - (k+1)]/2^{k+1} \\ &= 2 - (k+4-1)/2^{k+1} \\ &= 2 - (k+3)/2^{k+1} \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

(e) Therefore by induction we have shown that  $\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{i}{2^i} = 2 - (n+2)/2^n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .  $\square$

- (b) Let  $T(n)$  be defined by:  $T(0) = 1$ ,  $T(n) = 2nT(n-1)$  for  $n \geq 1$ . Prove that for all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $T(n) = 2^n n!$ .

### Solution:

Proof:

1. Let  $P(n)$  be " $T(n) = 2^n n!$ ". We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 0$ .

2. Base Case:  $2^0 0! = 1 \cdot 1 = 1 = T(0)$ . Therefore  $P(0)$  is true.

3. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that  $T(k) = 2^k k!$  for some arbitrary integer  $k \geq 0$ .

4. Inductive Step: Goal: Show  $T(k+1) = 2^{k+1}(k+1)!$

$$\begin{aligned} T(k+1) &= 2(k+1)T(k) && \text{by definition since } k+1 \geq 1 \\ &= 2(k+1)2^k k! && \text{by the Inductive Hypothesis} \\ &= 2^{k+1}(k+1)k! \\ &= 2^{k+1}(k+1)! && \text{by definition of factorial} \end{aligned}$$

which is what we wanted to prove.

5. Therefore by induction we have shown that  $T(n) = 2^n n!$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .  $\square$

- (c) Let  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  be odd integers. Prove by induction that  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$  is also an odd integer.

### Solution:

Proof:

1. Let  $P(n)$  be " $x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$  is an odd integer". We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 1$ .
2. Base Case: Since  $x_1$  is an odd integer,  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_1$  is odd. Therefore  $P(1)$  is true.
3. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k$  is an odd integer for some arbitrary integer  $k \geq 1$ .
4. Inductive Step: Goal: Show  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k+1}$  is an odd integer  
By the Inductive Hypothesis  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k$  is an odd integer so there is some integer  $\ell$  such that  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_k = 2\ell + 1$ . Since  $x_{k+1}$  is an odd integer there is some integer  $m$  such that  $x_{k+1} = 2m + 1$ .  
Therefore
$$x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k+1} = x_1x_2 \cdots x_k \cdot x_{k+1} = (2\ell + 1)(2m + 1) = 4\ell m + 2\ell + 2m + 1 = 2(2\ell m + \ell + m) + 1.$$
Since  $(2\ell m + \ell + m)$  is an integer,  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k+1}$  is an odd integer, which is what we wanted to prove.
5. Therefore by induction we have shown that  $x_1x_2 \cdots x_n$  is an odd integer for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

(d) Use mathematical induction to show that 3 divides  $n^3 - n$  whenever  $n$  is a non-negative integer.

### Solution:

Proof:

1. Let  $P(n)$  be "3 divides  $n^3 - n$ ". We will prove by induction that  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 0$ .
2. Base Case:  $0^3 - 0 = 0 = 3 \cdot 0$  therefore 3 divides  $0^3 - 0$  so  $P(0)$  is true.
3. Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that 3 divides  $k^3 - k$  for some arbitrary integer  $k \geq 0$ .
4. Inductive Step: Goal: Show 3 divides  $(k + 1)^3 - (k + 1)$   
Since by the Inductive Hypothesis 3 divides  $k^3 - k$ , there is some integer  $\ell$  such that  $k^3 - k = 3\ell$ .  
Now

$$\begin{aligned}(k + 1)^3 - (k + 1) &= k^3 + 3k^2 + 3k + 1 - (k + 1) \\ &= k^3 + 3k^2 + 3k - k \\ &= 3\ell + 3k^2 + 3k \\ &= 3(\ell + k^2 + k)\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\ell + k^2 + k$  is an integer, we have shown that 3 divides  $(k + 1)^3 - (k + 1)$  which is what we wanted to prove.

5. Therefore by induction we have shown that 3 divides  $n^3 - n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .  $\square$

## Euclidean Algorithm

(a) Use Euclid's algorithm to help you solve  $11x \equiv 4 \pmod{27}$  for  $x$ .

### Solution:

We run Euclid's algorithm to compute  $\gcd(27, 11)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}27 &= 2 \cdot 11 + 5 \\ 11 &= 2 \cdot 5 + 1 \\ 5 &= 5 \cdot 1 + 0\end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $1 = 11 - 2 \cdot 5 = 11 - 2(27 - 2 \cdot 11) = (-2) \cdot 27 + 5 \cdot 11$ . Therefore 5 is the multiplicative inverse of 11 modulo 27. It follows that  $x = 5 \cdot 4 = 20$  solves  $11x \equiv 4 \pmod{27}$ . (We can check that 27 times 8 is 216 and 11 times 20 is 220.)

- (b) Find the multiplicative inverse of 2 modulo 9 (in other words, find a solution to the equation  $2x \pmod{9} = 1$ .)

**Solution:**

We run Euclid's algorithm to compute  $\gcd(9, 2)$  which is 1: The first step is  $9 = 4 \cdot 2 + 1$  and of course we are done. Therefore  $1 = 1 \cdot 9 - 4 \cdot 2$ . The multiplicative inverse of 2 is then  $(-4) \pmod{9} = 5$ . This is so easy you could do it by trying all possibilities.

- (c) Which integers in  $\{1, 2, \dots, 8\}$  have multiplicative inverses modulo 9?

**Solution:**

This is which integers  $x$  in have  $\gcd(x, 9) = 1$  so it is:  $\{1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8\}$ .

**Sets**

Prove  $(A \setminus B) \cap B = \emptyset$

**Solution:**

$(A \setminus B) \cap B = \{x : x \in A \setminus B \wedge x \in B\}$	[Definition of $\cap$ ]
$= \{x : (x \in A \wedge x \notin B) \wedge x \in B\}$	[Definition of $\setminus$ ]
$= \{x : x \in A \wedge F\}$	[Negation]
$= \{x : F\}$	[Domination]
$= \emptyset$	[Definition of $\emptyset$ ]