1. Recursively Defined Sets of Strings

For each of the following, write a recursive definition of the sets satisfying the following properties. Briefly justify that your solution is correct.

- (a) Binary strings of even length.
- (b) Binary strings not containing 10.
- (c) Binary strings not containing 10 as a substring and having at least as many 1s as 0s.
- (d) Binary strings containing at most two 0s and at most two 1s.

2. Structural Induction

(a) Consider the following recursive definition of strings.

Basis Step: "" is a string

Recursive Step: If X is a string and c is a character then append(c, X) is a string.

Recall the following recursive definition of the function len:

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{len}("") &= 0 \\ & \mathsf{len}(\mathsf{append}(c,X)) &= 1 + \mathsf{len}(X) \end{split}$$

Now, consider the following recursive definition:

 $\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{double}("") & = ""\\ \mathsf{double}(\mathsf{append}(c,X)) & = \mathsf{append}(c,\mathsf{append}(c,\mathsf{double}(X))). \end{array}$

Prove that for any string X, len(double(X)) = 2len(X).

(b) Consider the following definition of a (binary) Tree:

Basis Step: • is a **Tree**.

Recursive Step: If L is a **Tree** and R is a **Tree** then $Tree(\bullet, L, R)$ is a **Tree**.

The function leaves returns the number of leaves of a Tree. It is defined as follows:

$$leaves(\bullet) = 1$$

$$leaves(Tree(\bullet, L, R)) = leaves(L) + leaves(R)$$

Also, recall the definition of size on trees:

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{size}(\bullet) &= 1 \\ & \mathsf{size}(\mathsf{Tree}(\bullet, L, R)) &= 1 + \mathsf{size}(L) + \mathsf{size}(R) \end{aligned}$$

Prove that $leaves(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2$ for all Trees T.

- (c) Prove the previous claim using strong induction. Define P(n) as "all trees T of size n satisfy leaves $(T) \ge size(T)/2 + 1/2$ ". You may use the following facts:
 - For any tree T we have $size(T) \ge 1$.
 - For any tree T, size(T) = 1 if and only if $T = \bullet$.

If we wanted to prove these claims, we could do so by structural induction.

Note, in the inductive step you should start by letting T be an arbitrary tree of size k + 1.

3. Reversing a Binary Tree

Consider the following definition of a (binary) Tree.

Basis Step Nil is a Tree.

Recursive Step If L is a **Tree**, R is a **Tree**, and x is an integer, then Tree(x, L, R) is a **Tree**.

The sum function returns the sum of all elements in a Tree.

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{sum}(\mathtt{Nil}) &= 0 \\ & \mathsf{sum}(\mathtt{Tree}(x,L,R)) &= x + \mathtt{sum}(L) + \mathtt{sum}(R) \end{split}$$

The following recursively defined function produces the mirror image of a Tree.

 $\begin{aligned} & \texttt{reverse}(\texttt{Nil}) & = \texttt{Nil} \\ & \texttt{reverse}(\texttt{Tree}(x,L,R)) & = \texttt{Tree}(x,\texttt{reverse}(R),\texttt{reverse}(L)) \end{aligned}$

Show that, for all **Tree**s *T* that

sum(T) = sum(reverse(T))

4. Walk the Dawgs

Suppose a dog walker takes care of $n \ge 12$ dogs. The dog walker is not a strong person, and will walk dogs in groups of 3 or 7 at a time (every dog gets walked exactly once). Prove the dog walker can always split the n dogs into groups of 3 or 7.

5. For All

For this problem, we'll see an incorrect use of induction. For this problem, we'll think of all of the following as binary trees:

- A single node.
- A root node, with a left child that is the root of a binary tree (and no right child)
- A root node, with a right child that is the root of a binary tree (and no left child)
- A root node, with both left and right children that are roots of binary trees.

Let P(n) be "for all trees of height *n*, the tree has an odd number of nodes"

Take a moment to realize this claim is false.

Now let's see an incorrect proof:

We'll prove P(n) for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ by induction on n.

Base Case (n = 0): There is only one tree of height 0, a single node. It has one node, and $1 = 2 \cdot 0 + 1$, which is an odd number of nodes.

Inductive Hypothesis: Suppose P(i) holds for i = 0, ..., k, for some arbitrary $k \ge 0$.

Inductive Step: Let *T* be an arbitrary tree of height *k*. All trees with nodes (and since $k \ge 0$, *T* has at least one node) have a leaf node. Add a left child and right child to a leaf (pick arbitrarily if there's more than one), This tree now has height k + 1 (since *T* was height k and we added children below). By IH, *T* had an odd number of nodes, call it 2j + 1 for some integer *j*. Now we have added two more, so our new tree has 2j + 1 + 2 = 2(j + 1) + 1 nodes. Since *j* was an integer, so is j + 1, and our new tree has an odd number of nodes, as required, so P(k + 1) holds.

By the principle of induction, P(n) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since every tree has an (integer) height of 0 or more, every tree is included in some P(), so the claim holds for all trees.

- (a) What is the bug in the proof?
- (b) What should the starting point and target of the IS be (you can't write a full proof, as the claim is false).

6. Induction with Inequality

Prove that $6n + 6 < 2^n$ for all $n \ge 6$.

7. Induction with Formulas

These problems are a little more difficult and abstract. Try making sure you can do all the other problems before trying these ones.

- (a) (i) Show that given two sets A and B that $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$. (Don't use induction.)
 - (ii) Show using induction that for an integer $n \ge 2$, given n sets $A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_{n-1}, A_n$ that

$$\overline{A_1 \cup A_2 \cup \dots \cup A_{n-1} \cup A_n} = \overline{A_1} \cap \overline{A_2} \cap \dots \cap \overline{A_{n-1}} \cap \overline{A_n}$$

- (b) (i) Show that given any integers a, b, and c, if $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, then $c \mid (a + b)$. (Don't use induction.)
 - (ii) Show using induction that for any integer $n \ge 2$, given *n* numbers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_{n-1}, a_n$, for any integer *c* such that $c \mid a_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, that

$$c \mid (a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_{n-1} + a_n).$$

In other words, if a number divides each term in a sum then that number divides the sum.

8. One-to-One and Onto

For each of these functions, state whether it is one-to-one, onto, both, or neither.

- (a) $f: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}, f(x) = x^2$
- (b) $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x) = x^2$

(c) $f : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$, $f(x) = x^2$, where $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{x : x \in \mathbb{R} \land x \ge 0\}$, i.e., the set of non-negative real numbers. Notice that the domain and co-domain matter! You have to know both to tell whether the function is one-to-one or onto.

9. A Bijection Proof

Let A be the set of negative integers, i.e., $A = \{-1, -2, -3, ...\}$; let B be the set of integers at least 10, i.e., $B = \{10, 11, 12, 13, ...\}$ Show that $f : A \rightarrow B$ defined by f(x) = |x| + 9 is a bijection.

You may use these facts:

- for negative numbers x, y: $|x| = |y| \rightarrow x = y$
- for negative numbers |x| = -x

that